

Table of Contents

A Reader's Guide	15
-------------------------------	----

Part I

Regions as the Actors of National Growth

1. Geographic concentration of population	20
2. Geographic concentration of GDP	26
3. Geographic concentration of unemployment	32
4. Geographic concentration of the labour force	38
5. Geographic concentration of patents	44
6. Geographic concentration of skills	50
7. Regional contribution to national population growth	56
8. Regional contribution to growth in national GDP	62
9. Regional contribution to national employment growth	68
10. Regional contribution to national labour force growth	74

Part II

Making the Best of Local Assets

11. Regional disparities in GDP per capita	82
12. Regional disparities in productivity	88
13. Regional disparities in unemployment rates	94
14. Regional disparities in participation rates	100
15. The factors of regional competitiveness	106
16. Labour productivity	108
17. Industry specialisation	112
18. Skills	116
19. The labour market	120
20. Commuting flows	124
21. Labour force participation	128
22. Ageing	132

Part III

Competing on the Basis of Regional Well-being

23. Accessibility: distance in time from a major centre	138
---	-----

24. Home ownership	142
25. Education: student enrolment in tertiary education	146
26. Health: age-adjusted mortality rate	150
27. Health resources: number of medical practitioners	154
28. Safety: reported criminal offences against property	158
29. Safety: reported criminal offences against persons	162
30. Road safety: fatal traffic accidents	166
31. Environment: stock of private vehicles	170

Part IV

Sources and Methodology

Regional Grids and Classification	177
Regional grids	177
Regional classification	177
Indicator 1. Population	185
Indicator 2. Gross domestic product (GDP)	187
Indicator 3. Unemployment	189
Indicator 4. Labour force	191
Indicator 5. Patents	193
Indicator 6. Geographic concentration of skills	195
Indicator 7. Population growth	197
Indicator 8. Gross domestic product (GDP) growth	199
Indicator 9. Employment growth	201
Indicator 10. Growth of the labour force	203
Indicator 11. Gross domestic product (GDP) per capita	205
Indicator 12. Average labour productivity	207
Indicator 13. Unemployment rate	209
Indicator 14. Participation rates	211
Indicator 15. The factors of regional competitiveness	213
Indicator 16. Regional differences in GDP per capita accounted by differences in average labour productivity	216
Indicator 17. Regional differences in GDP per capita that are accounted for by differences in industry specialisation	218
Indicator 18. Regional differences in GDP per capita accounted by differences in skills	220
Indicator 19. Regional differences in GDP per capita accounted by differences in employment rates	223
Indicator 20. Regional differences in GDP per capita accounted by net commuting inflows	225
Indicator 21. Regional differences in GDP per capita accounted by activity rates	227
Indicator 22. Regional differences in GDP per capita accounted for by ageing	229
Indicator 23. Accessibility: distance in time from a major centre	231
Indicator 24. Home ownership	233
Indicator 25. Enrolment in tertiary education	235
Indicator 26. Age-adjusted mortality rates	237

Indicator 27. Health resources: number of medical practitioners	239
Indicator 28. Reported criminal offences against property	241
Indicator 29. Reported criminal offences against persons	243
Indicator 30. Road safety: fatal traffic accidents	245
Indicator 31. Environment: stock of private vehicles	247

List of tables

1.1. Concentration ratios	25
5.1. Capital city regions are often the leading national centres of innovation.....	49
5.2. ... nevertheless in Germany and the United States there are several regional poles of innovation	49
15.1. Main factors of regional competitiveness	107

List of figures and maps

1.1. In 15 countries in 2001 more than one-third of the national population was concentrated in only 10% of regions	21
1.2. Canada, Australia and Iceland display the highest geographic concentration of population	21
1.3. Population density varies significantly among OECD regions	21
1.4. More than half of the population in OECD countries live in predominantly urban regions	21
1.5. Regional share of national population: Asia and Oceania TL3	22
1.6. Regional share of national population: Europe TL3	23
1.7. Regional share of national population: North America TL3	24
2.1. In 11 countries more than 40% of national GDP is concentrated in only 10% of regions	27
2.2. In 2001 Portugal, the United Kingdom and Sweden displayed the highest geographic concentration of GDP	27
2.3. In 2001 intermediate and predominantly urban regions accounted for more than 86% of total OECD-area GDP	27
2.4. The spatial distribution of GDP does not reflect the geographic distribution of the population	27
2.5. Regional share of national GDP: Asia TL3 and Oceania TL2	28
2.6. Regional share of national GDP: Europe TL3	29
2.7. Regional share of national GDP: North America TL2	30
2.8. The 10% of regions with the highest concentration of GDP account for a small fraction of the national area.....	31
2.9. ... and record GDP per capita figures well above the national average	31
3.1. On average, 37% of national unemployment in 2001 was concentrated in only 10% of regions	33
3.2. Unemployment is most concentrated in Australia and Canada and least concentrated in the Slovak Republic	33
3.3. About 47% of unemployment in OECD countries is concentrated in urban regions	33
3.4. Concentration of unemployment does not mirror concentration of the labour force	33

3.5. Regional share of national unemployment: Asia and Oceania TL3	34
3.6. Regional share of national unemployment: Europe TL3	35
3.7. Regional share of national unemployment: North America TL3	36
3.8. Regional policy may make a significant contribution to the reduction of total unemployment.	37
4.1. On average, 33% of the national labour force in 2001 was concentrated in only 10% of regions	39
4.2. Concentration of the labour force is highest in Canada and Australia and lowest in the Slovak Republic.	39
4.3. About 53% of the labour force in OECD countries is concentrated in rural regions.	39
4.4. In most OECD countries, the labour force is more concentrated than population	39
4.5. Regional share of the national labour force: Asia and Oceania TL3.	40
4.6. Regional share of the national labour force: Europe TL3	41
4.7. Regional share of the national labour force: North America TL3	42
4.8. Regional policy may make a significant contribution to the increase in labour market participation.	43
5.1. On average in 2001, 54% of total patents were concentrated in only 10% of regions	45
5.2. In 2001 Australia, Japan, Portugal and Korea had the highest geographic concentration of patents	45
5.3. In 2001 predominantly urban regions accounted for more than 81% of total OECD patents	45
5.4. Patents are more concentrated than the highly skilled population.	45
5.5. Regional share of national patents: Asia and Oceania TL3	46
5.6. Regional share of national patents: Europe TL3 (Poland TL2)	47
5.7. Regional share of national patents: North America TL3 (Canada TL2)	48
6.1. On average, 38% of the population with tertiary-level education is concentrated in only 10% of regions.	51
6.2. Concentration of the population with tertiary education is highest in Australia and Canada and lowest in Belgium and the Slovak Republic	51
6.3. Over 64% of the population with a tertiary-level qualification is concentrated in urban regions.	51
6.4. In all OECD countries, the highly educated population is more concentrated than the labour force.	51
6.5. Advanced educational qualifications: Asia and Oceania TL3	52
6.6. Population with advanced education: Europe TL3	53
6.7. Advanced educational qualifications: North America TL3	54
6.8. Distribution of population by levels of education in rural regions.	55
7.1. From 1996 to 2001, population growth varied significantly among OECD countries.	57
7.2. ... but the variation in population growth rates was even wider among regions within countries.	57
7.3. 10% of regions accounted for 57% of population increase in OECD countries	57
7.4. 65% of population decline in OECD countries occurred in just 10% of regions . . .	57
7.5. Regional population growth: Asia and Oceania TL3.	58

7.6. Regional population growth: Europe TL3	59
7.7. Regional population growth: North America TL3	60
7.8. On average the population grew much faster in intermediate and urban regions than in rural regions	61
7.9. Nevertheless, the highest population growth rate was recorded in a rural region in six countries.	61
8.1. From 1996 to 2001, GDP growth varied significantly among OECD countries.	63
8.2. ... but the variation in GDP growth rates was even wider among regions within countries.	63
8.3. 10% of regions accounted for 47% of the increase in GDP in OECD countries	63
8.4. 84% of the decline in GDP in OECD countries took place in just 10% of regions	63
8.5. Regional GDP growth: Asia TL3 and Oceania TL2	64
8.6. Regional GDP growth: Europe TL3	65
8.7. Regional GDP growth: North America TL2	66
8.8. On average GDP grew faster in urban than in intermediate regions and rural regions	67
8.9. Nevertheless, in 12 countries the highest GDP growth rate was recorded in an intermediate region.	67
9.1. From 1996 to 2001, employment growth varied significantly among OECD countries.	69
9.2. ... but differences in employment growth were even larger among regions within countries.	69
9.3. 10% of regions explained 56% of employment creation in OECD countries	69
9.4. 69% of job losses in OECD countries were due to only 10% of regions.	69
9.5. Regional employment growth: Asia and Oceania TL3	70
9.6. Regional employment growth: Europe TL3	71
9.7. Regional employment growth: North America TL3	72
9.8. On average, employment in rural regions grew slower than in urban, but.	73
9.9. ... in many countries, growth in employment was highest in a rural region	73
10.1. From 1996 to 2001, growth of the labour force varied significantly among OECD countries.	75
10.2. ... but the differences were even larger among regions within countries.	75
10.3. 10% of regions explained 46% of the labour force growth in OECD countries	75
10.4. 44% of the decrease in the labour force in OECD countries was due to only 10% of regions	75
10.5. Regional labour force growth: Asia and Oceania TL3	76
10.6. Regional labour force growth: Europe TL3	77
10.7. Regional labour force growth: North America TL3	78
10.8. On average, the labour force grew more slowly in rural regions than in urban ones, but.	79
10.9. ... in many countries, the labour force grew fastest in a rural region	79
11.1. GDP per capita is not equally distributed among OECD countries.	83
11.2. ... but disparities are even greater among regions within countries	83
11.3. In 2001 Turkey, Mexico and the Slovak Republic displayed the highest values for the Gini index.	83
11.4. 59% of the population in OECD countries resides in regions with a GDP per capita below the national average	83

11.5. Regional GDP per capita: Asia TL3 and Oceania TL2	84
11.6. Regional GDP per capita: Europe TL3	85
11.7. Regional GDP per capita: North America TL2	86
11.8. Urban regions enjoy higher GDP per capita than intermediate and rural regions almost everywhere	87
11.9. An intermediate or rural region recorded the highest GDP per capita in only four countries	87
12.1. Labour productivity varies significantly among OECD countries.....	89
12.2. ... but disparities in productivity are even larger among regions.	89
12.3. In 2001, Mexico, Turkey and the United States showed the largest regional disparities in labour productivity	89
12.4. On average, 61% of workers are employed in regions of low productivity	89
12.5. Regional productivity: Asia TL3 and Oceania TL2	90
12.6. Regional productivity: Europe TL3	91
12.7. Regional productivity: North America TL2	92
12.8. In most countries, productivity is high in regions with high employment density.....	93
12.9. ... but skills concentration explains high productivity only in some.	93
13.1. Unemployment rates vary significantly among OECD countries.....	95
13.2. ... but disparities in unemployment rates are even larger among regions	95
13.3. In 2001, Italy, Belgium and Canada showed the largest regional disparities in unemployment rates	95
13.4. In 2001, one-third of the OECD labour force lived in regions with high unemployment rates	95
13.5. Regional unemployment rate: Asia and Oceania TL3	96
13.6. Regional unemployment rate: Europe TL3	97
13.7. Regional unemployment rate: North America TL3	98
13.8. There are significant differences in labour productivity among regions	99
13.9. In several countries, low-productivity regions tend to have higher unemployment rates	99
14.1. Participation rates vary significantly among OECD countries.....	101
14.2. ... but disparities in participation rates are even larger among regions	101
14.3. In 2001, Spain showed the largest regional disparities in participation rates	101
14.4. In 2001, about half of the OECD working-age population lived in regions with low participation rates	101
14.5. Regional activity rate: Asia and Oceania TL3	102
14.6. Regional activity rate: Europe TL3	103
14.7. Regional activity rate: North America TL3	104
14.8. Unemployment rates vary significantly among regions	105
14.9. Participation rates are low in high-unemployment regions	105
16.1. In 2001, regional differences in GDP per capita due to productivity were over 15%	108
16.2. On average, about half of the effect of specialisation on regional performances is accounted by regional type	108
16.3. Differences in GDP per capita due to productivity: Asia TL3 and Oceania TL2 ...	109
16.4. Differences in GDP per capita due to productivity: Europe TL3	110
16.5. Differences in GDP per capita due to productivity: North America TL2	111

17.1. In 2001, regional differences of close to 4% in GDP per capita were due to specialisation	112
17.2. On average, about half of the effect of specialisation on regional performances is due to regional type.	112
17.3. Differences in GDP per capita due to specialisation: Asia TL3 and Oceania TL2 . .	113
17.4. Differences in GDP per capita due to specialisation: Europe TL3	114
17.5. Differences in GDP per capita due to specialisation: North America TL2	115
18.1. In 2001, regional differences in GDP per capita due to skills were about 3%	116
18.2. On average, 36% of the effect of skills on regional performance is explained by the regional type.	116
18.3. Differences in GDP per capita due to skills: Asia TL3 and Oceania TL2.	117
18.4. Differences in GDP per capita due to skills: Europe TL3	118
18.5. Differences in GDP per capita due to skills: North America TL2	119
19.1. In 2001, there were regional differences of 5% in GDP per capita due to employment rates	120
19.2. On average, about half of the effect of employment rates on performance is due to the regional type	120
19.3. Differences in GDP per capita due to employment rate: Asia TL3 and Oceania TL2.	121
19.4. Differences in GDP per capita due to employment rate: Europe TL3.	122
19.5. Differences in GDP per capita due to employment rate: North America TL2.	123
20.1. In 2001, there were regional differences in GDP per capita of 7% due to commuting.	124
20.2. On average, 34% of the effect of commuting on GDP per capita is due to the regional type	124
20.3. Differences in GDP per capita due to commuting: Asia TL3 and Oceania TL2	125
20.4. Differences in GDP per capita due to commuting: Europe TL3.	126
20.5. Differences in GDP per capita due to commuting: North America TL2.	127
21.1. In 2001, there were differences of 7% in GDP per capita due to activity rates	128
21.2. On average, about half of the effect of specialisation on regional performances is due to the regional type	128
21.3. Differences in GDP per capita due to activity rate: Asia TL3 and Oceania TL2. . . .	129
21.4. Differences in GDP per capita due to activity rate: Europe TL3.	130
21.5. Differences in GDP per capita due to activity rate: North America TL2.	131
22.1. In 2001, there were regional differences of close to 3% in GDP per capita due to age	132
22.2. On average, 46% of the effect of age on regional performance is due to the regional type	132
22.3. Differences in GDP per capita due to ageing: Asia TL3 and Oceania TL2	133
22.4. Differences in GDP per capita due to ageing: Europe TL3	134
22.5. Differences in GDP per capita due to ageing: North America TL2	135
23.1. Regional accessibility varies most in Australia and United States.	138
23.2. On average, accessibility is higher for urban than for rural and intermediate regions.	138
23.3. Accessibility: road distances in minutes – Asia and Oceania TL3	139
23.4. Accessibility: road distances in minutes – Europe TL3 (Poland TL2)	140
23.5. Accessibility: road distances in minutes – North America TL3 (Mexico TL2)	141

24.1. In 2001 the proportion of owned accommodation varied significantly among regions	142
24.2. In all countries rural and intermediate regions have higher rates of home ownership than the national average	142
24.3. Home ownership by region: Asia and Oceania TL3	143
24.4. Home ownership by region: Europe TL3	144
24.5. Home ownership by region: North America TL3	145
25.1. In 2001, enrolment in tertiary education varied significantly among regions ...	146
25.2. The Slovak Republic had the highest density of students in urban regions	146
25.3. Students in tertiary education per inhabitant by region: Asia and Oceania TL2	147
25.4. Students in tertiary education per inhabitant by region: Europe TL2	148
25.5. Students in tertiary education per inhabitant by region: North America TL2 ...	149
26.1. The United States shows the highest and the lowest rates of observed deaths ..	150
26.2. The coefficient of variation reveals the largest regional differences in United States and Australia	150
26.3. Age-adjusted mortality rate: Asia and Oceania TL2	151
26.4. Age-adjusted mortality rate: Europe TL2	152
26.5. Age-adjusted mortality rate: North America TL2	153
27.1. In 2001, regional disparities in doctors per capita were highest in Iceland and Poland and lowest in New Zealand	154
27.2. The population in urban regions tends to have access to more doctors than the population in rural and intermediate regions	154
27.3. Practicing physicians per inhabitant by region: Asia and Oceania TL2	155
27.4. Practicing physicians per inhabitant by region: Europe TL2	156
27.5. Practicing physicians per inhabitant by region: North America TL2	157
28.1. The Slovak Republic displayed the highest variation in recorded offences against property	158
28.2. Crimes against property are manifestly more frequent in predominantly urban regions	158
28.3. Reported crimes against the property per inhabitant by region: Asia and Oceania TL2	159
28.4. Reported crimes against the property per inhabitant by region: Europe TL2	160
28.5. Reported crimes against the property per inhabitant by region: North America TL2	161
29.1. In 2001 the number of reported offences against persons was unevenly distributed among regions	162
29.2. The United States and Korea show the highest frequency of recorded crime in urban regions, 2001	162
29.3. Reported crimes against the person per inhabitant by region: Asia and Oceania TL2	163
29.4. Reported crimes against the person per inhabitant by region: Europe TL2	164
29.5. Reported crimes against the person per inhabitant by region: North America TL2	165
30.1. In 2000 road accidents were more frequent in rural and intermediate regions ...	166
30.2. In 2000, Portugal and the United States showed the largest regional differences in the rate of fatal traffic accidents	166

30.3. Deaths in traffic accidents per inhabitant by region: Asia and Oceania TL2	167
30.4. Deaths in traffic accidents per inhabitant by region: Europe TL2	168
30.5. Deaths in traffic accidents per inhabitant by region: North America TL2.	169
31.1. In 2001, Australia and the United States had the highest number of per capita private vehicles.	170
31.2. ... but the United States had the lowest number of vehicles per capita in urban regions.	170
31.3. Number of private vehicles per inhabitant by region: Asia and Oceania TL2.	171
31.4. Number of private vehicles per inhabitant by region: Europe TL2	172
31.5. Number of private vehicles per inhabitant by region: North America TL2	173
IV.1. Regional typology: Asia and Oceania TL2.	179
IV.2. Regional typology: Europe TL2	180
IV.3. Regional typology: North America TL2	181
IV.4. Regional typology: Asia and Oceania TL3.	182
IV.5. Regional typology: Europe TL3	183
IV.6. Regional typology: North America TL3	184