Contents

Edit	ors		xv
List	of Cont	ributors	xvii
 List of Contributors x Chemicals Alternatives Assessment (CAA): Tools for Selecting Less Hazardous Chemicals Margaret H. Whittaker and Lauren G. Heine 1.1 Introduction to Chemicals Alternatives Assessments 1.2 Common Traits Among CAA Paradigms 1.2.1 Step One: Hazard Assessment Through Literature Search and Data Identification 1.2.2 Step Two: Hazard Classification and Benchmarking of Relevant Data 1.2.3 Step Three: CAA Report Preparation 1.3 Life-cycle Assessment and Chemicals Alternatives Assessment 1.4 Chemical Alternatives Assessment Paradigms in Use: a Critical Evaluation 1.4.1 US EPA's Design for the Environment (DfE) 1.4.2 CPA's GreenScreen[™] 1.4.3 Cradle to Cradle[®] (C2C) 1.4.4 TURI's Pollution Prevention Options Analysis System (P2OASys) 1.4.5 The Chemical Scoring and Ranking Assessment Model 			1
1.1	Introdu	action to Chemicals Alternatives Assessments	2
1.2	Comme	on Traits Among CAA Paradigms	4
	1.2.1		5
	1.2.2	Step Two: Hazard Classification and Benchmarking of	
		Relevant Data	6
	1.2.3	Step Three: CAA Report Preparation	7
1.3	Life-cy	cle Assessment and Chemicals Alternatives Assessment	8
1.4	Chemic	cal Alternatives Assessment Paradigms in Use: a Critical	
	Evalua	tion	9
			30
			30
			33
	1.4.4		
		· · ·	34
	1.4.5	• •	
			35
		Chemicals Assessment and Ranking System (CARS)	36
		SC Johnson & Son's Greenlist™	36
		PRIO	37
		The Quick Scan	37
		The Column Model and GHS Column Model	38
	1.4.11	Evaluation Matrix	38

Chemical Alternatives Assessments

Issues in Environmental Science and Technology, 36

Edited by R.E. Hester and R.M. Harrison

[©] The Royal Society of Chemistry 2013

Published by the Royal Society of Chemistry, www.rsc.org

72

75

1.5	Challenges Facing Chemicals Alternatives Assessment Methods 1.5.1 Chemicals Alternatives Assessments and Data Gaps				
1.6	Conclusion				
Refe	erences		42		
Euro	nnean I	nitiatives for Selecting Sustainable Flame Retardants	44		
	ian Bea				
2.1	Introd	luction – What are Flame Retardants?	45		
	2.1.1	Bromine and Chlorine	46		
		Phosphorus	49		
		Nitrogen	50		
		Mineral Flame Retardants	51		
	2.1.5	, ,			
		Zinc Compounds and Expandable Graphite	51		
2.2	.2 Environmental and Human Health Concerns About Halogenated				
	Fire Retardants				
2.3	-	ean Activities Related to Non-halogenated Flame			
	Retar		55		
	2.3.1	Formation of the Phosphorus, Inorganic and Nitrogen			
		Flame Retardants Association (pinfa)	55		
	2.3.2				
		HDPUG	56		
	2.3.3	1 0	56		
		GreenScreen™	59		
		ENFIRO	59		
2.4		Ecolabels	62		
	Concl	usion	63		
Refe	erences		64		
MB	DC Cra	dle to $Cradle^{ extbf{B}}$ Product Evaluation and Certification			
Prog	gram		67		
Jay	J. Bolu	s, Rachel Platin and Christoph Semisch			
3.1	Introd	luction	67		
	3.1.1	······································	69		
	3.1.2		69		
	3.1.3	The Cradle to Cradle Principles	70		

- 3.1.3 The Cradle to Cradle Principles
 3.1.4 Complementary Metabolisms
 3.2 Product Certification Program Overview
 2.2.1 Certification I and the second secon
 - 3.2.1Certification Levels753.2.2Program Categories763.2.3The Cradle to Cradle Certified^{CM} Marks76

Con	tents	ix
3.3	Product Certification Overview 3.3.1 Scope	76 77
	3.3.2 Continuous Improvement and Optimization	78
	3.3.3 Material Health	78
	3.3.4 Material Reutilization	80
	3.3.5 Renewable Energy and Carbon Management	82
	3.3.6 Water Stewardship	83
	3.3.7 Social Fairness	84
	3.3.8 Certification Program Summary	85
Not	tes and References	86
Chi	na's Implementation of Alternatives Assessment in the Building	
Indu	ustry: GIGA	88
Bra	ndon Zang, Raefer K. Wallis and Ryan D. Dick	
4.1	Introduction	89
4.2	China Context	90
	4.2.1 Health	90
	4.2.2 Barriers to Health	90
4.3	0	91
	4.3.1 Trust as a Catalyst	92
	4.3.2 Wealth as a Catalyst	92
	4.3.3 Access to Information as a Catalyst	92
4.4	6	93
	4.4.1 Perfect Storm of Change	94
4.5	5	94
	4.5.1 Regenerative Chemistry	95
4.6	GIGA (Green Ideas, Green Actions)	95
	4.6.1 GIGA: the User's Perspective	96
	4.6.2 GIGA: Green Algorithm	96
	4.6.3 GIGA: Unpacking Alternatives Assessment	97
4.7	•	101
	Alternatives Assessment at Scale	103
	Conclusion	105
Ref	erences	106
A C	Collaborative Industry and University Alternative Assessment of	
Plas	sticizers for Wire and Cable	108
Gre	gory Morose and Monica Becker	
5.1	Background	109
5.2	Project Workplan	111
5.3	Selection of a Chemical/Chemical Class of Concern and	
	Application	112

Con	ten	ts
-----	-----	----

5.4	Pilot Project Team Formation	113
5.5	Screening Against the Red List	113
5.6	Using the Quick Chemical Assessment Tool (QCAT) to	
	Screen Chemicals	115
5.7	Applying the GreenScreen [™]	117
5.8	Conducting the Chemical Hazard Assessment Portion	
	of the GC3 Project	119
	5.8.1 Obtaining Data for GreenScreens [™]	119
	5.8.2 Reviewing Draft GreenScreens [™]	121
5.9	Results	121
	5.9.1 Results of the GreenScreen [™] Assessments of	
	Alternative Plasticizers	121
	5.9.2 Technical and Cost Evaluation of Plasticizers	122
	5.9.3 Lessons Learned in the Project	123
5.10		126
	nowledgements	127
Refei	rences	127
	afer Chemicals en G. Heine and Shari A. Franjevic	129
6.1	Introduction	130
	6.1.1 Origins of the GreenScreen [™] for Safer Chemicals	131
6.2	How it Works	133
	6.2.1 Assess and Classify Hazards	133
	6.2.2 Apply the Benchmarks	139
	6.2.3 Make Informed Decisions and Drive Innovation	144
6.3	+	148
	6.3.1 Advances to the GreenScreen [™] Method	148
	6.3.2 Developing Infrastructure for the GreenScreen [™]	
	Program	148
6.4		151
	owledgement	152
Refer	rences	152
		~ -
	ett-Packard's Use of the GreenScreen [™] for Safer Chemicals	157
п. А.	. Holder, P. H. Mazurkiewicz, C. D. Robertson and C. A. Wray	
7.1	Introduction	157
7.2	Tools and Methods	158
	7.2.1 Restricted Substance List (RSL) Screening	159

7.2.1 Restricted Substance List (RSL) Screening7.2.2 Risk Phrase or Hazard Statement Screening 159

х

Con	lents		xi
	7.2.3	Multi-criteria Decision Analyses	160
	7.2.4	Life-cycle Analysis (LCA)	161
	7.2.5	Risk Assessment	161
	7.2.6	Scoring Schemes, Including the GreenScreen for Safer	
		Chemicals	163
7.3	Integr	ated Alternatives Assessment Protocol	168
	7.3.1	Step 1 – Identify Substances of Concern	169
	7.3.2	Step 2 – Characterize Function and End Uses	
		of the Substance	169
	7.3.3	Step 3 – Identify Potential Alternatives	169
	7.3.4	Step 4 – Assess Chemical Hazards	170
	7.3.5	Step 5 – Evaluate Technical and Economic	
		Performance	170
	7.3.6	Step 6 – Apply Life-cycle Thinking	170
	7.3.7	Step 7 – Approve Alternatives	171
7.4	Oppor	rtunities for Improvement	171
	7.4.1	Methods	171
	7.4.2	Infrastructure	173
	7.4.3	Criteria for Preferred Material in Ecolabels	174
7.5	Concl	usion	174
Refe	erences		174

DSM's Sustainability Journey Towards a Proactive Ingredient Policy for Gaining Effectiveness in the Design of Better Products

Thomas A. J. Wegman, Fredric Petit, Annette Wilschut, Theo Jongeling and Gaelle M. Nicolle 177

8.1	General Introduction: Sustainability as Business Growth Driver			
	Oppor	tunity and Global Trends	177	
	8.1.1	Ecological Benefits: Transparent Assessment with		
		Life-cycle Assessment (LCA)	178	
	8.1.2	Importance of Global Platforms and		
		Partnerships	179	
	8.1.3 The Challenges Ahead for a Life Sciences and Materials			
		Sciences Company	179	
8.2	A Brig	ghter Future with Composites	182	
	8.2.1	Leading-edge Performance	182	
	8.2.2	Lower Eco-footprint	183	
	8.2.3	Elimination of Substances of hazardous concern	186	
	8.2.4	BluCure [™] Cobalt-free Curing Systems	187	
	8.2.5	Alternatives to Styrene Reactive Diluents	189	
	8.2.6	Introduction of Good Manufacturing Practice		
		(GMP)	191	

Contents

8.3	Facin	Facing the Technical, Performance and Cost Challenges:			
	the In	troduction of Halogen-free Flame Retardants	193		
	8.3.1	Overcoming Technical Challenges	195		
	8.3.2	Moving Forward	195		
8.4	Concl	usion	196		
Refe	erences		196		

US E	Environ	mental Protection Agency's Design for the Environment (DfE)	
Alter	natives	Assessment Program	198
Clive	Davie.	s, Melanie Adams, Emily Connor, Elizabeth Sommer,	
Caro	line Ba	ier-Anderson, Emma Lavoie, Laura Romano and	
Davi	d DiFid	pre	
9.1	Introd	uction	199
9.2	Selecti	ng an Approach for Chemical Substitution	200
9.3		BDE Case Study	202
	9.3.1	How DfE Conducted CAA for PentaBDE	203
	9.3.2	Limitations of Original Methodology and Criteria	206
9.4	New C	CAA Methodology and Criteria: Steps to Conducting	
	a CAA	A	208
	9.4.1	Step 1: Determine Feasibility	208
	9.4.2		208
	9.4.3	Step 3: Convene Stakeholders	209
	9.4.4	Step 4: Identify Alternatives	209
	9.4.5	Step 5: Conduct the Hazard Assessment	209
	9.4.6	Step 6: Apply Economic and Life-cycle Context	211
	9.4.7	Step 7: Apply the Results in Decision-making for Safer	
		Chemical Substitutes	211
9.5	Applic	ation of New CAA Criteria	212
	9.5.1	BPA Alternatives in Thermal Paper Partnership	212
	9.5.2	Flame Retardant Alternatives to DecaBDE	
		Partnership	214
9.6	Safer 1	Product Labeling Program Case Study	216
	9.6.1	Functional Use Concept Advances Greener	
		Chemistry	216
	9.6.2	Ingredient-class Criteria Define 'Safer' Chemicals	217
	9.6.3	Partnership Process Fosters Teamwork in Safer	
		Formulation	219
	9.6.4	Development of Safer Alternatives	221
9.7	What's		222
	9.7.1	The Community of Practice is Growing	222
	9.7.2	Promoting Harmony in CAAs	224
Refe	rences		225

Contents

256

		ves in the EU – Identifying Substances of Very High HCs) and Driving Safer Chemical Substitutes in Response	
to R	EACH	- ·	230
Jerke	er J. Lig	thart	
10.1	ChemS	Sec Background	231
10.2		ew of NGO Initiatives – Putting REACH into Practice and	
		ng with Companies	232
		The SIN List	232
		Substitution Support Portal – SUBSPORT	232
	10.2.3		233
	10.2.4		234
	10.2.5	NGO-Business Cooperation – Electronics	234
	10.2.6		235
10.3	The SI	N List in Focus	236
	10.3.1	REACH and Substances of Very High Concern	236
	10.3.2		
		of the SIN List	238
	10.3.3	How the SIN List Has Been Used and Received	238
	10.3.4		239
		The First Assessment Process (SIN List 1.0)	242
	10.3.6	The Second Assessment Process (SIN List 2.0)	244
10.4	Findin	g Safer Alternatives Through SUBSPORT	248
	10.4.1	- •	248
	10.4.2	The Web Portal	250
	10.4.3	The Substitution Case Story Database	250
10.5	Conclu	-	253
Refer	rences		254

Alternatives .	Assessment	in Regulatory	Policy: History and	
Future Direc	tions			

J. A. Tickner, K. Geiser, C. Rudisill and J. N. Schifano

11.1	Introduction		
11.2	Rationale for Informed Substitution		
11.3	Evolution of Alternatives Assessment Elements in Government		
	Chemicals Reduction Policies		259
	11.3.1	Chemical Restriction and Phase-out Policy Development	259
	11.3.2	Alternatives Assessment Policy Development	261
	11.3.3	Convergence of Chemical Restriction and Alternatives	
		Assessment Policies	263
11.4	Alternatives Assessment in Government Chemicals Policies:		
	a Categorization		

11.5	Examples of Regulatory Informed Substitution Policies 11.5.1 Pollution Prevention Planning Requirements –		273		
		Massachusetts Toxics Use Reduction Act	274		
	11.5.2	Alternatives Assessment as a Precursor to Regulation -			
		California Safer Consumer Product Regulations	275		
	11.5.3	Alternatives Assessment as a Precursor to Regulation -			
		REACH	277		
	11.5.4	Requirements for Use of Acceptable Substitutes –			
		US EPA SNAP Program	278		
	11.5.5	Classification-based Substitution Requirements –			
		European Union Regulation of Chemicals in the			
		Workplace	280		
11.6	Lessons Learned from Alternatives Assessment in				
	Regulatory Policy				
11.7	Conclusion				
References					
Subje	Subject Index				

1