

Contents

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xi
Introduction	1
1. The Question	7
1.1 The Question	7
1.2 Two Interpretations of Contingencies	12
1.3 The Possibility of Control	16
1.4 Practical Reason	19
1.5 Toward an Answer	23
2. Increasing Control	26
2.1 A Case in Point	27
2.2 The Question of Significance	28
2.3 The Variable Approach	33
2.4 Objections Considered	38
2.5 Loose Ends	46
3. Correcting Attitudes	47
3.1 Values	48
3.2 Limits	53
3.3 Commitments	56
3.4 Critical Reflection	63
3.5 Corrected Attitudes	64
4. Aiming at Well-Being	67
4.1 Mistakes about Well-Being	68
4.2 Coherence	72
4.3 Coherence is Not Enough	76
4.4 Realism	81
4.5 Obstacles to Well-Being	86

5.	Dimensions of Value	88
5.1	The Human Dimension	89
5.2	The Cultural Dimension	91
5.3	The Personal Dimension	96
5.4	Conflicts	97
5.5	Right vs. Wrong Approaches to Conflict-Resolution	103
6.	The Human Dimension and Evil	114
6.1	What is Evil?	114
6.2	The Secular Problem of Evil	119
6.3	Inadequate Explanations	122
6.4	Toward an Adequate Explanation	127
6.5	Evil and the Human Condition	135
7.	Responsibility for Evil	138
7.1	The Reflexivity of Evil	139
7.2	Intention and Responsibility	146
7.3	The Standard	149
7.4	Excuses	153
7.5	Weakening the Reasons for Evil-doing	160
8.	The Cultural Dimension and Disenchantment	162
8.1	Disenchantment	163
8.2	Modes of Evaluation	166
8.3	Exemplars	171
8.4	The Quest for an Overriding Mode of Evaluation	177
8.5	Modus Vivendi	182
9.	The Personal Dimension and Boredom	185
9.1	Boredom	186
9.2	The Thrill of Evil	189
9.3	Unassuagable Boredom?	194
9.4	The Burden of Reflection	199
9.5	Overview	204

10. Secular Hope	207
10.1 Depth	207
10.2 Modest Hope	211
10.3 The Illusion of Autonomy	216
10.4 The Illusion of the Categorical Imperative	219
10.5 Secular Hope without Illusions	225
Conclusion	232
<i>Notes</i>	246
<i>Works Cited</i>	262
<i>Index</i>	271