

CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	ii
List of tables	4-5
List of figures	6-8
Glossary	9
Chapter 1 – Introduction	
1.1 Synopsis	1
1.2 Aims	1
1.3 Why Europe?	2
1.4 Definition of Europe	2
1.5 Chronological boundaries of the study	3
Chapter 2 - Long versus short chronology: The antiquity of European colonisation	
2.1 The long chronology	
The first inter-continental traveller	4
The ‘eolith’ problem	6
Summary of the long chronology	6
2.2 The short chronology	
Primary context sites	7
The ambiguous nature of lithics >0.5 mya	7
Hominin fossils in Europe	8
Summary of the short chronology	8
2.3 Chapter summary	9
Chapter 3 - <i>In situ</i> evolution versus dispersals: The permanency of European colonisation	
3.1 The Pleistocene ‘Ice Age’	
Regulation of the glacial cycle	10
Registering glacials and interglacials	10
Glacial temperatures	11
3.2 Coping with the ice age	
Social buffering	11
Food availability	13
Day length	13
3.3 Barriers to European colonisation	
Did hominins cross the Strait of Gibraltar?	14
Mountain belts, plateaus and plains	18
Carnivore guilds	18
3.4 Chapter summary	19
Chapter 4 - Population continuity versus discontinuity: The palaeodemography of European colonisation	
4.1 Glacial refugia	21
4.2 A ‘pulse-flow’ colonisation of Europe?	22
4.3 Chapter summary	23
Chapter 5 - Mode 1 versus Mode 2: The cognitive capabilities of contemporaneous European populations	
5.1 Definition of Mode 1 and Mode 2 technology	24
5.2 The validity of Mode 1 and Mode 2 classification	24
5.3 The paradox of Mode 1 sites in Europe	26
5.4 Previous attempts to measure behavioural complexity from material culture	26
5.5 Chapter summary	29

Chapter 6 - Methodology I: The database	
6.1 Data collection	
Omissions	30
What constitutes a site?	30
6.2 The structure of the database	
Tables of the database	31
 Chapter 7 - Methodology II: The grading system	
7.1 The validity of using archaeology to measure behavioural complexity	34
7.2 A multi-variable approach to quantifying behavioural complexity	
Stone tools: an archaeological blessing and a cognitive curse	35
Behaviour as a series of discrete (non-metric) traits	35
7.3 The structure of the grading system	
Planning charts	36
The overriding grading criteria	37
7.4 Site selection	39
Summary of each site >MIS 17	40
7.5 Interpreting the archaeology	
Archaeological context and behavioural resolution	46
Justifying qualitative interpretation	46
7.6 Categories of the grading system	
Use of wood	48
Use of fire	53
Use of bone	63
Transfer distance of stone used in tool manufacture	67
Symbolic representation	71
Shelter	78
Spatial organisation	83
Use of rare local stone in tool manufacture	87
Artificial pavements	90
Manuports	94
Hafting	97
Faunal remains	104
Variety of stone used in tool manufacture	110
 Chapter 8 - Results I: Long versus short chronology	
8.1 Geographical distribution of sites and landscape preference	113
8.2 Technological distribution	119
8.3 Chronological distribution of sites	120
8.4 Environmental background and site distribution	123
8.5 Chapter summary	131
 Chapter 9 - Results II: <i>In situ</i> evolution versus dispersals	
9.1 Presence/absence of each behaviour	133
Use of wood	133
Use of fire	135
Use of bone	139
Transfer distance of stone used in tool manufacture	141
Symbolic representation	142
Shelter	144
Spatial organisation	146
Use of rare local stone in tool manufacture	148
Artificial pavements	149
Manuports	151
Hafting	152
Faunal remains	154

Variety of stone used in tool manufacture	155
9.2 Chronological distribution of all behaviours	157
9.3 Chapter summary	159
Chapter 10 - Results III: Population continuity versus discontinuity	
10.1 The complexity of behaviour	161
10.2 Chapter summary	176
Chapter 11 - Results IV: Mode 1 versus Mode 2	
11.1 Geographical distribution of Mode 1 and Mode 2	179
11.2 Statistical test used	180
11.3 Results	
All sites	180
Comparison of CS in each MIS	181
Comparison of CS in each region	182
11.4 Chapter summary	184
Chapter 12 – Discussion	
12.1 Discussion	186
<i>References</i>	193
<i>Personal communications</i>	215
<i>Appendices</i>	
<i>Summary of appendices</i>	216
<i>Appendix 1: Grading system raw data</i>	217
<i>Appendix 2: Sites in the database omitted from the dataset</i>	233
<i>References for Appendix 2</i>	246
<i>Appendix 3: $\delta^{18}O$ levels throughout the European Lower Palaeolithic</i>	254
<i>Appendix 4: Database of Lower Palaeolithic sites in Europe (CD-ROM)</i> <i>(includes separate bibliography)</i>	

LIST OF TABLES

Chapter 2

Table 1:	Sites most commonly used to support the long chronology	5
Table 2:	Sites on the periphery of Europe, used to support the long chronology	5
Table 3:	Changes in the archaeological record of Europe >0.5 mya, as argued by the short chronology	7

Chapter 6

Table 4:	Boundary dates of MIS pertaining to the Lower Palaeolithic of Europe	33
----------	--	----

Chapter 7

Table 5a:	List of sites used in the analyses that are dated between MIS 17 and MIS 9	42
Table 5b:	List of sites used in the analyses that are dated to >MIS17	44
Table 6:	The overriding grading criteria	47
Table 7:	Grade 1 criteria for the use of wood	48
Table 8:	Grade 2 criteria for the use of wood	49
Table 9:	Grade 3 criteria for the use of wood	49
Table 10:	Grade 4 criteria for the use of wood	50
Table 11:	Grade 5 criteria for the use of wood	51
Table 12:	Grade 1 criteria for the use of fire	53
Table 13:	Grade 2 criteria for the use of fire	56

Table 14:	Grade 3 criteria for the use of fire	57
Table 15:	Grade 4 criteria for the use of fire	57
Table 16:	Grade 5 criteria for the use of fire	60
Table 17:	Grade 1 criteria for the use of bone	63
Table 18:	Grade 2 criteria for the use of bone	63
Table 19:	Grade 3 criteria for the use of bone	64
Table 20:	Grade 4 criteria for the use of bone	64
Table 21:	Grade 5 criteria for the use of bone	65
Table 22:	Grade 1 criteria for the transfer distance of stone used in tool manufacture	67
Table 23:	Grade 2 criteria for the transfer distance of stone used in tool manufacture	68
Table 24:	Grade 3 criteria for the transfer distance of stone used in tool manufacture	68
Table 25:	Grade 4 criteria for the transfer distance of stone used in tool manufacture	69
Table 26:	Grade 5 criteria for the transfer distance of stone used in tool manufacture	69
Table 27:	Grade 1 criteria for symbolic representation	71
Table 28:	Grade 2 criteria for symbolic representation	72
Table 29:	Grade 3 criteria for symbolic representation	73
Table 30:	Grade 4 criteria for symbolic representation	74
Table 31:	Grade 5 criteria for symbolic representation	74
Table 32:	Grade 1 criteria for shelter	78
Table 33:	Grade 2 criteria for shelter	78
Table 34:	Grade 3 criteria for shelter	79
Table 35:	Grade 4 criteria for shelter	80
Table 36:	Grade 5 criteria for shelter	81
Table 37:	Grade 1 criteria for spatial organisation	83
Table 38:	Grade 2 criteria for spatial organisation	83
Table 39:	Grade 3 criteria for spatial organisation	84
Table 40:	Grade 4 criteria for spatial organisation	84
Table 41:	Grade 5 criteria for spatial organisation	84
Table 42:	Grade 1 criteria for the use of rare local stone in tool manufacture	87
Table 43:	Grade 2 criteria for the use of rare local stone in tool manufacture	87
Table 44:	Grade 3 criteria for the use of rare local stone in tool manufacture	88
Table 45:	Grade 4 criteria for the use of rare local stone in tool manufacture	88
Table 46:	Grade 5 criteria for the use of rare local stone in tool manufacture	88
Table 47:	Grade 1 criteria for artificial pavements	90
Table 48:	Grade 2 criteria for artificial pavements	90
Table 49:	Grade 3 criteria for artificial pavements	91
Table 50:	Grade 4 criteria for artificial pavements	91
Table 51:	Grade 5 criteria for artificial pavements	91
Table 52:	Grade 1 criteria for manuports	94
Table 53:	Grade 2 criteria for manuports	94
Table 54:	Grade 3 criteria for manuports	94
Table 55:	Grade 4 criteria for manuports	95
Table 56:	Grade 5 criteria for manuports	95
Table 57:	Grade 1 criteria for hafting	97
Table 58:	Grade 2 criteria for hafting	98
Table 59:	Grade 3 criteria for hafting	98
Table 60:	Grade 4 criteria for hafting	99
Table 61:	Grade 5 criteria for hafting	100
Table 62:	Grade 1 criteria for faunal remains	104
Table 63:	Susceptibility of mammalian bones to transport in a flume	104
Table 64:	Taphonomic characteristics of fossil vertebrae assemblages	104
Table 65:	Grade 2 criteria for ‘faunal remains’	105
Table 66:	General consumption sequence devised by Blumenshine	106
Table 67:	Grade 3 criteria for faunal remains	106
Table 68:	Grade 4 criteria for faunal remains	107
Table 69:	Grade 5 criteria for faunal remains	107

Table 70:	Grade 1 criteria for variety of stone used in tool manufacture	110
Table 71:	Grade 2 criteria for variety of stone used in tool manufacture	110
Table 72:	Grade 3 criteria for variety of stone used in tool manufacture	111
Table 73:	Grade 4 criteria for variety of stone used in tool manufacture	111
Table 74:	Grade 5 criteria for variety of stone used in tool manufacture	111

Chapter 8

Table 75:	Details of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ levels from MIS 40 to MIS 9 (1.3 mya to 0.3 mya)	123
-----------	---	-----

Chapter 11

Table 76:	Comparison of all Mode 1 and Mode 2 sites	180
Table 77:	Comparison of all primary context Mode 1 and Mode 2 sites	180
Table 78:	Comparison of Mode 1 and Mode 2 sites in MIS 13	181
Table 79:	Comparison of Mode 1 and Mode 2 sites in MIS 11	181
Table 80:	Comparison of Mode 1 and Mode 2 sites in MIS 9	181
Table 81:	Total CS for the interval of MIS 9, with each variable removed in turn	182
Table 82:	TCS in MIS 9 minus the sites of Castel di Guido and La Polledrara and then with ‘use of bone’ omitted	182
Table 83:	Comparison of all Mode 1 and Mode 2 sites in the NW	182
Table 84:	Comparison of all Mode 1 and Mode 2 sites in the NW during MIS 13	182
Table 85:	Comparison of all Mode 1 and Mode 2 sites in the NW during MIS 11	183
Table 86:	Comparison of all Mode 1 and Mode 2 sites in the NC	183
Table 87:	Comparison of all Mode 1 and Mode 2 sites in the MW	183
Table 88:	Comparison of all Mode 1 and Mode 2 sites in the MW during MIS 11	183
Table 89:	Comparison of all Mode 1 and Mode 2 sites in the MC	184
Table 90:	Comparison of all Mode 1 and Mode 2 sites in the MC (omitting the bone biface sites)	184

Chapter 12

Table 91:	Summary of colonisation phases during the European Lower Palaeolithic	185
-----------	---	-----

LIST OF FIGURES

Chapter 5

Figure 1:	Optical illusion	29
-----------	----------------------------	----

Chapter 6

Figure 2:	Gamble’s European divisions	32
-----------	---------------------------------------	----

Chapter 7

Figure 3:	Example of a planning chart	37
Figure 4:	The overriding grading criteria explained	38
Figure 5:	Diagram showing the hierarchical relationship between elements of the grading system	39
Figure 6:	Map showing the geographical distribution of the 108 sites in the dataset	41
Figure 7:	Planning chart for the ‘use of wood’	52
Figure 8:	Blowing a hot coal in tinder to catch fire	58
Figure 9:	Seconds later the tinder catches fire	58
Figure 10:	The bow-drill kit used by the author	58
Figure 11:	Planning chart for the ‘use of fire’	62
Figure 12:	Planning chart for the ‘use of bone’	66
Figure 13:	Planning chart for the ‘transfer distance of stone used in tool manufacture’	70
Figure 14:	The West Tofts biface	76
Figure 15:	Biface knapped by Mike Petraglia	76
Figure 16:	Planning chart for ‘symbolic representation’	77
Figure 17:	Planning chart for ‘shelter’	82
Figure 18:	Planning chart for ‘spatial organisation’	86

Figure 19:	Planning chart for the ‘use of rare local stone in tool manufacture’	89
Figure 20:	Planning chart for ‘artificial pavement’	93
Figure 21:	Planning chart for ‘manuports’	96
Figure 22:	A hafting splice created by hammering a large flake (right of photograph) into the shaft	98
Figure 23:	A stone tool being secured in place with hog gut	100
Figure 24:	Melting pine resin on a reed	102
Figure 25:	Melting pine resin in a hollowed-out cow’s hoof	102
Figure 26:	Applying liquefied pine resin to the binding of a hafted tool	102
Figure 27:	The finished hafted tool: wrapped with hog gut and secured with pine resin	102
Figure 28:	Planning chart for ‘hafting’	103
Figure 29:	Planning chart for ‘faunal remains’	109
Figure 30:	Planning chart for the ‘variety of stone used in tool manufacture’	112
 Chapter 8		
Figure 31:	Relief map showing the geographical distribution of all sites in the dataset	114
Figure 32:	Histogram showing the percentage of all sites occurring in one of four major landscape types	114
Figure 33:	Map showing the distribution of all glacial sites in the dataset dated to >MIS 17	117
Figure 34:	Map showing the distribution of all interglacial sites in the dataset dated to ≤MIS 17	118
Figure 35:	Relief map showing the geographical distribution of all primary context sites in the dataset	118
Figure 36:	Chronological distribution of sites with Mode 1 and Mode 2 technology and those with no lithics	119
Figure 37:	Chronological distribution of European sites described as ‘proto-Levallois’ or with Levallois-type’ products	119
Figure 38:	Chrono-geographic distribution of sites described as ‘proto-Levallois’ or containing ‘Levallois-type’ products	120
Figure 39:	Chronological distribution of all sites in the dataset	121
Figure 40:	Chronological distribution of all primary context sites in the dataset	121
Figure 41:	Chronological division of sites according to broad latitudinal bands	121
Figure 42:	Chronological distribution of sites by region	122
Figure 43:	Climatic variability based upon the number of temperature reversals in each MIS	124
Figure 44:	Climatic range in the period from MIS 40 to MIS 9 (1.3 mya to 0.3 mya)	124
Figure 45:	Duration of each MIS (kyr) in the period from MIS 40 to MIS 9 (1.3 mya to 0.3 mya)	124
Figure 46:	Geographical distribution of archaeological sites in Europe throughout the Lower Palaeolithic	125
Figure 47:	Temperature reconstructions of isotope stages ≤MIS 17	127
Figure 48:	Chronological distribution of sites in relation to major phases of dispersals	132
 Chapter 9		
Figure 49:	Number of sites in each MIS exhibiting each behaviour	133
Figure 50:	Chronological distribution of the use of wood	134
Figure 51:	Types of evidence for the use of wood	134
Figure 52:	Regional distribution of sites yielding evidence for the use of wood	135
Figure 53:	Chronological distribution of the use of fire	136
Figure 54:	Types of evidence for the use of fire	136
Figure 55:	Number of types of evidence for the use of fire at each site	136
Figure 56:	Regional distribution of sites yielding evidence for the use of fire	138
Figure 57:	Chronological distribution of the use of bone	139
Figure 58:	Types of evidence for the use of bone	139
Figure 59:	Regional distribution of sites yielding evidence for the use of bone	140
Figure 60:	Transfer distance of stone used in tool manufacture	141
Figure 61:	Regional distribution of sites yielding evidence for the transfer distance of raw material over 4km	142
Figure 62:	Chronological distribution of symbolic representation	143
Figure 63:	Types of evidence for symbolic representation	143
Figure 64:	Regional distribution of sites yielding evidence for symbolic representation	144
Figure 65:	Chronological distribution of sites with evidence of shelter	145

Figure 66:	Types of evidence for shelter	145
Figure 67:	Regional distribution of sites yielding evidence for shelter	146
Figure 68:	Chronological distribution of spatial organisation	147
Figure 69:	Types of evidence for spatial organisation	147
Figure 70:	Regional distribution of sites yielding evidence for spatial organisation	148
Figure 71:	Distribution of sites yielding evidence for the use of rare local stone in the MW	148
Figure 72:	Chronological distribution of pavements	149
Figure 73:	Types of evidence for pavements	149
Figure 74:	Regional distribution of sites yielding evidence for pavements	150
Figure 75:	Chronological distribution of manuports	151
Figure 76:	Transfer distance of manuports	151
Figure 77:	Regional distribution of sites yielding evidence for manuports	152
Figure 78:	Chronological distribution of hafting	153
Figure 79:	Types of evidence for hafting	153
Figure 80:	Regional distribution of sites yielding evidence for hafting	153
Figure 81:	Chronological distribution of the hominin impact upon faunal remains	154
Figure 82:	Regional distribution of sites yielding evidence for hunting	155
Figure 83:	Chronological distribution of the variety of stone used in tool manufacture	155
Figure 84:	Regional distribution of sites yielding evidence for 4+ raw materials used in stone tool manufacture	156
Figure 85:	Chronological distribution of behavioural diversity shown in relation to major dispersal phases	157
Figure 86:	Scatter plot showing the number of behaviours exhibited in each MIS	158
Figure 87:	Scatter plot showing the total number of archaeological sites in each MIS	158
Figure 88:	Quadratic regression showing the extent to which the number of behaviours in each MIS/age bracket deviates from what is expected in relation to the number of sites	159
 Chapter 10		
Figure 89:	Behavioural complexity across Europe from 1.8 to 1.2 mya	162
Figure 90:	Behavioural complexity across Europe from 1.2 to 1 mya	163
Figure 91:	Behavioural complexity across Europe from 1 to 0.78 mya	164
Figure 92:	Behavioural complexity across Europe from 0.78 to 0.712 mya	165
Figure 93:	Behavioural complexity across Europe in MIS 17	166
Figure 94:	Behavioural complexity across Europe in MIS 16	167
Figure 95:	Behavioural complexity across Europe in MIS 15	168
Figure 96:	Behavioural complexity across Europe in MIS 14	169
Figure 97:	Behavioural complexity across Europe in MIS 13	170
Figure 98:	Behavioural complexity across Europe in MIS 12	171
Figure 99:	Behavioural complexity across Europe in MIS 11	172
Figure 100:	Behavioural complexity across Europe in MIS 10	173
Figure 101:	Behavioural complexity across Europe in MIS 9	174
 Chapter 11		
Figure 102:	Relief map showing the geographical distribution of Mode 1 sites in the dataset	177
Figure 103:	Relief map showing the geographical distribution of Mode 2 sites in the dataset	178
Figure 104:	Relief map showing the geographical distribution of fossil-only sites in the dataset	178
Figure 105:	Chronological distribution of Mode 1, Mode 2 and non-lithic yielding sites in each region of Europe	179
 Chapter 12		
Figure 106:	Factors driving the shift in the archaeological record in MIS 13	191