

Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xiii
<i>List of abbreviations</i>	xv
<i>Table of reported cases</i>	xvii
Introduction	1
1 Judging law and ethics at the limits of life	11
1.1 <i>Conflicts in the clinic: the case of David Glass</i>	11
1.2 <i>Judging law: rationality and the rule of law</i>	14
1.2.1 <i>Judging law from within</i>	14
1.2.2 <i>Criteria for rational law</i>	16
1.2.3 <i>Fuller and the rule of law</i>	18
1.2.4 <i>Taking stock: criteria for judging law</i>	21
1.3 <i>Judging ethics</i>	22
1.3.1 <i>Judging ethics from within (law): immanent critique</i>	22
1.3.2 <i>Judging ethics from outside (law): judgments, principles and theories</i>	25
1.4 <i>Conclusion: (not) just law</i>	29
2 Law at the limits of life: children, welfare and best interests	33
2.1 <i>Conflicts in the clinic: the case of Charlotte Wyatt</i>	33
2.2 <i>Best interests, welfare and the law</i>	36
2.2.1 <i>Criminal beginnings?</i>	37
2.2.2 <i>Civil proceedings?</i>	39
2.3 <i>Conclusion: in the child's best interests?</i>	49

3	Law at the limits of life: adults, incapacity and precedent autonomy	51
3.1	<i>Conflicts in the clinic: the case of Terri Schiavo</i>	51
3.2	<i>Best interests, incapacity and precedent autonomy</i>	54
3.2.1	<i>Best interests and the persistent vegetative state</i>	55
3.2.2	<i>Best interests beyond the persistent vegetative state</i>	62
3.2.3	<i>Advance directives</i>	65
3.2.4	<i>Lasting powers of attorney</i>	71
3.3	<i>Conclusion: autonomy or welfare?</i>	72
4	The limits of law at the limits of life: to treat or not to treat?	75
4.1	<i>Conflicts in the clinic: to treat or not to treat?</i>	75
4.2	<i>Taking exception to exceptions: a duty to treat?</i>	76
4.3	<i>In the patient's best interests?</i>	80
4.3.1	<i>Treating like cases alike?</i>	80
4.3.2	<i>Objective rule(s)?</i>	84
4.3.3	<i>Know-ability and perform-ability?</i>	85
4.4	<i>Respecting autonomy?</i>	90
4.5	<i>Subjective judgments? From law to ethics at the limits of life</i>	95
4.6	<i>Conclusion: problems painting unicorns with Odysseus</i>	101
5	Calculating the value of life at the limits of life	103
5.1	<i>Looking for values in English law</i>	103
5.2	<i>The value of life in English law</i>	104
5.3	<i>Calculating the value of life</i>	109
5.3.1	<i>The intrinsic value of life: the disutility of futility?</i>	109
5.3.2	<i>The instrumental value of life: worthless lives?</i>	114
5.3.3	<i>The self-determined value of life: autonomy unbound?</i>	116
5.4	<i>Subjective judgments or objective judgments?</i>	120
5.5	<i>Conclusion: beyond conflict?</i>	122
6	A case for compromise at the limits of life	123
6.1	<i>Beyond conflict in the clinic: towards compromise</i>	123
6.2	<i>Contemplating compromise</i>	125
6.3	<i>Criticising compromise</i>	127
6.4	<i>Cause to compromise: six reasons to compromise</i>	132
6.5	<i>Constructing compromise: three virtues of compromising</i>	135
6.6	<i>Conclusion: compromise in theory and practice</i>	140

7	Crafting compromise: courts or clinical ethics committees?	143
7.1	<i>Conflicts in the clinic revisited: to treat or not to treat?</i>	143
7.2	<i>Viewing values in the court</i>	144
7.2.1	<i>For the courts as vehicles of values</i>	145
7.2.2	<i>Against the courts as vehicles of values</i>	146
7.2.3	<i>Law and ethics in the courts and beyond</i>	148
7.3	<i>Viewing values in clinical ethics support</i>	153
7.3.1	<i>For the ethics support of clinical ethics support</i>	153
7.3.2	<i>Against the ethics support of clinical ethics support</i>	159
7.4	<i>Conclusion: compromise in court or clinical ethics committee?</i>	163
8	Committees, courts and compromise at the limits of life	165
8.1	<i>Reconstructing clinical ethics support</i>	165
8.2	<i>The products of clinical ethics consultation: issuing consistent guidance</i>	166
8.3	<i>The processes of clinical ethics consultation: observing due process</i>	168
8.4	<i>Expertise in clinical ethics consultation: exhibiting ethical expertise</i>	172
8.5	<i>Conclusion: clinical ethics committees, courts and compromise</i>	177
	<i>Bibliography</i>	183
	<i>Index</i>	199