

# CONTENTS

<i>List of figures</i>	<i>page</i>	xiii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xv	
<i>List of arbitrations, cases and judgments</i>	xviii	
<i>List of international instruments and national legislation</i>	xx	
<i>Abbreviations</i>	xxiv	
<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Origins and objectives	1
1.2	Outline of the book	3
1.3	On documentary sources	7
1.4	Some miscellaneous points	11
<b>2</b>	<b>The setting</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1	The development of the continental shelf regime	13
2.2	The North Sea	18
2.3	Other delimitation issues of Denmark, Germany and the Netherlands	20
2.3.1	Introduction	20
2.3.2	Denmark	22
2.3.3	Germany	25
2.3.4	The Netherlands	26
<b>3</b>	<b>The development of the delimitation rule of the Convention on the continental shelf</b>	<b>32</b>
3.1	Introduction	32

3.2	The initial reception of the continental shelf regime	33
3.2.1	Denmark	33
3.2.2	Germany	38
3.2.3	The Netherlands	46
3.3	The 1958 Conference on the law of the sea	55
3.4	A comparison of the Danish, Dutch and German approach	59
3.5	Questions in relation to the implications of article 6 for the delimitation in the North Sea	64
4	<b>Digesting the outcome of the 1958 Conference</b>	<b>69</b>
4.1	Introduction	69
4.2	Denmark	69
4.3	Germany	75
4.4	The Netherlands	89
4.5	The different views on the status of the Convention	92
5	<b>The first phase of the negotiations on the delimitation of continental shelf boundaries in the North Sea</b>	<b>95</b>
5.1	Overture to bilateral negotiations of Germany with Denmark and the Netherlands	95
5.2	The partial boundary between Germany and the Netherlands	103
5.2.1	The first stage of the negotiations	103
5.2.2	The search for a compromise	107
5.2.3	The Dutch assessment of the need for a compromise with Germany	112
5.2.4	The agreement on a partial boundary between Germany and the Netherlands	120
5.3	The agreement on a partial boundary between Denmark and Germany	126

5.4	Denmark's bilateral boundaries with Norway and the United Kingdom	132
5.5	The broader framework of the bilateral negotiations	138
5.6	The bilateral boundaries of the Netherlands with Belgium and the United Kingdom and the boundary between Denmark and the Netherlands	147
5.6.1	Introduction	147
5.6.2	The bilateral boundary between the Netherlands and Belgium	148
5.6.3	The bilateral boundary between the Netherlands and the United Kingdom	151
5.6.4	The bilateral boundary between Denmark and the Netherlands	155
5.7	Concluding remarks	157
<b>6</b>	<b>Finding a way out of the deadlock – the submission of the disputes to the International Court of Justice</b>	<b>161</b>
6.1	Introduction	161
6.2	The slow road to agreement on the purpose of further talks	161
6.3	The legal arguments for submission to arbitration or the Court	175
6.4	Agreement to go to the Court	179
6.5	The content of the Special Agreements submitting the disputes to the Court	185
6.6	Agreement on an interim arrangement	196
6.6.1	Introduction	196
6.6.2	The negotiations between the parties	198
6.7	Was the framework for going to the ICJ satisfactory and were there any alternatives?	211

<b>7</b>	<b>Interactions between the delimitation in the North Sea and other boundary issues of Denmark and the Netherlands in the 1960s</b>	<b>218</b>
7.1	Introduction	218
7.2	Denmark – small islands, small problems	218
7.3	The Netherlands	222
7.3.1	Introduction	222
7.3.2	The Netherlands and Suriname – consistency at all costs?	222
7.3.3	The common interests of the Netherlands and the Netherlands Antilles	237
7.4	Denmark and the Netherlands compared	240
<b>8</b>	<b>The pleadings of Denmark, Germany and the Netherlands before the ICJ</b>	<b>242</b>
8.1	Introduction	242
8.2	The timing of the proceedings	243
8.3	The Memorials of Germany	246
8.3.1	The preparation of the German case and the Memorials	246
8.3.2	The Memorials	251
8.4	The Counter-Memorials of Denmark and the Netherlands	260
8.4.1	The preparation of the Danish and Dutch cases and the Counter-Memorials	260
8.4.2	The Counter-Memorials	268
8.5	Germany’s Replies	278
8.5.1	The preparation of the Replies	278
8.5.2	The Replies	280
8.6	The Common Rejoinder of Denmark and the Netherlands	289
8.6.1	The preparation of the Common Rejoinder	289
8.6.2	The Common Rejoinder	291
8.7	The oral pleadings	300
8.8	The approach of the parties to the pleadings	315

<b>9</b>	<b>The judgment of the Court</b>	<b>318</b>
9.1	Introduction	318
9.2	The Court's consideration of the arguments of the parties	319
9.3	The Court's guidance to the parties	326
9.4	The judgment's and individual judges' views on the possible location of continental shelf boundaries between the parties	337
<b>10</b>	<b>The negotiations following the judgment</b>	<b>342</b>
10.1	Introduction	342
10.2	Germany's assessment of the judgment and preparations for further negotiations	343
10.3	Denmark's assessment of the judgment and preparations for further negotiations	347
10.4	The Dutch assessment of the judgment and preparations for further negotiations	352
10.5	The negotiations – the deconstruction of the judgment	359
10.5.1	Cracks in the Danish-Dutch front	359
10.5.2	Natural prolongation as a geographical concept?	372
10.5.3	Different approaches of Denmark and the Netherlands: unity in diversity?	383
10.5.4	The German rejection of the initial Dutch and Danish offers	390
10.5.5	Bringing in the politicians	401
10.5.6	Arguing the law, sort of	413
10.5.7	The Dutch discovery of geology	424
10.5.8	Getting to the center of the North Sea	433
10.5.9	A bearable outcome	441
10.6	Sorting out the roles of politics and law	443
<b>11</b>	<b>The outcomes of the case study in a broader perspective</b>	<b>449</b>
11.1	Introduction	449

11.2	Salient points of the case study	450
11.3	Theoretical perspectives on the relation between international law and State behavior	465
11.4	The case study and the theoretical perspectives	473
	<i>Bibliography</i>	483
	<i>Index</i>	496