

Contents

Figures	9
Tables	11
Acknowledgements	13
o Introduction	15
o.1 Research questions	18
o.2 Research design and methodologies	18
Part I	
1 Theoretical considerations regarding urban economics	27
1.3 Residence as a differentiated good	27
1.4 Alonso and his followers	28
1.4.1 Muth-Mills model	35
1.4.2 Advances in urban economics	38
1.5 Hedonic prices and urban amenities	41
1.6. Concluding remarks	42
2 Criticism of urban economics and alternative approaches	43
2.1 Introduction	43
2.2 Criticism of urban economics	43
2.3 Defining city	45
2.4 Complex and self-organizing systems: an alternative to urban analysis	47
2.4.1 Cellular automata	49
2.4.2 Agents, actors and cells	50
2.4.3 Transition rules	51
2.4.4 White and Engelen's model	51
2.5 Other cellular automata models	53
2.6 Concluding remarks	54
3 An extension to White and Engelen's model	55
3.1 Theoretical compatibility and the Brazilian case	55
3.2 The extended bottom-up model, including social heterogeneity and negative feedback	57
3.3 Detailing the model	60
3.3.1 The neighborhood effect (N)	60
3.3.2 Accessibility (A)	61
3.3.3 Constraints and competition between actors	62
3.3.4 Further adaptation: zoning and suitability	63
	5

3.4	Implementing the model: the METRONAMICA System	63
3.5	Calibration procedures and the importance of parameters	64
3.6	Validation	64

Part II

4	Historical contextualization	67
4.1	Brazilian rapid urban population growth	67
4.2	Historical description at the state level	68
4.2.1	Establishing Belo Horizonte as Minas Gerais' capital	68
4.2.2	Urban-economic evolution	69
4.2.3	1950s and 1960s: "urban land production" and speculation	71
4.2.4	Population growth of RMBH	72
4.3	Specific intra-urban evolution of RMBH	73
4.4	Administrative and legislation issues	82
4.4.1	Municipalities boundaries	82
4.4.2	A brief history of Belo Horizonte's land-use legislation	84
4.4.3	Description of the present administrative legal situation in RMBH and its trends	84
4.5	Concluding remarks	85
5	The neighborhood	87
5.1	The literature and the definition of neighborhood	87
5.2	The spatial unit chosen	89
5.3	Data: describing urban space	90
5.3.1	Neighbourhood quality index	90
5.3.2	Level of activities index	91
5.3.3	Level of industrial activities index and Predominance of innovative sector	91
5.3.4	Other data	91
5.4	Methodology	92
5.5	Results	92
5.5.1	Neighborhood quality index	93
5.5.2	Level of activities and Level of industrial activities indices	93
5.5.3	Predominance of innovative sectors	101
5.6	Concluding remarks	101
6	Analyzing the neighborhood hedonic prices function using spatial and quantile regression modeling	103
6.1	Introduction	103
6.2	Methodology	105
6.2.1	Spatial analysis and spatial econometric models	105
6.2.2	Weight matrices	106
6.2.3	Quantile regression analysis	107
6.2.4	Spatial-quantile regression analysis	107
6.3	Dataset	108
6.3.1	Dataset used in the model	111

6.4	Model, diagnoses, tests, weight matrix alternatives, and results	111
6.4.1	Weight matrices	113
6.5	Interpretation of results and use of matrices	115
6.5.1	OLS and spatial	115
6.5.2	Quantile Regression Results	118
6.5.3	Spatial-quantile (IVQR)	120
6.6	Concluding remarks	122
7	Description of reference data for calibration and validation	125
7.1	Methodology: multivariate analysis – clustering	125
7.2	Data	127
7.3	Results and discussion	128
7.3.1	Results of the clustering procedures	128
7.3.2	The reference year: 1991	128
7.3.3	Validation reference for 2000	137
7.3.4	Description of evolution from 1991 to 2000	139
7.3.5	Quantitative occupation of space by different actors	141
7.4	Spatial configuration of prices in 2007	141
7.5	Concluding remarks	143
8	Application: cellular automata model for the Greater Area of Belo Horizonte (1897-1991)	145
8.1.	Objective of the application	145
8.2.	Definition of actors	145
8.2.1	Exogenous historical features implemented	147
8.3	Application data	147
8.3.1	Workspace: study area	147
8.3.2	Exogenous demand	149
8.3.3	Accessibility	149
8.4	Possible scenarios: data	150
8.5	Model application time scheme and validation	150
8.6	Choice of parameters	152
8.6.1	Neighborhood (N)	152
8.6.2	Accessibility (A)	153
8.6.3	Price (P)	157
8.6.4	Comparison with the reference map to confirm parameter choice	157
8.6.5	Relative price configuration and comparison with reference map	164
8.7	Validation part I: actors	164
8.8	Sensitivity analysis	166
8.8.1	Simulation results without prices	166
8.8.2	Simulation results with double influence of prices	167
8.8.3	Simulation results without accessibility parameters	169
8.8.4	Simulation results with similar N parameters for all residential income levels	169
8.8.5	Simulation without accessibility parameters, with similar N parameters, and without price parameters	169

8.9	Scenario analysis	171
8.10	Concluding remarks	172
9	Cross-validation: part II, prices	175
9.1	Models	175
9.2	Results, analyses and interpretation	176
10	Concluding remarks	181
10.1	Critical concluding remarks	181
10.2	Research questions revisited	182
10.3	Limitations	183
10.4	Suggestions for further research	184
	References	185
11	Appendix	197
11.1	Initial occupation of Belo Horizonte	197
11.2	RMBH municipalities at creation in 1974	197
11.3	Principal components	197
11.4	Other data	200
11.5	Metrics on quantifying maps	200
11.6	Methodological note on the data availability for 1991	201
11.7	CA model data	201
	Resumo em português (Portuguese extended summary)	215
	Samenvatting in het Nederlands (Dutch summary)	223
	Curriculum Vitae	227
	Endnotes	229