Table of Contents

General Introduction 1

1. Developmental Dyslexia and Specific Language Impairment. The same or different? 7
1.1. General characteristics of developmental dyslexia 8
1.2. Theories of developmental dyslexia 8
1.2.1. The phonological deficit theory 8
1.2.2. The temporal processing deficit 9
1.2.3. The cerebellar theory 10
1.2.4. The magnocellular theory 10
1.3. Theories of developmental dyslexia: critical comments 12
1.4. General characteristics of SLI 14
1.4.1. Overview of the grammatical errors in SLI 15
1.5. Theories of Specific Language Impairment 16
1.5.1. From the Extended Optional Infinitive Stage towards the Agreement and Tense Omission Model 16
1.5.2. The Missing feature hypothesis 18
1.5.3. The Missing agreement hypothesis 19
1.5.4. Representational deficit for dependency relations 20
1.5.5. The surface hypothesis 20
1.5.6. The limited processing account 22
1.6. Theories of SLI: critical comments 22
1.7. Aetiology 24
1.7.1. Genetics 24
1.7.2. Environmental factors 26
1.8. Linguistic precursors of developmental dyslexia 26
1.8.1. Phonological development 26
1.8.2. Syntactic development 27
1.9. Dyslexia and SLI: the same or different 28
1.10. General Research Questions 31
1.11. Research Methodology 31
1.11.1. Subjects 31
1.11.1.1. Number, age and selection criteria 31
1.11.1.2 Individual and demographical characteristics of the subjects 33
1.11.2. General procedure 35
1.11.3. General expectations 35
1.12. Summary of General Introduction and Chapter 1 36

2. Some notes on auxiliary verbs 37
2.1. Outline of this chapter 37
2.2. Some characteristics of auxiliary verbs 38
2.3. The role of auxiliaries in marking grammatical properties 39
2.3.1. Tense 39
2.3.2. Aspect 40
2.3.3. Modality 42
2.4. The acquisition of auxiliary verbs by children with SLI 43
2.4.1. Early studies in English 43
2.4.2. Later studies in English 44
2.4.3. Italian and French 45
2.4.4. Swedish 45
2.4.5. Dutch and German 46
2.4.6. Conclusion 47
2.5. Possible explanations for the findings 48
2.5.1. The extended optional infinitive stage hypothesis 48
2.5.2. The limited processing capacity hypothesis 48
2.5.3. The surface hypothesis 49
2.5.4. The Missing Agreement hypothesis & RDDR 50
2.5.5. An evaluation of the different theories based on the cross-linguistic data 50
2.6. Auxiliaries in morphosyntactic dependency relations 52
2.7. Some notes on (the construction of) the past participle 53
2.7.1. The past participle in English 53
2.7.2. The past participle in Dutch 54
2.7.3. Previous studies in English speaking children with SLI 56
2.8. Main Research Questions of this thesis 58
2.9. Summary 58

3. Perceptual sensitivity to morphosyntactic agreement in infants 61
3.1. Outline of this chapter 62
3.2. Perceptual sensitivity to syntactic categories and combinatorial principles in infants 62
3.3. Early language abilities in infants at risk for dyslexia 64
3.3.1. The Finnish study 64
3.3.2. The Dutch study 66
3.4. Research questions 67
3.5. Experiment 1 68
3.5.1. Method 68
3.5.1.1. Subjects 68
3.5.1.2. Stimuli 68
3.5.1.3. Design and apparatus 69
3.5.1.4. Procedure 70

3.5.1.5. Data analysis 71
3.5.2. Results 72
3.5.2.1. Control group 72
3.5.2.2 At-risk group 72
3.6. Experiment 2 73
3.6.1. Method 73
3.6.1.1. Subjects 73
3.6.1.2. Stimuli, Design, Apparatus, Procedure 73
3.6.1.3. Data analysis 73
3.6.2. Results 73
3.7. Experiment 3 74
3.7.1. Method 74
3.7.1.1. Subjects 74
3.7.1.2. Stimuli 74
3.7.1.3. Design, Apparatus, Procedure 75
3.7.1.4. Data analyzes 75
3.7.2. Results 75
3.8. Discussion 76
3.9. Summary 80

4. The production of the past participle 81
4.1. Outline of this chapter 81
4.2. The acquisition of the past participle in Dutch 82
4.2.1. What children need to learn 82
4.2.2. Corpus data on past participle production 82
4.3. The production of grammatical morphemes in complex structures 83
4.4. Research questions 85
4.5. Method 85
4.5.1. Subjects 85
4.5.2. Stimuli and Procedure 85
4.5.3. Data Analysis 86
4.6. Results 88
4.6.1. Sentence Type A (Intransitives) 88
4.6.2. Sentence Type B (Transitives) 89
4.6.3. Form of the Verb 90
4.6.4. Violation of morphosyntactic agreement 94
4.7. Discussion 96
4.8. Summary 101

5. The role of argument structure and working memory in the production of closed class items 103
5.1. Outline of this chapter 103
Part One 103

5.2. Some background notes on verb argument structure 103
5.3. The acquisition of argument structure 105
5.4. Argument structure in sentence processing and production 106
5.5. Argument structure in Specific Language Impairment 109
5.6. Intermediate summary 111
5.7. Research questions (i) - (ii): part one 112
Experiment 1 112
5.8. Method 112
5.8.1. Subjects 112
5.8.2. Stimulus Material 113
5.8.3. Design and procedure 114
5.8.4. Scoring and reliability 114
5.8.5. Data analysis 115
5.9. Results 115
5.9.1. Auxiliary verb omission 115
5.9.2. ge- omission 117
5.9.3. Determiner omission 117
5.9.4. Subject omission 118
Part two 119
5.10. Structural complexity versus length: the role of short-term memory capacity 119
5.11. The role of short-term memory in language development 119
5.11.1. The working memory model 119
5.11.2. Working memory in children with dyslexia 121
5.11.3. Working memory in children with SLI 122
5.12. Research questions (iii) and (iv) : part two 122
Experiment 2 123
5.13. Method 123
5.13.1. Subjects 123
5.13.2. Design and Procedure 123
5.13.3. Scoring and Reliability 124
5.13.4. Data Analysis 124
5.14. Results 124
5.14.1. Phonological working memory 124
5.14.2. Phonological working memory and the omission of closed class items 126
5.15. Discussion 128
5.16. Summary 134

6. Sensitivity to morphosyntatic agreement in school-going children at risk for developing dyslexia 135
6.1. Outline of this chapter 136
6.2. Syntactic processing in developmental dyslexia 136

6.3. Research questions 138
6.4. Method 139
6.4.1. Subjects 139
6.4.2. Stimuli 139
6.4.3. Design and Apparatus 141
6.4.4. Procedure 141
6.4.5. Scoring and Reliability 142
6.4.6. Data Analysis 142
6.5. Results 143
6.5.1. The relation between 'heeft' and the past participle 143
6.5.2. The relation between 'kan' and the infinitive 145
6.6. Discussion 147
6.7. Summary 152

7. Summary and Conclusions 153
7.1. Summary of the experimental work 153
7.2. Theoretical implications 155
7.3. Clinical implications 157
7.4. General conclusion 158

Appendices 161
Appendix A: Stimulus material: chapter 3, experiment 1. 161
Appendix B: Stimulus material: chapter 3, experiment 3. 164
Appendix C: Stimulus material used in sentence completion task, chapter 4 167
Appendix D: Stimulus material used in sentence imitation task, chapter 5 168
Appendix E: Digit Span Test, chapter 5. 169
Appendix F: Discrimination Task, Version A, chapter 6 171

Bibliography 173

Samenvatting in het Nederlands 191