Table of Contents

| Prefa | ace | 5 | |
|---|---|------|--|
| Abb | reviations | . 13 | |
| Intro | oduction | . 15 | |
| 0.1. | Origin and Purposes of the Research | . 15 | |
| 0.2. | 2. Definition of Direct Effect | | |
| 0.3. | Legal Background | . 18 | |
| 0.4. Starting Point for the Analysis: The Effect of International Agreeme | | | |
| | in Domestic Legal Systems | . 23 | |
| 0.5. | Direct effect of the EU Treaty | . 25 | |
| 0.6. | The Challenging Procedure and the Direct Effect of WTO Agreements | . 28 | |
| | Structure of the Research | | |
| | 1: Empirical Analysis-Practices of the Member States pter 1: Positions of the United States and the European Union | | |
| | The Laws and Practices in the United States | | |
| 1.1. | 1.1.1. The Domestic Legal Status of International Agreements in | . 33 | |
| | General | 25 | |
| | 1.1.1.1. Treaty and Executive Agreements | | |
| | 1.1.1.2. Self-executing and the Hierarchy of the Norms | | |
| | 1.1.1.3. International Agreements as Guidance for Interpreting | . 57 | |
| | Domestic Law | 30 | |
| | 1.1.1.4. Summary | | |
| | 1.1.2. The Legal Status of the GATT 1947 in Domestic Law | | |
| | 1.1.2.1. Provisions of the GATT 1947 in Domestic Law | . 72 | |
| | Obligations under Domestic Law | 43 | |
| | a) The GATT 1947 | | |
| | b) Tokyo Round Agreements | | |
| | 1.1.2.2. The Effect of the GATT | | |
| | a) The GATT 1947 | | |
| | a) 1110 OAT 1 1947 | .40 | |



7

| | | | CATT of A Non- solf accounting A groumant 45 |
|------|--------|------------|--|
| | | | i. GATT as A Non-self-executing Agreement |
| | | | ii. Relationship to Federal and State Law |
| | | | b) Tokyo Round Agreements |
| | 1.1.3. | | al Status of the WTO Agreements in Domestic Law 52 |
| | | 1.1.3.1. | Uruguay Round Implementing Legislation |
| | | | a) The Uruguay Round Agreements Act and the |
| | | | Statement of Administrative Action 54 |
| | | | i. Relationship to Federal Law 55 |
| | | | ii. Relationship to State Law 55 |
| | | | iii. Implementation of DSB Rulings |
| | | | b) Sovereignty Concerns in the Legislation |
| | | | i. Excessive Constraints on Domestic Decision |
| | | | Making Power |
| | | | ii. Derogation of Federalism and Democracy |
| | | 1.1.3.2. | The Indirect Effect of the WTO Agreements |
| | | 1.1.3.2. | a) Admissibility of the Cases |
| | | | |
| | | | b) Administrative Agencies' Discretion on |
| | | a 1 | Interpreting Ambiguous Statutes |
| | | | ion |
| 1.2. | | | Practices in the European Union |
| | 1.2.1. | The Leg | al Status of International Agreements in General74 |
| | | 1.2.1.1. | The Relationship to the Community Law |
| | | | The Relationship to the Domestic Law of Members |
| | | 1.2.1.3. | Grounds for Direct Effect of International Agreements: |
| | | | Uniform Application and Protection for Individual |
| | | | Rights |
| | 1.2.2. | The Leg | al Status of the GATT 1947 and the WTO Agreements 83 |
| | | 1.2.2.1. | Participation of the Community in the GATT and the |
| | | | WTO |
| | | 1.2.2.2. | The Assessments of the ECJ on the Effect of the |
| | | | GATT/WTO Agreements |
| | | | a) Denial of Direct Effect of the GATT 1947 86 |
| | | | b) Rules of the GATT 1947 as Guidelines for |
| | | | Interpreting and Applying Community Law |
| | | | c) Reconfirming the Rulings Concerning the GATT |
| | | | 1947 |
| | | | d) Further Developments on the Issue of Direct Effect |
| | | | - Legal Status of Dispute Settlement Body |
| | | | Decision and Indirect Effect of the WTO |
| | | | |
| | | | Agreements94 |

| | | 1.2.2.3. | ECJ's Rationale Against Direct Effect of the WTO |
|------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | | | Agreements |
| | | | b) Principle of Reciprocity |
| | | | c) Rationale behind the Scene: Discretion of |
| | | | |
| | 102 | C | Community's Political Organs 106 |
| 1.0 | | | on |
| 1.3. | Conclu | ision: Im | plementation of the WTO Rules as Policy Issue 109 |
| Chap | oter 2: P | ositions | of China and Taiwan 111 |
| 2.1. | Laws a | nd Pract | ices in China 111 |
| | 2.1.1. | Domesti | c Legal Status of International Agreements in General 112 |
| | | 2.1.1.1. | International Agreements as Part of Domestic Law 112 |
| | | | a) Acceptance of Treaties and International |
| | | | Agreements |
| | | | b) Laws and Regulations Addressing the Effect of |
| | | | International Agreements 113 |
| | | | i. Laws and Regulations Recognizing Direct |
| | | | Effect of International Agreements 114 |
| | | | ii. Laws and Regulations Implementing the Rules |
| | | | of International Agreements 116 |
| | | | c) Judicial Interpretations of the Effect of International |
| | | | Agreements in Domestic Legal System 117 |
| | | | i. Interpretations and Rulings Recognizing Direct |
| | | | Effect of International Agreements 118 |
| | | | ii. Interpretations and Rulings Implying Refusal |
| | | | of Direct Effect 121 |
| | | | d) Preliminary Observations on the Practice of China 122 |
| | | 2.1.1.2. | Relationship to Domestic Law 125 |
| | 2.1.2. | The Leg | al Status of the WTO Agreements 126 |
| | | 2.1.2.1. | Accession Documents of China 127 |
| | | 2.1.2.2. | Laws, Regulations, and Courts' Interpretations |
| | | | Relevant to the Effect of the WTO Agreements: |
| | | | Divergent Views on the Direct Effect 128 |
| | | 2.1.2.3. | The Effect of WTO Agreements under the Current |
| | | | Legal Framework 131 |
| | | | a) Provisions Concerning the Relationship between |
| | | | Private Parties Tend to Be Directly Effective 132 |

| | b) Provisions Governing the Measures of Governments |
|------|--|
| | Appear Not to Be Directly Effective |
| | 2.1.2.4. Problems under the Current System and Possible |
| | Developments 136 |
| | 2.1.3. Conclusion |
| 2.2. | Laws and Practices in Taiwan 141 |
| | 2.2.1. The General Domestic Legal Status of International |
| | Agreements 142 |
| | 2.2.1.1. International Agreements as Part of Domestic Law 142 |
| | a) The Rules of the Constitution |
| | b) The Practices of the Legislative and Executive |
| | Branches |
| | c) Cases Addressing the Effect of International |
| | Agreements |
| | 2.2.1.2. Relationship to Domestic Laws |
| | 2.2.1.2. Relationship to Domestic Laws |
| | 2.2.2.1. Ratifying the WTO Agreements and Laws Pertinent to |
| | |
| | the Effect of the WTO Agreements |
| | a) Accession Documents of the Separate Customs |
| | Territory of Taiwan, Penghu, Kinmen, and Matsu |
| | and the Ratification of the Protocol of Accession 154 |
| | b) Foreign Trade Act and Regulation Governing the |
| | Implementation of the Imposition of |
| | Countervailing and Anti-Dumping Duties 155 |
| | 2.2.2.2. Cases Addressing the Effect of the WTO Agreements 158 |
| | a) The TRIPS Agreement 158 |
| | b) The Anti-Dumping Agreement and the Agreement |
| | on Government Procurement161 |
| | 2.2.2.3. The Grounds for the Direct Effect of the WTO |
| | Agreements 162 |
| | 2.2.3. Conclusion |
| 2.3. | Conclusion: Considerations for the Status of the WTO Agreements in |
| | the Domestic Legal System 166 |
| | |
| | II: An Analysis of the Grounds For and Against 167 |
| Cha | ter 1: Major Arguments For and Against the Direct Effect |
| | e WTO Agreements 169 |
| | - |
| 1.1. | Arguments for the Direct Effect of the WTO Agreements |
| | 1.1.1. Constitutionalization of International Economic Law 170 |

| | 1.1.1.1. | The Need for the Restraint on Discretion in Trade Policy |
|------------|------------------|---|
| | 1.1.1.2. | The Liberal International Trade Rules As |
| | | Constitutional Constraints on the Discretion in Trade |
| | | Policy |
| | | a) Liberal International Trade Rules Can Have |
| | | Constitutional Features172 |
| | | b) Integrating Liberal International Trade Rules into |
| | | Domestic Constitutional Systems 173 |
| | | nts Based on the Political Economy and Ordnungs- |
| | | Economic Governance) |
| 1.2. | | ainst The Direct Effect of The WTO Agreements |
| | | Analysis on the Direct Effect |
| | | atic Deficit of the WTO Agreements |
| 12 | | onsistencies with the Constitutional Principles 184 te Position for the Direct Effect of the WTO |
| 1.3. | | |
| | | diation through the Panel and Appellate Body Report 186 |
| | | pory of Justiciability |
| 14 | | Preliminary Observations |
| | Summary und | |
| Cha | pter 2: Assessme | ents on the Grounds For and Against 193 |
| 2.1. | Ground for the | Direct Effect I: Rights of Individuals under the WTO |
| | agreements | |
| | 2.1.1. Human | Rights Dimension of the WTO Agreements 195 |
| | | ent of the Relation between Human Rights and WTO |
| | • | ents |
| | 2.1.2.1. | Conflicts as a Result of Different Perceptions of the |
| | | Relationship among States, Individuals, and the Market 199 |
| | 2.1.2.2. | WTO Provisions Embodying the Protection for |
| • • | - · · · · | Human Rights |
| 2.2. | | Direct Effect II: the Effectiveness of the WTO Agree- |
| n 2 | | 208 |
| 2.5. | | Ist Direct Effect 1: Allocation of Competence Between |
| | | he Member States |
| | | ate Intervention on the Implementation of the WTO |
| | | are intervention on the implementation of the w10 |
| | 10105 | |

| 2.4. | Grounds Against Direct Effect II: Lack of Legitimacy in Terms of | |
|----------|---|-----|
| | Democracy and Constitutional Principles | 213 |
| 2.5. | Grounds Against the Direct Effect III: Practical Problems of Direct | |
| | Effect | 214 |
| 2.6. | Conclusion | 215 |
| | | |
| Summary2 | | 219 |
| | | |
| Refe | rences | 225 |

.*