Contents

| 1 | Second I | anguage Acquisition Facit Saltus ('Takes a Leap') | 1 |
|---|---|--|----|
| | 1.1 | Preview of the Volume: Second Language Acquisition in | |
| | | Adulthood is a Discontinuous Process | 1 |
| | 1.2 | The Term 'Discontinuity' and its Meaning for SLA | 3 |
| | | The Core Idea of the Discontinuity Hypothesis | 5 |
| | 1.4 | 'Gemination' of the Same Twice-learned Items in | |
| | | a Learner's Competence | 8 |
| | 1.5 | Second Language Acquisition is 'Quantized' | 9 |
| | 1.6 | Falsifiability Criteria for the Discontinuity Hypothesis | 11 |
| | 1.7 | | |
| | | Cannot Explain All Developmental Transition States | 15 |
| | 1.8 | Discontinuity is Neither Automatization | |
| | | Nor Restructuring | 17 |
| | 1.9 | | |
| | | Distinction | 19 |
| | 1.10 | Discontinuity Operationalizes Two Different Kinds of L2 | |
| | | Grammar | 20 |
| | 1.11 | Discontinuity Differs From Developmental Theories of | |
| | | 'Incrementalism' | 22 |
| | 1.12 | Diagnostics of Discontinuity | 24 |
| | | Discontinuity and Individual Differences | 28 |
| | | Credits | 31 |
| | 1.15 | Breakdown of the Volume | 39 |
| 2 | Discontinuity as Chunks Feed into Grammar | | 43 |
| | 2.1 | Chapter Preview: Frequency Takes the Floor | 43 |
| | 2.2 | | |
| | | Processing and Language Acquisition | 44 |
| | 2.3 | Chunks, Not Formulas, Are the Building Blocks of SL | 45 |
| | | | |

vi Discontinuity in Second Language Acquisition

| | 2.5 | Chunks Feed into L2 Grammar Chunks Feed into L2 Constructions | 48 51 |
|---|----------|---|----------|
| | | One Example of Gemination of TL Representation in L2 Italian | 52 |
| | 2.7 | How Much Grammar Can Be Found in Chunks and Constructions? | 58 |
| | 2.8 | Chunking (and SL) Operates on Sociolinguistic Variants as Well | 60 |
| | 2.9 | To Sum Up: Some Language Properties Are Not a Property of Input | 62 |
| 3 | Disconti | nuity in the Maturing and in the Adapting Brain | 64 |
| | 3.1 | Chapter Preview: Discontinuity Across a Learner's Age | 64 |
| | 3.2 | Beyond the 'Fundamental Difference' Versus 'Full Access' | |
| | 0.0 | Debate | 66 |
| | | Learning by Patches is Typical of Adult SLA | 68 |
| | 3.4 | Discontinuity in Brain Maturation and Brain Adaptation | 69 |
| | 3.5 | The Difference Between a 'Sensitive' and a 'Critical' | _, |
| | | Period for Language Acquisition | 70 |
| | | Discontinuity in the Maturing Brain | 72 |
| | 3.7 | Lifelong Effects of the Early Acquisition of Additional | |
| | | Languages | 78 |
| | 3.8 | Discontinuity and an Adult's Brain Adaptation | 80 |
| | | The Critical Period Hypothesis Revised | 81 |
| | | Specific Features of SLA in Adulthood | 84 |
| | 3.11 | To Sum Up: The Balance Between Loss and | |
| | | Compensation in Late SLA | 93 |
| 4 | Disconti | nuity and the Neurocognition of Second Language | 90 |
| | 4.1 | Chapter Preview: Discontinuity Fits a Divergence | |
| | | Model of L1–L2 Acquisition | 90 |
| | 4.2 | The Notion of Convergence and the Single-network | |
| | | Hypothesis | 9 |
| | 4.3 | The Notion of Divergence and the Declarative/ | |
| | | Procedural Model | 98 |
| | 4.4 | The Declarative/Procedural Model | 9 |
| | 4.5 | Problems with the DPM (and Possible Integrations) | 10 |
| | 4.6 | Discontinuity and L2 Neurocognition: | _0 |
| | | Experimental Studies | 11 |
| | 4.7 | Studies Questioning the Developmental Relevance of | |
| | | the N400/P600 Dichotomy | 12 |

| | 4.8 | What is Learned at Discrete Stages of Learning is Just | 10 |
|---|------------|---|-----------------|
| | 4.9 | Combinatorial Grammar The NAOO BEOO Dish stress Could Bernel 14, 12 | 124 |
| | 4.9 | The N400–P600 Dichotomy Could Reveal L1–L2 | 100 |
| | 4.10 | Common Processing Strategies | 128 |
| | 4.10 | A Different View of the N400–P600 'Biphasic Pattern': | |
| | | Neural Cues of Gemination in L2 Processing and | 100 |
| | 1 11 | Representations | 129 |
| | 4.11 | To Sum Up: SL and GL Divide the Labor of SLA in Adulthood | 101 |
| | | in Adulthood | 131 |
| 5 | Statistica | al Learning of a Second Language | 135 |
| | 5.1 | Chapter Preview: What Parts of the L2 Are | |
| | | Affected by SL? | 135 |
| | 5.2 | The Distinction Between Combinatorial and | |
| | | Non-combinatorial Grammar | 136 |
| | 5.3 | Second Language Acquisition as a Form of Supervised SL | 138 |
| | 5.4 | Did the SL Approach Change the Problem of | |
| | | Language Acquisition? | 139 |
| | 5.5 | Is Probabilistic Information also Relevant to the | |
| | | Structural Properties of the Language? | 140 |
| | 5.6 | Syntax that Can Be Learned Statistically | |
| | | (in a Miniature Artificial Grammar) | 148 |
| | 5.7 | The Potential Contribution of SL for the Acquisition of | |
| | | L2 Syntax | 146 |
| | 5.8 | On Syntax as 'Structural Integration': P600 Effects | |
| | | also Signal Identical Violations in Non-linguistic | |
| | | Domains | 151 |
| | 5.9 | Are the Patterns that Statistical Learners Extract from | |
| | | the Input Actually 'Syntactic Structures'? | 154 |
| | | Adjacency, Non-adjacency and SL | 158 |
| | 5.11 | Non-adjacent Dependencies in SL Correspond to | |
| | | What We Have Previously Labeled as | |
| | | Combinatorial Grammar | 161 |
| | 5.12 | Neural Correlates of the Processing of Supra-regular | |
| | | (Phrase-Structures) Versus Regular (Finite State) | |
| | | Grammars | 166 |
| | 5.13 | What 'L2 Chunks Feed Into Grammar' Means in the | . - |
| | | Perspective of SL | 172 |
| | 5.14 | To Sum Up: The Distinctive Features of SL and | 4 |
| | | Discontinuity in SLA | 175 |

viii Discontinuity in Second Language Acquisition

| 6 | Parts of | L2 Grammar That Resist Statistical Learning | 178 |
|---|----------|---|-----|
| | 6.1 | Chapter Preview: Two Kinds of Grammar, Two Kinds | |
| | | of Learning | 178 |
| | 6.2 | The Discontinuity from Statistical 'Counting' to | |
| | | Grammatical 'Computation' | 179 |
| | 6.3 | The Switch Between Concatenation and 'External Merge' | 180 |
| | | Discontinuity and Downstream, Top-down L2 Processing | 188 |
| | | The Benefits of Frequency Do Not Extend to Displaced | |
| | | Items ('Internal Merge') and to Empty Categories | 190 |
| | 6.6 | | |
| | | Be Learned like a Song | 196 |
| | 6.7 | O | |
| | | Items in a Sentence | 197 |
| | 6.8 | Non-combinatorial Grammar and Long-distance | |
| | | Dependencies: The Shallow Structure Hypothesis | 198 |
| | 6.9 | Non-combinatorial Grammar at the Interfaces | 204 |
| | | Non-combinatorial Grammar and | |
| | | Uninterpretable Features | 213 |
| | 6.11 | Non-combinatorial Grammar and Functional | |
| | | Morphology: The 'Bottleneck' Hypothesis | 215 |
| | 6.12 | Is Non-combinatorial Grammar Important for SLA? | 216 |
| | | To Sum Up: Whether Something Can Be Learned or | |
| | | Not Depends on How it Can Be Learned | 218 |
| | | | |
| | Conclusi | ons | 220 |
| | Referenc | es | 225 |
| | | | 440 |
| | Index | | 251 |