

Contents

Foreword	V
Preface	IX
Contributions	XV
Detailed Contents	XIX
1 Introduction	1
2 Methodology	11
3 Objects of the claims and their priority	63
4 Interpretation and analysis	81
5 State of the Art	101
6 Inventive Step	117
7 Added Subject-Matter	137
8 Ranges	151
9 Client's Questions	161
10 Assessing your own Paper	187
Appendices	205
1a Methodology Example C 2010	207
1b Methodik Beispiel C 2010	216
1c Méthodologie Exemple C 2010	225
2a Notice of opposition and answer client's questions C 2010	234
2b Einspruchsschrift und Antwort auf die Mandantenfragen C 2010	243
2c Acte d'opposition et réponses aux questions du client C 2010 ..	253
3a Example notice of opposition	263
3b Beispiel für eine Einspruchsschrift	269
3c Exemple d'acte d'opposition	276
4a Matrix claims-attacks template (EPC 1973)	283
4b Matrix claims-attacks template (EPC 2000)	284
5 Matrix recording technique template	285
6 References to frequently required legal authorities	286
Index	293

Detailed Contents

Foreword	V
Preface	IX
Contributions	XV
Contents	XVII
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Object of this book	1
1.2 Opposition proceedings.....	1
1.3 Description of paper C	2
1.3.1 Study material for the preparation of paper C	4
1.3.2 Instructions to candidates concerning the conduct of the examination	4
1.3.3 Implementing provisions to the Regulation on the EQE (IPREE)	5
1.3.4 Examiners' reports.....	7
1.4 EPC 2000 and the Guidelines for Examination	7
1.5 Overall method	8
1.6 How to use this book.....	9
2 Methodology	11
2.1 Introduction	11
2.1.1 Objective	11
2.1.2 Summary	11
2.2 Overview of the methodology	12
2.2.1 Matrix claims-attacks	12
2.2.2 Overview of the analysis sheets recording technique.....	14
2.2.3 Overview of the matrix recording technique.....	15
2.2.4 Choice of recording technique	16
2.3 Detailed methodology	18
2.3.1 Client's letter and questions.....	18
2.3.2 Patent to oppose (A1).....	19
2.3.3 Analysis of the dates of the disclosures	23
2.3.4 "Other" attacks.....	26
2.4 Analysis and attacks with analysis sheets technique.....	26
2.4.1 Analysis of the content of the disclosures	26
2.4.2 Determining the attacks.....	29
2.5 Analysis and attacks with matrix recording technique	43
2.5.1 Analysis of the content of the disclosures	43
2.5.2 Determining the attacks.....	46
2.6 Notice of opposition.....	55
2.6.1 Introductory part	55
2.6.2 Argumentation	56
2.7 Variants on the methodology.....	57
2.8 General strategy tips	58
2.8.1 Analysis strategy.....	58
2.8.2 Attacks strategy	58
2.8.3 Drafting strategy.....	60

3	Objects of the claims and their priority	63
3.1	Legal basis	63
3.2	Relevance to paper C	65
3.3	Claimed objects	65
3.3.1	Independent claims	66
3.3.2	Dependent claims	67
3.4	Effective date	67
3.5	No valid claim to priority for the patent in suit	68
3.6	Conflicting applications (see table on next page)	69
3.7	Examples of determining priority dates	70
3.7.1	Intermediate publication of the contents of the priority application	70
3.7.2	Intermediate publication of another European application ...	70
3.7.3	Multiple priorities with an intermediate publication	71
3.7.4	Check for “first application”	71
3.8	Examples from past papers	71
4	Interpretation and analysis	81
4.1	Legal basis	81
4.2	Relevance to paper C	82
4.3	Interpreting the claims	82
4.3.1	Interpretation of expressions in claims	82
4.3.2	Non-limiting features?	83
4.4	Interpreting the disclosures	85
4.4.1	Identical features	85
4.4.2	Generic versus specific features	85
4.4.3	Incorporated features	86
4.4.4	Implicit features	86
4.4.5	Conclusions to be drawn from specific remarks	86
4.4.6	Unusable disclosures	87
4.4.7	Combining different parts of a disclosure	87
4.5	Analysis in theory	88
4.5.1	What do we mean by analysis?	88
4.5.2	Finding features	88
4.5.3	Recording the result of the analysis	89
4.6	Analysis tutorial	89
4.6.1	Analysis test	90
4.6.2	Blow by blow analysis of A2	90
4.6.3	Analysis re-test	97
4.6.4	Additional aspects of analysis	97
5	State of the Art	101
5.1	Legal basis	101
5.2	Relevance to paper C	103
5.3	State of the art	103
5.3.1	Written disclosure	104
5.3.2	Oral disclosure	104
5.3.3	Prior use	104
5.4	Article 54(3) EPC – prior rights	105
5.4.1	European applications	105
5.4.2	Euro-PCT applications	105
5.4.3	Attacks under Article 54(3) EPC	105
5.4.4	Conflicting applications and priority	106
5.4.5	Priority not valid – affecting the applicability of Article 54(3) EPC	107
5.4.6	Priority not valid – no consequences for the applicability of Article 54(3) EPC	108
5.5	Examples from past papers	108

6	Inventive Step	117
6.1	Legal basis	117
6.2	Relevance to paper C	117
6.3	The problem and solution approach	118
6.3.1	Introduction	118
6.3.2	Stage 1 – Determination of the closest prior art (Guidelines G-VII, 5.1)	118
6.3.3	Stage 2 – Formulation of the objective technical problem (Guidelines G-VII, 5.2)	120
6.3.4	Stage 3 – Why the invention is obvious (“could-would approach”) (Guidelines G-VII, 5.3)	123
6.4	A problem and solution attack for paper C	125
6.5	Partial problems	127
6.5.1	Guidelines	127
6.5.2	Partial problems in paper C	127
6.6	Argumentation for independent claims	130
6.7	Argumentation for dependent claims	131
6.7.1	Higher order object was attacked for novelty	131
6.7.2	Higher order object was attacked for inventive step	132
6.8	The problem and solution approach in real life	135
7	Added Subject-Matter	137
7.1	Legal basis	137
7.2	Relevance to paper C	141
7.3	Judging added subject-matter	141
7.3.1	“Unambiguously derivable”	141
7.3.2	“Novelty test”	141
7.3.3	“Modified novelty test” or “Disclosure test”	142
7.3.4	Deleting features (“Essentiality test”)	142
7.3.5	Unallowable intermediate generalisation	142
7.4	Description and drawings	142
7.5	Subsidiary attacks	143
7.6	Disclaimers (see also Guidelines H-V, 3.5, 4.1 and 4.2)	144
7.7	Examples from past papers	144
8	Ranges	151
8.1	Legal basis	151
8.2	Relevance to paper C	151
8.3	Novelty and ranges	152
8.3.1	Selecting a sub-range from a broader range of the prior art ..	152
8.3.2	Overlapping ranges	153
8.4	Inventive step and ranges	153
8.4.1	Sub-ranges selected from a broader range	153
8.4.2	Overlapping ranges	154
8.5	Examples from past papers	154
9	Client’s Questions	161
9.1	Alphabetical summary of past legal questions	161
10	Assessing your own Paper	187
10.1	Introduction	187
10.2	Main categories of error in paper C solutions	187
10.2.1	Detail	187
10.2.2	Analysis	188
10.2.3	Structure	188
10.2.4	Time management	189
10.2.5	Lack of knowledge	189
10.3	Fictitious answer to paper C 2009 with comments	189

Appendices	205
Appendix 1a – Methodology Example C 2010	207
Appendix 1b – Methodik Beispiel C 2010	216
Appendix 1c – Méthodologie Exemple C 2010	225
Appendix 2a – Notice of opposition and answer client’s questions C 2010	234
Appendix 2b – Einspruchsschrift und Antwort auf die Mandantenfragen C 2010	243
Appendix 2c – Acte d’opposition et réponses aux questions du client C 2010	253
Appendix 3a – Example notice of opposition	263
Appendix 3b – Beispiel für eine Einspruchsschrift	269
Appendix 3c – Exemple d’acte d’opposition	276
Appendix 4a – Matrix claims-attacks template (EPC 1973)	283
Appendix 4b – Matrix claims-attacks template (EPC 2000)	284
Appendix 5 – Matrix recording technique template	285
Appendix 6 – References to frequently required legal authorities ..	286
6.1 Priority	286
6.2 Claim interpretation	287
6.3 Document interpretation	287
6.4 Prior art	288
6.5 Added subject-matter	289
6.6 Ranges	292
Index	293