Table of Contents

| 1 | Introduction | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|--|
| 2 | Theo | retical considerations | 21 | |
| 2.1 | Welfare regimes, childcare, and fertility | | | |
| | 2.1.1 | Esping-Andersen's three worlds of welfare capitalism | | |
| | 2.1.2 | Gendered welfare regimes | | |
| | 2.1.3 | Coherent family policies | | |
| | 2.1.4 | The opportunities and the limits of welfare regime typologies as analytical tools | | |
| 2.2 | Childcare services and fertility behavior on the micro level | | 31 | |
| | 2.2.1 | The economic view: direct and indirect costs of children. | 31 | |
| | 2.2.2 | The sociological view: role compatibility and gender equality | 35 | |
| | 2.2.3 | The opportunities and the limits of micro-level approaches | 38 | |
| 2.3 | Integrating fertility determinants and childcare policies: the Theory of Planned Behavior | | | |
| | 2.3.1 | The Theory of Planned Behavior | 42 | |
| | 2.3.2 | A model of childcare, attitudes, and childbearing | 50 | |
| | 2.3.3 | How childcare interacts with attitudinal and institutional aspects | 53 | |
| | 2.3.4 | Summary and research hypotheses | 58 | |
| 3 | Previous research on childcare, coherent policies, attitudes, and fertility behavior | | | |
| 3.1 | Empirical evidence: childcare and fertility | | | |
| | 3.1.1 | Country level studies | | |
| | 3.1.2 | - | | |
| 3.2 | Empirical evidence: institutional settings and policy uptake | | | |
| 3.3 | Empirical evidence: attitudes and fertility behavior | | | |

| 4 | | ity development and the institutional context in en and Germany | 75 | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|--|--|
| 4.1 | Fertility development | | | | |
| 4.2 | Famil | y policies in Sweden: dual-earner support | 81 | | |
| 4.3 | | y policies in Germany: departure from male winner support | 86 | | |
| 4.4 | Sumn | nary: Childcare in Sweden and Germany | 94 | | |
| 5 | Excursus: Who uses public childcare? The policy context in Sweden and western Germany | | | | |
| 5.1 | Coherent family policies and public childcare usage9 | | | | |
| 5.2 | Prior research on the determinants of childcare usage | | | | |
| 5.3 | Method and data | | | | |
| 5.4 | Regre | ssion results | 113 | | |
| 5.5 | Discussion | | | | |
| 6 | How do attitudes and childcare availability affect fertility in Germany and Sweden? | | | | |
| 6.1 | | od: multilevel discrete-time hazard model | | | |
| 6.2 | Case study: Germany | | | | |
| | 6.2.1 | Data and sample selection | | | |
| | 6.2.2 | Dependent variable and data structure | | | |
| | 6.2.3 | Childcare availability | | | |
| | 6.2.4 | Attitudes toward children and family life | | | |
| | 6.2.5 | Control variables | 135 | | |
| | 6.2.6 | How important is public childcare in the decision to have a child? | 139 | | |
| | 6.2.7 | Regression results | 145 | | |
| 6.3 | Case study: Sweden | | | | |
| | 6.3.1 | Data and sample selection | 157 | | |
| | 6.3.2 | Dependent variable and data structure | 158 | | |
| | 6.3.3 | Childcare enrollment | 159 | | |
| | 6.3.4 | Attitudes toward family and career | 162 | | |

| | 6.3.5 | Control variables | 166 |
|-----|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | 6.3.6 | Regression results | 169 |
| 6.4 | Summ | nary of findings | 173 |
| 7 | Conc | lusions | 177 |
| 7.1 | Resea | rch contribution and key findings | 178 |
| 7.2 | Critica | al reflections and research perspectives | 182 |
| 8 | Appe | ndix | 187 |
| App | endix . | A: Studies on the effect of public childcare on individual level fertility | 188 |
| App | endix | B: Institutional comparison Sweden and Germany | 191 |
| App | endix | C: Factor analyses | 193 |
| App | endix | D: Regional enrollment rates in Sweden | 197 |
| App | endix | E: Discrete-time hazard models | 198 |
| 9 | Refer | ences | 199 |
| Ind | ex | | 231 |