

# Contents

List of Tables	xi
List of Figures	xiii
1 Introduction	1
2 Overconfidence among Professional Investors: Evidence from Mutual Fund Managers <sup>1</sup>	9
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	9
2.2 Methodology . . . . .	16
2.2.1 Turnover Ratio as a Proxy for Overconfidence . .	16
2.2.2 Data . . . . .	18
2.3 Results . . . . .	20
2.3.1 Overconfidence and Past Performance . . . . .	21
2.3.2 Impact of Market Returns . . . . .	32
2.3.3 Management Structure . . . . .	35
2.3.4 Alternative Explanations . . . . .	37
2.4 Conclusion . . . . .	47

---

<sup>1</sup>This chapter has been published as Puetz and Ruenzi (2011), Overconfidence among Professional Investors: Evidence from Mutual Fund Managers, *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 38(5/6), 684-712, Blackwell Publishing.

<b>3</b>	<b>The Valuation of Hedge Funds' Equity Positions<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>49</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	49
3.2	Data . . . . .	54
3.2.1	Data Sources and Identification of Hedge Fund Advisors . . . . .	54
3.2.2	Data Steps and Valuation Deviation Measure . .	56
3.2.3	Sample Description . . . . .	57
3.3	Frequency and Magnitude of Valuation Deviations. . . .	58
3.3.1	Valuation Deviations over Time . . . . .	58
3.3.2	Valuation Deviations across Advisors . . . . .	59
3.4	A First Pass at Possible Explanations . . . . .	60
3.4.1	Is Illiquidity Responsible for the Valuation Devi- ations? . . . . .	60
3.4.2	Are Institutional Arrangements Responsible for the Valuation Deviations? . . . . .	65
3.5	Suspicious Return Patterns and Valuation Deviations . .	66
3.5.1	Discontinuity around Zero and Valuation Devia- tions . . . . .	67
3.5.2	Smoothed Returns and Valuation Deviations . .	73
3.6	Advertising and Valuation Deviations . . . . .	78
3.6.1	Reporting to a Database and Valuation Deviations	78
3.6.2	Valuation Deviations Before and After Joining a Database . . . . .	80
3.7	Offshore Domiciles and Valuation Deviations . . . . .	81
3.8	Past Performance and Directional Valuation Deviations	83
3.8.1	Methodology . . . . .	85
3.8.2	Results . . . . .	86
3.9	Conclusion . . . . .	88

---

<sup>2</sup>This chapter is based on Cici, Kempf, and Puetz (2011).

<b>4</b>	<b>Are Two Business Degrees Better Than One? Evidence from Mutual Fund Managers' Education<sup>3</sup></b>	<b>91</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	91
4.2	Data . . . . .	97
4.2.1	Data Sources . . . . .	97
4.2.2	Fund Characteristics . . . . .	99
4.2.3	Business Education Overview . . . . .	101
4.3	Performance . . . . .	103
4.3.1	Overall . . . . .	103
4.3.2	Extremity . . . . .	107
4.3.3	Persistence . . . . .	110
4.4	Risk and Style . . . . .	113
4.4.1	Level and Extremity . . . . .	113
4.4.2	Variability . . . . .	117
4.5	Conclusion . . . . .	123
	<b>References</b>	<b>127</b>
	<b>Appendix to Chapter 2</b>	<b>139</b>
	<b>Appendix to Chapter 3</b>	<b>140</b>
	<b>Appendix to Chapter 4</b>	<b>143</b>

---

<sup>3</sup>This chapter is based on Andreu and Puetz (2012).