

Contents

<i>List of Figures and Tables</i>	viii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	x
Introduction	1
1 Welfare State Research and Varieties of Capitalism	5
1.1 Welfare state research	5
1.1.1 Weaknesses of Esping-Andersen's welfare regime typology	13
1.2 Varieties of capitalism	15
1.2.1 Complementarity	23
1.2.2 The coordination index	25
1.2.3 Weaknesses of Hall and Soskice's varieties of capitalism typology	25
1.3 Why it makes sense to combine nations into regimes	28
2 Empirical Indicators and Existing Typologies	31
2.1 Verifying diversity empirically	31
2.2 Combining the country clusters of existing typologies	37
2.2.1 Three capitalisms: Schmidt's typology	38
2.2.2 Four capitalisms: Boyer's typology	39
2.2.3 Five capitalisms: Amable's typology	41
2.2.4 Again five capitalisms: Ebbinghaus' typology	44
2.2.5 Ever more diversity: Crouch and Streeck's typology	47
2.3 Dealing with outlier countries	50
2.4 A methodological interlude – how to build typologies	55
3 A Unified Typology of Capitalisms	58
4 How Complementarities Stabilize Three Capitalisms	63
4.1 Welfare regimes and industrial relations	64
4.2 Welfare regimes and corporate governance	68
4.3 Welfare regimes and skills creation	70
4.4 Welfare regimes and employer associations	74
4.5 Varieties of capitalism and de commodification	77
4.6 Varieties of capitalism and retirement policy	81

4.7	Varieties of capitalism and power resources	84
4.8	Résumé: Are complementarities the answer?	87
5	Diversity's Source: Three Policy Styles, Three Capitalisms	91
5.1	Liberal capitalism	97
5.1.1	The United Kingdom	98
5.1.2	The United States	102
5.1.3	Ireland	106
5.1.4	Canada	108
5.1.5	New Zealand	111
5.1.6	Australia	114
5.1.7	Summing up liberal capitalism	115
5.2	Conservatively coordinated capitalism	118
5.2.1	Germany	120
5.2.2	Austria	122
5.2.3	Switzerland	124
5.2.4	Belgium	126
5.2.5	France	128
5.2.6	The Netherlands	130
5.2.7	Italy	133
5.2.8	Spain	135
5.2.9	Portugal	138
5.2.10	Japan	140
5.2.11	Summing up conservatively coordinated capitalism	142
5.3	Social democratically coordinated capitalism	143
5.3.1	Sweden	145
5.3.2	Denmark	148
5.3.3	Finland	151
5.3.4	Norway	153
5.3.5	Summing up social democratically coordinated capitalism	155
5.4	Summary and limitations of the approach	157
6	What Can a Unified Typology Explain?	162
6.1	How a unified typology can explain more than existing typologies	163
6.2	How a unified typology can explain trajectories of liberalization	168
	Summary and Conclusion	172

<i>Notes</i>	176
<i>References</i>	181
<i>Appendix</i>	210
<i>A Data used</i>	210
<i>B Factor loadings</i>	217
<i>Index</i>	221