Contents

INTRODUCTION

| Chapter 1. An Overview: Advances in Belief in a Just World Theory and Methods | 1 |
|--|----|
| Melvin J. Lerner and Leo Montada | |
| ADVANCES IN RESEARCH ON OBSERVERS' REACTIONS TO VICTIMS | |
| Chapter 2. Immanent Justice and Ultimate Justice: Two Ways of | |
| Believing in Justice Jürgen Maes | 9 |
| 1. Theoretical Background | 9 |
| 1.1. Belief in a Just World: Experimental and Survey Studies1.2. A Suggested Differentiation between Two Variants of Belief | 9 |
| in a Just World | 12 |
| 2. Methods | 13 |
| 2.1. Construction of a Questionnaire | 13 |
| 2.2. Sample | 14 |
| 3. Results | 14 |
| 3.1. Dimensional Analyses | 14 |
| 3.2. Immanent and Ultimate Justice: Validation and | |
| Differentiation of Two Scales | 15 |
| 4. Summary | 38 |

| Chapter 3. BJW and Self-Efficacy in Coping with Observed Victimization: Results from a Study about | |
|---|----|
| Unemployment | 41 |
| Changiz Mohiyeddini and Leo Montada | |
| | |
| 1. Hypotheses | 43 |
| 2. Methods | 44 |
| 2.1. Subjects and Procedure | 44 |
| 2.2. Justice Related Scales | 44 |
| 2.3. Factor and Reliability Analysis of the Scales to Measure BJW, | |
| SEJW, and HJW | 45 |
| 2.4. Prosocial and "Antisocial" Responses to Victims | 46 |
| 2.5. Factor and Reliability Analysis of the Measures for BVSI, | |
| WFI, and WPO | 47 |
| 3. Results | 47 |
| 3.1. Correlations between BJW, HJW, and SEJW | 47 |
| 3.2. Intercorrelations between GBJW, HJW, and SEJW and BVSI, | |
| WFI, WPO, and SYMP | 47 |
| 3.3. Two-Way Interactions of GBJW and SEJW in Predicting | |
| Sympathy (SYMP), BVSI, and Willingness to Help the | |
| Victims (WFI, WPO) | 48 |
| 3.4. Estimation of Unique Effects of GBJW, SEJW, and HJW | 49 |
| 3.5. Membership in a Social or Political Organization (MSPO) | 51 |
| 4. Discussion | 52 |
| Chapter 4. How Do Observers of Victimization Preserve Their | |
| Belief in a Just World Cognitively or Actionally? Findings | |
| from a Longitudinal Study | 55 |
| Barbara Reichle, Angela Schneider, and Leo Montada | |
| 1. Research Questions | 55 |
| 2. Reactions to the Disadvantaged: Compensation versus | |
| Cognitive Restoration to Maintain the Belief in a Just World | 57 |
| 3. The Study | 58 |
| 3.1. Subjects | 58 |
| 3.2. Instruments | 58 |
| 3.3. Just World Scales | 59 |
| 3.4. Existential Guilt Inventory | 59 |
| 3.5. Willingness to Act Prosocially Inventory | 59 |
| 4. Results | 60 |
| 5. Discussion | 62 |

INNOVATIVE EXTENSIONS OF BJW AND SELF-EXPERIENCED INJUSTICES

| Chapter 5. Individual Differences in the Belief in a Just World and Responses to Personal Misfortune Carolyn L. Hafer and James M. Olson | 65 |
|--|-----|
| 1. Beliefs in a Just World and Responses to Misfortune | 66 |
| 2. Summary of Guiding Research Questions | 68 |
| 2.1. Study 1: Beliefs in a Just World and Responses to a | |
| Laboratory-Induced Deprivation | 69 |
| 2.2. Study 2: Beliefs in a Just World and Women's Responses to | ••• |
| Their Job Situation | 72 |
| 2.3. Study 3: Beliefs in a Just World and Student's Responses to | - |
| Grades | 76 |
| 3. Conclusions and Suggestions for Future Research | 81 |
| 3.1. Do Strong Believers in a Just World Perceive Their Own | |
| Negative Outcomes as Less Unfair Than Weak Believers? | 81 |
| 3.2. Do Strong Believers in a Just World Experience Less | 0. |
| Discontent (i.e., Emotions Related to a Sense of Injustice | |
| Such as Anger and Resentment) When Confronted with | |
| Personal Misfortune Than Weak Believers? | 82 |
| 3.3. Are Beliefs in a Just World Predictive of Other Emotional | |
| Responses to Personal Misfortune? | 82 |
| 3.4. Are Individual Differences in the Belief in a Just World | |
| Associated with Particular Behavioural Reactions to One's | |
| Own Negative Outcomes? | 83 |
| 4. Conclusion | 83 |
| Chapter 6. Belief in a Just World, Well-Being, and Coping with an | |
| Unjust Fate | 87 |
| Claudia Dalbert | 0. |
| | |
| 1. Just World Belief and Well-Being: The Direct Relationship | 89 |
| 2. Just World Belief and Well-Being: The Indirect Relationship | 94 |
| 2.1. Causal Self-Attributions | 95 |
| 2.2. Why Me? | 98 |
| 3. Discussion | 100 |
| Chapter 7. Belief in a Just World and Right-Wing | |
| Authoritarianism as Moderators of Perceived Risk | 107 |
| Alan J. Lambert, Thomas Burroughs, and Alison L. Chasteen | |
| 1. Belief in a Just World and Perceptions of Risk | 100 |
| 2. Right-Wing Authoritarianism and Perceptions of Risk | |
| | *** |

| 2 Just Would Polists and Disht Wing Authoritarianism Compared | 112 |
|--|-------|
| 3. Just World Beliefs and Right-Wing Authoritarianism Compared . | 113 |
| 4. Two Empirical Investigations | 116 |
| 4.1. A Working Theoretical Framework | 118 |
| 4.2. An Additional Test | . 110 |
| 5. Looking Ahead: Theoretical Implications and Issues to be | 120 |
| Addressed in Future Work | 101 |
| 5.1. Single versus Dual Influence Models of Perceived Risk | . 121 |
| 5.2. Aren't There Other Personality Variables (Aside from BJW | 100 |
| and RWA) that Could Moderate Risk? | . 122 |
| 5.3. Are There Any Conditions under Which BJW Moderates | |
| Perceived Risk Independent of Other Personality Variables? . | . 122 |
| 6. Concluding Comments | . 123 |
| | |
| Chapter 8. The Belief in a Just World and Willingness to | 405 |
| Accommodate among Married and Dating Couples | . 127 |
| Isaac M. Lipkus and Victor Bissonnette | |
| 1. The Belief in a Just World and Willingness to Accommodate | . 128 |
| 2. Relationship between the Belief in a Just World and | |
| Accommodation among Married Couples | 131 |
| 3. Relationship between the Belief in a Just World and | |
| Accommodation among Dating Couples | 134 |
| 4. Conclusions and Future Directions | 136 |
| 4. Conclusions and Future Directions | . 100 |
| | |
| ANALYTIC PERSPECTIVES FOR ASSESSING THE CONSTRUCT: | |
| Belief in a Just World | |
| Chapter 9. Measuring the Beliefs in a Just World | 141 |
| Adrian Furnham | |
| | |
| 1. Introduction | . 141 |
| 2. Two Measurement Approaches | . 142 |
| 3. The Development of Trait Measures | |
| 3.1. Identification of the Phenomena | |
| 3.2. Replication of the Effect | |
| 3.3. The Development of a Self-Report Measure | . 145 |
| 3.4. Validation of the Measure | |
| 3.5. Factor Analysis Work and Multi-Dimensionality | . 146 |
| 3.6. Multiple, Multi-Dimensional Measures | . 147 |
| 3.7. Doubts about the Original Concept | . 147 |
| 3.8. Acceptance and "Text-Bookization" | . 148 |
| 4. The Rubin and Peplau BJW Scale | . 149 |
| 5. The Development of Other Measures | . 151 |

| • | 154 158 |
|---|------------|
| Chapter 10. Eight Stages in the Development of Research on the Construct of Belief in a Just World? Jürgen Maes | 163 |
| 1. A Stage Sequence Proposed by Furnham (1990) | 164 |
| 2. Development of Just World Research | 165 |
| 2.1. Stage 1: Identification of a Phenomenon | 166 |
| 2.2. Stage 2: Replication of the Effect | 167 |
| 2.3. Stage 3: The Development of a Self-Report Measure | 169 |
| 2.4. Stage 4: Validation of the Measure | 169 |
| 2.5. Stage 5: Factor Analytic Work and Multi-Dimensionality | 172 |
| 2.6. Stage 6: Multiple Multi-Dimensional Measures | 174 |
| 2.7. Stage 7: Doubts about the Original Concept | 175 |
| 2.8. Stage 8: Acceptance and 'Text-Bookization' | 176 |
| 3. Conclusions | 178 |
| Chapter 11. Methodological Strategies in Research to Validate Measures of Belief in a Just World Manfred J. Schmitt | 187 |
| 1. History and Aims of This Contribution | 187 |
| 2. Synergetic Interaction of the Justice Motive and Belief in a Just | |
| World | 189 |
| 3. Belief in a Just World versus Centrality of Justice as Indicators of | |
| the Justice Motive | 191 |
| 4. Delusion, Knowledge, and Justification Components of Belief in | |
| a Just World | 191 |
| 4.1. Empirical Distribution of Belief in a Just World | 192 |
| 4.2. Group Differences in Belief in a Just World | 193 |
| 4.3. Separating Belief in Justice and Belief in Control | 194 |
| 5. Correlations among Measures with Discriminant Content | |
| Validity | 195 |
| 5.1. Experimental Investigation of Discriminant Construct | |
| | 197 |
| 6. Possible Meanings of Low Belief in a Just World | 201 |
| 7. Combining Belief in a Just World with Preferences for | 204 |
| Distributive Justice Principles | 204 |
| 8. Methods for Clarifying the Correlations between Belief in a Just World and Political Conservatism | 201 |
| | 206 |
| 9. Concluding Considerations and Suggestions | 207 |

LOOKING BACK AND THEN FORWARD TO THE NEXT GENERATION OF RESEARCH ON BJW

| Chapter 12. Belief in a Just World: A Hybrid of Justice Motive and Self-Interest? Leo Montada | 217 |
|--|------------|
| BJW: The Justice Motive Blended with Self-Interest Facets of BJW Studies on BJW 3.1. GBJW and Phenomena of Blaming the Victim for | 220 |
| Self-Infliction (BVSI) | 222 228 |
| 3.3. BJW and Preferences for Principles of Distributive Justice 3.4. Empathy, Identification, and Similarity of Fate | 229 232 |
| 3.5. BJW and the Defense of Self-Interest | 234 |
| 3.6. BJW as a Personal Resource in Case of Victimization | 240 |
| 4. Summary and Outlook | 241 |
| Chapter 13. The Two Forms of Belief in a Just World: Some | 0.47 |
| Thoughts on Why and How People Care about Justice Melvin J. Lerner | 247 |
| 1. BJW: Child's Fairy Tale or "Fundamental Delusion" | 248 |
| 1.1. Everyone Knows BJW Is a Childish Fantasy | 248 |
| 1.2. "Normalizing" Injustices Means No Injustice Has Occurred . | 249 |
| 1.3. Religious Beliefs Provide Ultimate Justice | 250 |
| 1.4. Adult's Irrational Self-Blame | |
| 2. How Explain "Irrational Self-Blame"? | 252 |
| 2.1. Anomalous Overriding of Rational Self-Interest | 252 |
| 2.2. Control Motive | |
| 2.3. Correspondence Bias | |
| 2.4. Persistence of Developmentally Earlier Blaming Process | 253 |
| 3. Two Forms of the Justice Motive and Belief in a Just World | 254 |
| 3.1. Rational, Normatively Conventional | 254 |
| 3.2. Experiential Counternormative Scripts | 255 |
| 3.3. Experimental Example of Two Forms of Reactions to Victims | 055 |
| 3.4. Contemporary Theoretical Models of Two Underlying | 255 |
| Processes | 257 |
| 4. Methodological and Theoretical Implications | 257 |
| 4.1. Another Experimental Example: People Care Much More | 239 |
| about Justice Than They Think | 250 |
| 4.2. Justice as Rational Self-Interest | 207 |
| | 207 |

| 4.3. Justice as the Inevitable Consequence of the Human | |
|---|-----|
| Endowment and a Stable Environment | 260 |
| 4.4. How Does This Theory of Justice Differ from Rational | |
| Self-Interest? | 262 |
| 4.5. Where Do Stable Individual Differences Fit in This Theory? | 263 |
| 4.6. What about the Use of Questionnaire Research Methods in | |
| Studying the Two Forms of BJW? | 264 |
| 4.7. Once Again: How Important Is (BJW) the Justice Motive in | |
| Peoples' Lives? | 265 |
| 5. Summary | 267 |
| Index | 271 |