

Contents

Acknowledgments	viii
Symbols used in Discourse Transcriptions	ix
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Definition of Pragmatic Markers in This Work	4
1.2.1 Pragmatic Markers and Reflexivity	4
1.2.2 Pragmatic Markers as Contextualisation Cues	6
1.3 Methodology	8
1.4 Linguistic Theories Accounting for the Relationship between Pragmatic Markers and Context	9
1.4.1 Integrative Theories	10
1.4.2 Relevance Theory	11
1.4.3 Pragmatic Markers and Meaning Potentials	12
1.5 Pragmatic Markers and the Context	13
1.6 Formal Features of Pragmatic Markers	16
1.7 Functional Features of Pragmatic Markers	17
1.8 Summary and Conclusion	17
2 The Pragmatic Marker <i>Well</i>	20
2.1 Introduction	20
2.2 Previous Studies of <i>Well</i>	21
2.3 Distribution of <i>Well</i> in the Corpus	25
2.4 Formal Properties of <i>Well</i>	27
2.5 <i>Well</i> and Collocation	29
2.6 <i>Well</i> and Meaning Potentials	29
2.7 Classification of <i>Well</i> in This Work	30
2.8 <i>Well</i> and Coherence	32
2.8.1 Word-search and Self-repair	32
2.8.2 <i>Well</i> as a Turn-taking Device	34

2.8.3	Transition According to an Agenda	35
2.8.4	Transition to a Quotation	36
2.9	<i>Well</i> and Involvement	37
2.9.1	<i>Well</i> and Agreement	37
2.9.2	<i>Well</i> and Disagreement	40
2.9.3	<i>Well</i> as a Feedback to Questions	40
2.10	<i>Well</i> and Politeness	42
2.11	<i>Well</i> in Private Dialogue	43
2.11.1	<i>Well</i> in Face-To-Face Conversation	43
2.11.2	<i>Well</i> in Telephone Conversation	49
2.12	<i>Well</i> in Public Dialogue	55
2.12.1	<i>Well</i> in Broadcast Discussion	56
2.12.2	<i>Well</i> in Cross-Examination	63
2.13	<i>Well</i> in Spontaneous Commentaries	66
2.14	Conclusion	71
3	<i>In Fact</i> and <i>Actually</i> – A Class of Adversative Pragmatic Markers	74
3.1	Introduction	74
3.2	Previous Work	75
3.3	Distribution of <i>In Fact</i> and <i>Actually</i> over Text Types	75
3.4	<i>In Fact</i>	79
3.4.1	Introduction	79
3.4.2	Formal Factors	79
3.5	<i>In Fact</i> and Function	81
3.5.1	The Adversative <i>In Fact</i>	82
3.5.2	The Elaborative <i>In Fact</i>	85
3.5.3	<i>In Fact</i> as a Hedging Device	88
3.5.3	<i>In Fact</i> as a Softener in End Position	89
3.5.5	Summarising <i>In Fact</i> In Conversation	89
3.6	<i>In Fact</i> in Public Dialogue	90
3.6.1	<i>In Fact</i> in Legal Cross-Examinations	91
3.6.2	<i>In Fact</i> in Broadcast Discussion	95
3.7	<i>In Fact</i> in Monologues	98
3.7.1	<i>In Fact</i> in Demonstrations	98
3.7.2	<i>In Fact</i> in Unscripted Speeches	100
3.8	<i>In Fact</i> in Writing	102
3.9	Summarising <i>In Fact</i>	103
3.10	<i>Actually</i>	103
3.10.1	Introduction	103
3.10.2	Formal Factors	104
3.11	<i>Actually</i> and Function	107
3.11.1	Emphasising Reality	107
3.11.2	Explicit and Implicit Opposition	107
3.11.3	Hedging and Politeness	109
3.11.4	Novelty and Surprise	110
3.11.5	Emphasising the Speaker's Position	111

3.11.6	Elaboration	112
3.12	Summarising <i>Actually</i> in Conversation	116
3.13	<i>Actually</i> in Public Dialogue	117
3.13.1	<i>Actually</i> in Classroom Lessons	117
3.13.2	<i>Actually</i> in Business Transactions	120
3.14	<i>Actually</i> in Monologues	122
3.14.1	<i>Actually</i> in Demonstrations	122
3.15	<i>Actually</i> in Writing	123
3.16	Summarising <i>Actually</i>	123
3.17	Comparison of <i>In Fact</i> and <i>Actually</i>	123
4	General Extenders	127
4.1	Introduction	127
4.2	Previous Work	128
4.3	Formal Structure of General Extenders	129
4.4	Data	131
4.5	Distribution of General Extenders across Varieties	132
4.6	Factors Accounting for Variability of General Extenders	137
4.6.1	Grammaticalisation	137
4.6.2	Function of <i>And-</i> and <i>Or-</i> Extenders	139
4.6.3	Shared Knowledge and Positive Politeness	140
4.6.4	Intensification	143
4.6.5	Hedging and Negative Politeness	144
4.6.6	General Extenders and Fluency	145
4.7	Conclusion	145
5	Conclusion	148
	References	150
	Author Index	158
	Subject Index	160