

Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Preface | v |
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Overview | 1 |
| 1.2 Some background | 3 |
| 1.2.1 Pragmatic dimensions | 3 |
| 1.2.2 Modularity of Grammar | 8 |
| 1.2.3 Prominence | 9 |
| 1.2.4 Grid construction | 12 |
| 1.2.5 The syntactic field model | 17 |
| 1.3 Further concepts | 19 |
| 1.3.1 Verb second | 19 |
| 1.3.2 The reconstruction of sentence prosody | 21 |
| 2. Topicalization in Middle and Modern English – A prosodically induced change in syntactic usage | 24 |
| 2.1 The decline of topicalization | 24 |
| 2.2 The pragmatic properties of topicalization | 29 |
| 2.2.1 The discourse-pragmatic functions of topicalization in Modern English | 30 |
| 2.2.2 The discourse-pragmatic functions of topicalization in Old and Middle English | 37 |
| 2.3 A possible explanation: rigidity of word order | 42 |
| 2.3.1 The rigidification of English word order | 43 |
| 2.3.2 Rigidification as an explanation for the decline of topicalization? | 48 |
| 2.4 A third explanation: the Clash Avoidance Requirement | 50 |
| 2.4.1 Type of subject | 51 |
| 2.4.2 Scene-setting elements | 56 |
| 2.4.3 Formulation of the Clash Avoidance Requirement | 61 |
| 2.4.4 The loss of the V2 word order option | 62 |
| 2.5 Prosody beats Pragmatics | 73 |
| 2.6 Summary | 77 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|------------|
| 3. | The Clash Avoidance Requirement in Modern English and German | 80 |
| 3.1 | Double foci | 80 |
| 3.1.1 | The acceptability of topicalization in Modern English | 81 |
| 3.1.2 | Experimental data on double foci | 87 |
| 3.2 | Conceptual aspects of the Clash Avoidance Requirement | 113 |
| 3.2.1 | The Clash Avoidance Requirement, the Rhythm Rule and the OCP | 113 |
| 3.2.2 | The domain of the Clash Avoidance Requirement | 117 |
| 3.2.3 | Modularity and the Clash Avoidance Requirement | 119 |
| 3.3 | The Clash Avoidance Requirement in German | 121 |
| 3.4 | Summary | 131 |
| 4. | Phonological Aspects of the Clash Avoidance Requirement | 132 |
| 4.1 | The relationship of metrical prominence and focus | 132 |
| 4.1.1 | Comparison of metrical prominence and focal emphasis | 133 |
| 4.1.2 | The metrical calculus and the primacy of the focus indicator | 139 |
| 4.1.3 | The nucleus as a continuation of the metrical prominence system | 150 |
| 4.1.4 | Metrical prominence, focus and the intonational system | 162 |
| 4.2 | Clash and pause | 164 |
| 4.2.1 | Mechanisms for clash resolution | 165 |
| 4.2.2 | Why a pause? | 168 |
| 4.2.3 | Morphemes as domain of focus | 173 |
| 4.3 | Summary | 176 |
| 5. | Topicalization and the Clash Avoidance Requirement in Old English | 177 |
| 5.1 | V2 and V3 in Old English | 178 |
| 5.2 | Is V3 really V3? | 187 |
| 5.2.1 | Verb-last sentences | 188 |
| 5.2.2 | Verbal particles | 198 |
| 5.2.3 | Modelling | 201 |
| 5.2.4 | The consequence of Infl-medial V3 | 209 |
| 5.3 | V3 in (Old High) German | 210 |
| 5.4 | The nature of the two subject positions | 217 |
| 5.5 | A short outlook on poetry | 227 |
| 5.6 | Summary | 232 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 6. Concluding remarks | 234 |
| Appendix: All Old English OSV-sentences with full noun phrase accusative object and subject | 237 |
| Notes | 255 |
| References | 266 |
| Primary sources | 266 |
| Research literature | 267 |
| Index of names | 283 |
| Index of subjects | 285 |