

# Contents

<b>I</b>	<b>Modeling Knowledge and Competencies of Teachers</b>	17
<b>1</b>	<b>Basic Terminology: Knowledge, Competencies, Learning, and Expertise</b>	18
1.1	Knowledge	18
1.1.1	Procedural, Conceptual, Tacit, Inert, and Abstract Knowledge	19
1.1.2	Episodic Knowledge	22
1.1.3	Knowledge and Problem Solving	25
1.1.4	Meta-Cognition	29
1.2	Competencies	33
1.3	Learning	38
1.4	Expertise	43
1.4.1	Experts and Research on Expertise	43
1.4.2	Empirical Findings from Non-Teaching Domains	46
1.4.3	Empirical Findings in the Teaching Domain	47
<b>2</b>	<b>Review: Different Approaches to Teachers' Knowledge and Competencies</b>	51
2.1	Focus: Teacher Knowledge	52
2.1.1	Shulman's Model and Selected Later Works	52
2.1.2	The Michigan Group	57
2.1.3	Teacher Education and Development Study (TEDS-M)	68
2.1.4	The Project COACTIV	79
2.1.5	Summary: Research on Teacher Knowledge	85
2.2	Focus: Professional Demands of Teachers	88
2.2.1	German Teacher Education Standards	89
2.2.2	Core Teacher Tasks	92
2.2.3	Aspects of High-Quality Teaching	96
<b>3</b>	<b>Extended Structure Model: Teachers' Knowledge and Competencies</b>	103
3.1	Some Remarks on the Structure Model	104
3.2	The Basic Knowledge Component (BK)	105
3.3	The Reflective Competencies Component (RC)	106
3.4	The Action-Related Competencies Component (AC)	108

3.5	Notes on the Assumed Relations between the Three Components . . . . .	109
3.6	Notes on Assumed Differential Characteristics of the Model . . . . .	112
<b>II</b>	<b>Measuring Knowledge and Competencies of Teachers . . . . .</b>	<b>115</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Research Questions . . . . .</b>	<b>116</b>
4.1	Feasibility Study: Construction of a Measure . . . . .	116
4.2	Evaluation: Structural and Differential Assumptions . . . . .	117
<b>5</b>	<b>Design of the Feasibility Study . . . . .</b>	<b>118</b>
5.1	Study Design and Computerized Implementation . . . . .	118
5.2	Item Development . . . . .	126
5.2.1	Encountered Limitations . . . . .	127
5.2.2	Operationalization of the Action-Related Component . . . . .	130
5.2.3	Operationalization of the Reflective Component . . . . .	133
5.2.4	Operationalization of the Basic Component . . . . .	137
5.2.5	Assembly of the Items for the Test Session . . . . .	139
5.3	Rating Guidelines and Procedures . . . . .	140
5.3.1	Rating Procedure and Overarching Rating Rationale . . . . .	144
5.3.2	Rating of the Action-Related Component . . . . .	148
5.3.3	Rating of the Reflective Component . . . . .	153
5.3.4	Rating of Items of the Basic Component (BK) . . . . .	156
5.3.5	Scoring of the Rated Data . . . . .	157
<b>6</b>	<b>Results . . . . .</b>	<b>158</b>
6.1	Samples . . . . .	158
6.2	Results I: Feasibility Study . . . . .	161
6.2.1	Collection of the Data . . . . .	161
6.2.2	Rating and Scoring of the Data . . . . .	162
6.2.3	Components of the Model . . . . .	165
6.2.4	Discussion: Feasibility Study . . . . .	173
6.3	Results II: Structural and Differential Assumptions . . . . .	177
6.3.1	Relations between the Components . . . . .	177
6.3.2	Further Differential Aspects . . . . .	179
6.3.3	Discussion: Evaluation of the Structure Model . . . . .	184
<b>7</b>	<b>Discussion . . . . .</b>	<b>186</b>
7.1	Measuring Teacher Cognition . . . . .	186
7.2	Possible Implications for Teacher Education . . . . .	188

<b>8</b>	<b>Bibliography</b> . . . . .	191
<b>9</b>	<b>List of Tables</b> . . . . .	208
<b>10</b>	<b>List of Figures</b> . . . . .	210
<b>III</b>	<b>Appendix</b> . . . . .	213
<b>A</b>	<b>Additional Tables and Graphics</b> . . . . .	214
A.1	Sample Characteristics . . . . .	214
A.2	Item Characteristics . . . . .	218
A.3	Scale Characteristics . . . . .	223
<b>B</b>	<b>Selected Parts of the Questionnaire (English)</b> . . . . .	226
B.1	Used Abbreviations . . . . .	226
B.2	Overview of the Questionnaire . . . . .	227
B.3	Translations of Item Instructions . . . . .	229