

Contents

Preface and acknowledgements xviii

Introduction 1

What do rationality and the rationality principle mean? 2

Is the rationality principle a predicament for economics? 5

Why economic rationality is necessarily instrumental after all 7

Explanations and laws in economics 15

What about explanations in economics? 21

The content of the book 25

PART I

Rationality in the history of economic thought 29

1 Rationality in economics before the Second World War 31

The rationality principle in classical economics 32

Modelling rationality in early marginalism 37

Rationality under the rug of maximization 44

2 The hardly consistent story of rationality-consistency 48

Rationality or consistency 48

Economists and changing tastes 51

Rationality and changing tastes 56

Rationality under experimental scrutiny 61

PART II

Objections to the notion of minimal rationality 69

3 Can methodological individualism survive? 71

Are Marx and Keynes holists or individualists? 73

Methodological individualism is an anti-constructivist methodology 76

Methodological individualism is an anti-reductionist methodology 77

Can methodological individualism survive the fragility of the Self? 80

The proliferation of selves in economics 84

A more radical challenge to methodological individualism 87

4 Is still some room left for irrationality? 92

Can any action whatsoever be rational? 92

Ulysses and Horatius 94

Inverting entomology's lessons and indulging in a pleasant activity 97

But irrationality exists! 99

Karl Popper and the rationality principle 103

The meaning of rationality: Popper versus Mises 105

The role of a minimal rationality principle 107

5 Minimal and maximal rationality: loosely defined concepts? 110

An argument in favour of the idealization of rationality 111

But when is rationality maximal? 113

Idealized agency and rationality-maximization 115

Idealized agency and rationality-consistency 118

The 'moderately' rational agents of economic models 121

PART III

But is rationality really necessary in economics? 127

6 Why unrealism of assumptions remains a predicament 129

A controversial thesis 131

Three examples too quickly celebrated 135

Was Friedman an instrumentalist? 143

What should we conclude? 149

7	Explaining in the absence of rationality	152
	<i>An atypical debate quickly aborted</i>	153
	<i>Can Becker's 'survival argument' survive?</i>	155
	<i>The real debate: rationality versus mechanism</i>	159
	<i>Why this charge against rationality?</i>	164
	<i>Intentional states and intentional stance</i>	168
	<i>A methodological divide</i>	175
	PART IV	
	Regarding economic explanations	177
8	Rationality and natural selection in economics	179
	<i>A highly contested while influential argument</i>	179
	<i>Invisible hand and natural selection</i>	185
	<i>Various types of selection</i>	187
	<i>Billiards experts and sunbathing leaves</i>	191
	<i>Incompatible modes of explanation?</i>	195
9	Theories of explanation applied to economics	200
	<i>Explanations in economics and explanations in physics</i>	200
	<i>Hempel's unified theory of explanation</i>	202
	<i>The role of rational explanations in social sciences</i>	205
	<i>Why rational explanations might qualify as 'scientific' explanations</i>	207
	<i>Hempel's theory challenged by Salmon: relevance versus expectation</i>	210
	<i>The role of causality</i>	217
	<i>Van Fraassen's pragmatic theory of explanation</i>	220
	<i>Solutions and objections</i>	225
	<i>Causality versus context-dependence</i>	232
	Epilogue	235
	<i>Theses summarily recapitulated</i>	235
	<i>Three kinds of contributions typical of contemporary economics</i>	237
	<i>Economics, rationality and rational explanations</i>	244
	<i>Notes</i>	246
	<i>References</i>	256
	<i>Index</i>	267