## **Contents**

Pr	eface.			v		
Fi	gures.			x		
Ta	bles			xii		
Αł	brevi	ations		. xv		
1	Intr	Introduction				
	1.1		r questions			
	1.2		nodel of analysis - overview and important concepts			
		1.2.1	Valency models – a brief overview	3		
		1.2.2	Valency carriers, complements and adjuncts, and different	ıt		
			types of valency	4		
		1.2.3	Valency patterns	6		
		1.2.4	Optionality of complements	8		
		1.2.5	Subject complement unit (SCU) and predicate complement			
			units (PCUs)	. 10		
		1.2.6	Levels of valency and the use of semantic roles	. 11		
			Valency constructions			
			Complement types			
			Participant roles			
	1.3		n of the study			
		_	•			
2	The	mean	ing of complements	. 23		
	2.1	Comp	plement types as part of a verb's valency structure	. 23		
		2.1.1	Overview	. 23		
		2.1.2	Complement types	. 23		
			Valency structures – introducing the model used			
	2.2		native realizations of participants			
			Semantic specialization vs. synonymy of complement types.			
			2.2.1.1 AGENT + <i>talk</i> + TOPIC			
			2.2.1.2 AGENT + agree + TOPIC/REFERENCE			
			2.2.1.3 AGENT + forget + ÆFFECTED			
		2.2.2	Polysemous complement types			
			2.2.2.1 AGENT + cheat + REFERENCE	.49		
			2.2.2.2 AGENT + argue + TOPIC/REFERENCE			
			2.2.2.3 AGENT + <i>inform</i> + TOPIC			
		2.2.3	Blocked patterns			

	2.3	Conclusion	67
		2.3.1 No stable semantic properties	68
		2.3.2 Synonymous and polysemous complement types	78
3	Pat	tern choice and verb meaning	82
	3.1	Assessing the role of verb meaning – theoretical assumptions	82
	3.2		86
		3.2.1 The database – introduction and description of methodo-	-
		logy	86
		3.2.2 Semantically similar verbs and their pattern inventories.	
		3.2.3 Results of the analysis – quantitative evaluation	
	3.3	Phenomena identified in the analysis	124
		3.3.1 Complementation options in a valency framework – an overview	
		3.3.2 Same valency pattern but different participant pattern	124
		3.3.3 Same pattern restricted to a very specific context	
		3.3.4 Different formal realizations of the same participant	
		3.3.4.1 Differences in optionality	
		3.3.4.2 Different formal realizations of the same participant – an overview	
		3.3.4.3 Different formal realizations of BENREC	
		3.3.4.4 Different formal realizations of PREDICATIVE	
		3.3.4.5 Different formal realizations of TOPIC	
		3.3.4.6 Different formal realizations of ÆFFECTED	
		3.3.4.7 Different formal realizations of PREFERENCE	1,0
		and AIM	189
		3.3.4.8 Conclusion	191
		3.3.5 Different flexibility in the combination of participants	193
		3.3.6 Frequency-based differences in the choice of complements	196
		3.3.7 Formal similarity between verbs of opposite meaning	207
	3.4	Different aspects of verb meaning and pattern choice	208
		3.4.1 The participant inventory	209
		3.4.2 Selection restrictions	212
		3.4.3 The situation type of the verb	
	3.5	Implications	
4	Tha	meaning of patterns	2/10
7	41	The pattern as an additional entity	249
	7.1	4.1.1 Complement type-independent pattern restrictions	240
		4.1.1 Complement type-independent pattern restrictions	

			Instability of complement-participant correlation 2:	56
		4.1.4	Realization of participants dependent on the overall	
			pattern	51
		4.1.5	Conclusion	53
	4.2	The re	elationship between pattern and meaning20	54
		4.2.1	Same valency pattern – same participant pattern? 20	54
			4.2.1.1 Theoretical background: assumptions of con-	
			struction grammar20	54
			4.2.1.2 The pattern $[NP + verb + NP + NP]$	67
			4.2.1.3 The pattern [NP + verb + for_NP]	
		4.2.2	Same valency pattern – similar verb meaning?	
			4.2.2.1 Verb class studies – a brief review	
			4.2.2.2 Semantic verb groups based on pattern groups2	
5	Con		n	92
	5.1		rent approaches towards the syntax-semantics interface –	
			sessment in the light of empirical findings29	
	5.2		nary of the results29	99
		5.2.1	Lexical aspect, selection restrictions, and participant	
			inventories2	99
		5.2.2	Competition with other lexical units of the same lexeme 3	02
		5.2.3	No stable complement type or pattern meaning 3	04
			Verb meaning not predictable from pattern choice 3	
		5.2.5	Accounting for alternative valency constructions3	09
			Idiomaticity in complementation	
	5.3		cations for a theory of complementation3	
Α.			2	27
			3	21
			-5 are available at the following URLs:	. ,
			htttp://dx.doi.org/10.1515/9783110240788_appendi	
			htttp://dx.doi.org/10.1515/9783110240788_appendi	
			htttp://dx.doi.org/10.1515/9783110240788_appendi	
Αŗ	pend	ix 5	htttp://dx.doi.org/10.1515/9783110240788_appendi	X.
Re	feren	ces	3	29
	J			ر <u>ح</u>