

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| List of Figures | iii |
| List of Boxes | iv |
| List of Tables | iv |
| Acknowledgements | vi |
| I. Introduction | 1 |
| I.1 Research Context | 2 |
| I.2 Organisation of the Study | 4 |
| II. Data Sources and Approaches | 5 |
| II.1 Survey Archaeology | 6 |
| Survey Theory | 7 |
| Survey Method | 10 |
| Data Comparability | 11 |
| Site Definition and Classification | 11 |
| II.2 Historical Sources | 14 |
| II.3 The Intellectual Framework | 17 |
| Landscape(s) | 17 |
| Landscape and Geology | 18 |
| Landscape as Economy | 19 |
| Cereal Crop Agriculture | 20 |
| Viticulture | 22 |
| Oleoculture | 25 |
| Pastoral Activities | 27 |
| Landscape as Economy: Conclusions | 28 |
| II.4 Landscapes of Interaction | 28 |
| Modelling Rural Identities | 31 |
| III. Land Use and the Peloponnese | 33 |
| III.1 Methodology | 33 |
| Metadata | 33 |
| Survey Comparison | 34 |
| III.2 Intensive Surveys | 38 |
| The Laconia Survey | 39 |
| Laconia Survey Summary | 40 |
| Conclusions for Laconia Survey (Hellenistic) | 41 |
| Conclusions for Laconia Survey (Roman) | 42 |
| The Methana Survey | 45 |
| Methana Survey Summary | 46 |
| Conclusions for Methana Survey (Hellenistic) | 47 |
| Conclusions for Methana Survey (Roman) | 4 |
| The Berbati-Limnes Survey | 50 |
| Berbati-Limnes Survey Summary | 51 |
| Conclusions for Berbati-Limnes (Hellenistic) | 52 |
| Conclusions for Berbati-Limnes (Roman) | 53 |
| The Asea Valley Survey | 54 |
| Asea Valley Survey Summary | 54 |
| Conclusions for Asea Survey (Hellenistic) | 56 |
| Conclusions for Asea Survey (Roman) | 58 |
| The Southern Argolid Survey | 60 |
| Southern Argolid Survey Summary | 61 |
| Conclusions for Southern Argolid (Hellenistic) | 63 |
| Conclusions for Southern Argolid (Roman) | 64 |
| The Pylos Regional Archaeological Project | 66 |
| Pylos Regional Archaeological Project (PRAP) | 66 |
| Summary | |
| Conclusions for PRAP (Hellenistic) | 69 |
| Conclusions for PRAP (Roman) | 71 |
| III.3 Conclusion | 71 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| IV. Regional Narratives | 73 |
| IV.1 Scales of Narration | 73 |
| Defining Historical Narration | 73 |
| Defining Scales | 74 |
| IV.2 Extensive Surveys | 76 |
| Achaea | 77 |
| Sikyonia | 77 |
| Eastern Arcadia | 78 |
| Megalopolis | 79 |
| Messenia | 80 |
| IV.3 The Peloponnese in Regions | 80 |
| Northeast | 82 |
| Northwest | 84 |
| Southeast | 84 |
| Southwest | 85 |
| Central | 86 |
| IV.4 Conclusion | 87 |
| | |
| V. Inter-regional Narratives | 90 |
| V.1 Moving from Small to Large | 90 |
| V.2 The Peloponnese as a Whole | 90 |
| The Hellenistic Peloponnese | 90 |
| The Roman Peloponnese | 95 |
| V.3 Mediterranean Trends | 99 |
| Greece in Context | 99 |
| The Nature(s) of Mediterranean Contacts | 100 |
| | |
| VI. Processes of Interaction | 103 |
| VI.1 Cultural Interaction | 103 |
| VI.2 The Nature of Cultural Interaction in the Peloponnese | 103 |
| ‘Local-Local Interaction | 104 |
| ‘Local-Neighbour’ Interaction | 107 |
| ‘Local-Foreign’ Interaction | 109 |
| VI.3 Conclusion: Scales of Interaction | 111 |
| | |
| VII. Conclusion | 112 |
| VII.1 Survey Comparison and Usability | 112 |
| VII.2 A New Interpretive Framework | 112 |
| VII.3 Processes of Interaction | 114 |
| VII.4 The Contextualised Peloponnese | 114 |
| | |
| Appendix: Intensive Survey Data | 115 |
| Laconia Survey Data | 117 |
| Methana Survey Data | 120 |
| Berbati-Limnes Survey Data | 122 |
| Asea Valley Survey Data | 123 |
| Southern Argolid Survey Data | 124 |
| Pylos Regional Archaeological Project Data | 127 |
| Ancient Agricultural Authors | 128 |
| | |
| Bibliography | 135 |