

# Contents

Acknowledgements . . . . .	9
1. Introduction . . . . .	10
2. The importance of the primary kind of change . . . . .	14
2.1 Overview . . . . .	14
2.2 The arrangement of the <i>Physics</i> . . . . .	15
2.2.1 First option: Books VI–VIII as the treatise <i>On Change</i> . . . . .	18
2.2.1.1 Andronicus . . . . .	19
2.2.1.2 Theophrastus' letter . . . . .	19
2.2.1.3 References in Aristotle . . . . .	21
2.2.1.4 Eudemos . . . . .	21
2.2.2 Second option: Books V–VIII as the treatise <i>On Change</i> . . . . .	22
2.3 The eight books of the <i>Physics</i> . . . . .	25
2.3.1 <i>Physics</i> I–IV: Examining change for the sake of understanding nature . . . . .	25
2.3.2 <i>Physics</i> V–VIII: The general analysis of change . . . . .	27
2.4 <i>Physics</i> VIII . . . . .	31
2.4.1 Overview . . . . .	31
2.4.2 The argument of <i>Physics</i> VIII . . . . .	31
2.4.3 The importance of the primary kind of change . . . . .	34
2.5 Conclusion . . . . .	40
3. Change in quality and quantity of living beings depends on locomotion, but not <i>vice versa</i> . . . . .	42
3.1 Overview . . . . .	42
3.2 Growth and diminution presuppose alteration . . . . .	44
3.2.1 Growth presupposes alteration . . . . .	45
3.2.2 Diminution presupposes alteration . . . . .	48
3.3 Alteration presupposes locomotion . . . . .	49
3.4 Does locomotion precede all occurrences of change in quantity? . . . . .	53
3.5 The reason for the restriction of the argument's scope . . . . .	58

3.6	The sense of priority . . . . .	67
3.7	Conclusion . . . . .	69
4.	Locomotion necessarily accompanies each of the other kinds of change, but not <i>vice versa</i> . . . . .	71
4.1	Overview . . . . .	71
4.2	What changes in quantity changes with respect to place . . .	73
4.2.1	Overview . . . . .	73
4.2.2	What is growing moves to a larger place . . . . .	74
4.2.3	Change in place implies no change in the spatial order of the subject's parts . . . . .	78
4.2.4	A possible objection . . . . .	81
4.2.5	Compatibility with the irreducibility of the kinds of change . . . . .	85
4.2.6	Conclusion . . . . .	88
4.3	What undergoes generation or corruption changes with respect to place . . . . .	89
4.3.1	Overview . . . . .	89
4.3.2	Generation and corruption in virtue of aggregation and segregation . . . . .	90
4.3.3	What aggregates or segregates must change with respect to place . . . . .	96
4.3.4	Conclusion . . . . .	97
4.4	What changes in quality changes with respect to place . . . .	98
4.4.1	Overview . . . . .	98
4.4.2	What does it mean that condensation and rarefaction are principles of quality? . . . . .	100
4.4.3	Every alteration involves a change in the four basic qualities . . . . .	104
4.4.4	Every change in the four basic qualities involves condensation or rarefaction . . . . .	108
4.4.5	Condensation and rarefaction are forms of aggregation and segregation . . . . .	110
4.4.6	What changes in quality changes with respect to place . . . .	112
4.4.7	Conclusion . . . . .	113
4.5	Conclusion . . . . .	113
5.	All changes depend on the first locomotion, but not <i>vice versa</i> . .	115
5.1	Overview . . . . .	115
5.2	The unity of the eternal change . . . . .	118
5.2.1	Two ways in which change may be eternal . . . . .	118
5.2.2	Why the eternal change must be one and continuous . . . . .	121

5.2.3	The criteria for being one continuous change . . . . .	123
5.2.4	What is better is the case in nature . . . . .	127
5.3	Locomotion alone can be one and eternal . . . . .	130
5.3.1	None of the other three kinds of change can be one and eternal . . . . .	131
5.3.2	Only circular locomotion can be one and eternal . . . . .	134
5.4	Locomotion has ontological priority . . . . .	137
5.4.1	Ontological priority . . . . .	137
5.4.2	A third sense in which locomotion is ontologically prior . . . . .	139
5.5	Conclusion . . . . .	142
6.	Locomotion has temporal priority . . . . .	144
6.1	Overview . . . . .	144
6.2	Locomotion has priority in time, since it is the only change eternals can undergo . . . . .	146
6.3	Objection: Locomotion is the last of all changes in perishable things . . . . .	148
6.4	Coming to be presupposes an earlier locomotion . . . . .	150
6.5	The locomotion of the sun as a cause of generation . . . . .	154
6.6	Conclusion . . . . .	162
7.	Locomotion is prior in essence . . . . .	164
7.1	Locomotion is prior in essence, since it is last in coming to be . . . . .	164
7.1.1	Overview . . . . .	164
7.1.2	The reversed priority claim . . . . .	166
7.1.3	A different use of the term 'locomotion' . . . . .	172
7.1.4	Does locomotion come to things last? . . . . .	175
7.1.4.1	Capacities of the soul . . . . .	176
7.1.4.2	Priority in essence of the locomotive capacity . . . . .	179
7.1.5	Another sense of priority in essence . . . . .	182
7.1.6	Conclusion . . . . .	184
7.2	Locomotion alone preserves its subject's essence . . . . .	186
7.2.1	Overview . . . . .	186
7.2.2	Locomotion does not change its subject's being . . . . .	188
7.2.3	Locomotion preserves its subject's essence best . . . . .	190
7.2.4	Making $x$ depart from its essence by being part of a change in essence? . . . . .	195
7.2.4.1	Alteration as part of a change in essence . . . . .	195
7.2.4.2	Growth and diminution as part of change in essence . . . . .	199

7.2.4.3	Locomotion as a part of a change in essence? . . . . .	201
7.2.5	Change in quality or quantity in principle may result in a change in essence . . . . .	202
7.3	Conclusion: Locomotion's priority in essence . . . . .	207
8.	Conclusion . . . . .	211
	Bibliography . . . . .	220
	List of Abbreviations . . . . .	223
	Index Locorum . . . . .	221
	Index Nominum . . . . .	223
	Index Rerum . . . . .	221