Contents

Ta	ble of Cas	es	xvii
		gislation	
1.	Introduct	ion: The Purpose and Frame of this Inquiry	1
	I. Inti	roduction	1
	Α.	The Objectives of this Book	2
		Why Human Rights and the Environment?	
	C.	The Significance of the EU Experience	4
		i. The Relevance of the EU Experience for the WTO.	
	D.	The Starting Point for this Inquiry: Pragmatism	
		Rather than Ideology	7
	E.	Framing the Inquiry: Sustainable Development	
		and Proportionality	7
		i. Sustainable Development	
		ii. The Principle of Proportionality	
	II. Stru	acture of the Book	

PART I: THE EU EXPERIENCE

2.	The Emergence of Human Rights and Environmental	
	Protection in the EU	21
	Introduction	21
	I. The Protection of Human Rights in the EU	23
	A. The Emergence of EU Human Rights Protection:	
	A Chronological Account	23
	i. The Treaty of Rome (1957)	23
	ii. The Single European Act (1986)	27
	iii. The Treaty on European Union (1992)	
	iv. The Treaty of Amsterdam (1997)	
	v. The Treaty of Nice (2001) and the Charter of	
	Fundamental Rights for the European Union	31
	vi. The Treaty of Lisbon (2007)	33
	B. The Coming of Age of EU Human Rights: 2000	33
	i. Issues Raised by the Charter of Fundamental	
	Rights	33
	ii. The Significance of the Role of the Court of Justice	

3.

C. EU Human Rights Protection and the International
Legal Order
i. EU Law in the International Legal Order:
The Kadi Case
ii. The View of the ECHR Regarding EU Fundamental
Rights
iii. The Impact of Kadi and Kadi II
D. Human Rights in the EU: Conclusions
II. The Protection of the Environment in the EU
A. The Emergence of EU Environmental Protection:
A Chronological Account
i. The Treaty of Rome (1957)
ii. The Single European Act (1986): The Foundations
of a More Proactive Environmental Policy
iii. The Treaty on European Union (1992)
iv. The Treaty of Amsterdam (1997)
v. The Treaty of Nice (2001)
vi. The Treaty of Lisbon (2007)
B. Fundamental Principles in EU Environmental
Law and Policy55
i. Subsidiarity
ii. Sustainability: The Sustainable Development Strategy 56
iii. Duty of Integration
iv. Proportionality
v. The Precautionary Principle
C. Looking Forward: The Emerging Significance of Sectoral
Environmental Objectives (post-2007)
i. The Seventh Environmental Action
Programme (2013)64
ii. Climate Change and Energy
iii. The Emergence of New Approaches to Governance 67
iv. The Benefits of Participatory, Deliberative
Approaches: The Kosterhavet National Park
D. EU Environmental Policy: Conclusions
i. The Development of Secondary EC Environmental
Legislation
ii. Legal Basis for Measures Including an
Environmental Element70
III. Human Rights and Environmental Protection in the EU:
Conclusions
The Standing of Human Rights and Environmental Protection in the EU Legal Order
Introduction74

I.	Regulating the Interface between Economic and	
	Non-economic Interests: The Rules of the Internal Market	75
	A. Human Rights and the Fundamental Freedoms	78
	i. Schmidberger: Introducing a Sustainable	
	Development-Based Approach	79
	B. Environmental Protection and the Fundamental	
	Freedoms	85
	i. Environmental Protection as a Justification for	
	Restriction of Movement	86
П.	Human Rights and Environmental Protection	
	as Free-Standing Objectives?	88
	A. Remedies and Case Law	
	i. The System of Remedies	89
	ii. Environmental Protection: A Ground of Review?	90
	iii. Non-economic Interests and the Test for Standing	90
	iv. Greenpeace	
	v. The Lisbon Treaty Amendment to the Test	
	for Standing of Natural and Legal Persons	94
	B. Access to Justice: The Aarhus Convention	96
III.	Conclusions: The Significance of Sustainable Development	
	and Proportionality in Balancing the EU's Economic and	
	Non-economic Interests	99
	A. Balancing Interests: The Need for Proportionality	
	B. The Emergence of the Two-Way Application	
	of the Proportionality Test	99
	C. The Two-Way Application of Proportionality as an	
	Operationalisation of Sustainable Development	. 102
	D. Enforcement of Non-economic Interests Outside the	
	Context of the Internal Market Rules	. 102
		400
	EU's Relations with Third States	
	oduction	
I.	The Basis of External Competence of the EU	
	A. Conferral: The Source of EU Powers	
	B. The Position under the TEU	
	i. The EC: The First Pillar of the EU	
	ii. The CFSP: The Second Pillar of the EU	. 106
	iii. The Distinction between EC and EU	
	Competences	. 107
	C. The Post-Lisbon Position (2009–)	
II.	The Exercise of EU Competence	. 109
	A. Legal Base: Competency to Undertake External Action	
	i. Express Powers	
	ii. Implied Powers	. 110

4.

		iii. The Scope of Conferred Competence: Common
		Commercial Policy—Opinion 1/94112
		iv. Article 2(2) TFEU: Member State Competence
		Subordinate to EU Competence?
		v. Complementary Competence
	III.	EU Competence in Relation to its Newer Objectives
		A. The Significance of Concurrent Powers
		B. The EU's External Competence: Environmental
		Protection
		C. External EU Competence: Human Rights
		i. The Limits of the Implied External Human Rights
		Competence
		ii. Internal Human Rights: Objective or Transverse
		Obligation?
		iii. The Distinction between an Obligation to Respect
		and a Power to Promote
		iv. The Basis of the Human Rights Clause in EU
		Agreements with Third States
		v. Case C-268/94 Portugal v Council and
		Commission of the European Community
		vi. The Lisbon Treaty Position: Essential
	n 7	Clarification
	IV.	The Effect of International Agreements in EU Law
		A. Direct Effect: A Necessary Condition for Reviewability
		of the Compatibility of EU Law with an International
		Agreement
		i. Direct Effect? A Two-Prong Test
		B. Unpacking the Jurisdiction of the Court of Justice to
		Review the Compatibility of EU Law with the EU's
		International Obligations
		i. Questions Concerning the Scope of the Court
		of Justice's Jurisdiction
	V.	Concluding Comments
		A. The Nature of EU External Competence
		B. The Nature of EU External Competence in Respect
		of Human Rights and Environmental Protection
		C. The Emergence of External Competence as a Mirror
		of the Development of Internal Competence
		D. The Effect of the EU's External Commitments
5	ц	non Distance of Empiremental Descention in (1, DTD)
э.		nan Rights and Environmental Protection in the EU's
		tions with Third States
		oduction
	SILI	cture of the Chapter140

I.		ms and Types of Agreement between	
	the	EU and Third States	141
	A.	Trade Agreements	141
	B.	Partnership and Cooperation Agreements	
	C.	Association Agreements	
		i. Development Cooperation Agreements	
		ii. The Europe Agreements	
		iii. Other Association Agreements	
	D.	European Neighbourhood Policy	
	E.	Sectoral Agreements	
	F.	Interim Agreements	
II.		e Emergence of the Human Rights and Democracy	
		use: Lomé IV (1990)	148
	A.	Article 366a: Introducing Human Rights	
		Conditionality to Lomé (1995)	1.50
	B.	The Cotonou Agreement (2000)	
	Č.	The Human Rights and Democracy Clause in	
	0.	Agreements with Central and Eastern European States	152
		i. Ex Ante Human Rights Consideration	152
		ii. Human Rights Conditionality within Agreements	
		with European States	153
		iii. The Substance of the Clause in Agreements	155
		with European States	153
	D.	Universal Inclusion of the Human Rights Clause	154
	ν.	i. A Universal Policy with Varying Reference	15 1
		Points and Content	155
	E.	Human Rights Conditionality and the EU GSP	
	L,	i. Positive Conditionality under the GSP	
		ii. Negative Conditionality under the Gor	157
		iii. Reform of the GSP	
		iv. Temporary Withdrawal of GSP Benefits	
	F.	Sectoral Agreements	161
	G.	External Opposition to Inclusion of the	101
	О.	Human Rights Clause: Mexico and Australia	162
		i. Mexico	
		ii. Australia	
	ы	Questions Regarding the Definition and Perception	105
	H.	of Human Rights at Issue: A Truly Universal Policy?	161
	т	Continuing Resistance to Human Rights Conditionality	
	I.	Factors Influencing the Form and Strength of the	105
	J.	Human Rights Clause	166
III.	EU	Enforcement of the Human Rights Clause	
ш.			10/
	А.	A subscription A subscription with Pupping	160
		Cooperation Agreement with Russia	100

6.

	B.	Discretion and Consistency in Relation to the
		Human Rights Clause 169
	C.	The Subsequent Challenge: Standing to Enforce
		the Clause?
		i. Mugraby v Council and Commission
	D.	Reliance on the Exercise of Political Discretion
		in the Enforcement of the Human Rights Clause
IV.	The	Protection of the Environment in the EU's Relations
	with	174 Third States
	A.	Development Cooperation Agreements 174
		i. The Cotonou Agreement
		ii. Environmental Protection in the Europe
		Agreements177
	B.	Partnership and Cooperation Agreements179
	C.	Cooperation Agreements
	D.	Trade Agreements
	E.	The Agreement with Mexico
	F.	Australia182
	G.	The Emergence of an Integrative Approach:
		Sustainable Development in the EU's Agreements
		with Third States183
		i. The Integration of the Environmental Dimension
		in Development
V.		nclusions
	Α.	On Human Rights Conditionality
	B .	On Environmental Protection
	C.	The Significance of Relativity in the Pursuit of Non-
		economic Interests in the EU's External Relations
		i. Mitigating the Charge of Imperialism
		ii. The Creation of Regulatory Space for the Protection
	P	of Non-economic Values
	D.	EU External Policy as a Reflection of its Internal
	r	Policy
	E.	Lessons Which May Be Drawn from the EU's
		Experience in Reconciling the Pursuit of Economic
		and Non-economic Interests
DAE	от п	BALANCING ECONOMIC AND NON-ECONOMIC
		RESTS IN THE INTERNATIONAL LEGAL ORDER
		national Context: The WTO Legal Order195
		tion
I.		e Framework for International Trade: The WTO 196
	A.	Background to the WTO197

		C. Fundamental Principles and Rules of the GATT	
		D. Provision for the Protection of Non-economic	
		Interests within the WTO Legal Order	
		E. The Dispute Settlement System	
	II.	The Relationship between WTO Law and	
		International Law	
		A. WTO Law: No Clinical Isolation from	
		International Law	
		B. Relevant Rules of International Law	
		i. Customary International Law	
		ii. The Impact of Provisions of International	
		Treaties	
7	·T 1		
/.		le and Environment in the WTO Legal Order	
	_	oduction	
	I,		210
		the GATT/WTO	
		A. The Committee on Trade and Environment	
		B. The GATT Status of Trade-Related Measures in	242
		MEAs	
	II.	Environmental Regulatory Measures and Dispute	210
		Settlement.	
		A. Trade/Environment Disputes before the GATT	216
		Panel	
		i. Tuna and Tuna Products	
		ii. The Herring and Salmon Dispute	
		iii. Thai Cigarettes	
		iv. Superfund	
		v. US Restrictions on Imports of Tuna (1991)	
		vi. US-Restrictions on Imports of Tuna (1994)	224
		(Tuna-Dolphin II)	
		vii. Trade and Environment under the GATT:	222
		Comment.	
		B. Trade/Environment Disputes under the WTO Disp	pute
		Settlement System	
		i. US-Gasoline	
		ii. United States-Import Prohibition of Certain	
		Shrimp and Shrimp Products (US-Shrimp)	
		iii. EC-Asbestos	
		iv. Testing the Legitimacy of Members' Regulato	
		Measures: 'Weighing and Balancing'?	
		C. The Agreement on Sanitary and Phytosanitary	.
		Measures (SPS Agreement)	
		i. The Nature of Review: EC-Hormones	

		D. The Agreement on Technical Barriers to Trade	238
		i. US-Clove Cigarettes	
		ii. US-Measures Concerning the Importation,	
		Marketing and Sale of Tuna and Tuna Products	
		(US-Tuna II) (Mexico)	240
	111.	Emerging Environmental Measures Posing	
		Particular Challenges for the WTO	242
		A. Energy	
		B. Trade in Environmental Goods	
	IV.	Application of the Different Tests in the Determination	
		as to Whether a Measure May Justify a Trade Restriction	245
		A. The Necessity Test	
		B. The Relationship between GATT/TBT 'Necessity'	
		and EU Proportionality	247
		C. The Appellate Body: 'Weighing and Balancing'	/
		Competing Interests?	248
		D. The Application of the Court of Justice and Appellate	210
		Body Tests Compared	210
		i. Ditlev Bluhme	
		ii. PreussenElektra	
	v		
	v.	Conclusions	<u>2</u> 32
0	Line	Diabte Drotestian and W/T/O I and	251
0.	Interes	nan Rights Protection and WTO Law	254
		oduction	234
	1.	Background: International Human Rights Law and	255
		the WTO	
	TT	A. No Coherent Relationship	233
	II.	1 0	250
		WTO Rules	
		A. Locating Human Rights in the WTO Legal Order	
		i. International Law and the WTO	260
		ii. The Relevance of Human Rights Considerations	
		in the Determination of 'Likeness' under	
		Article III GATT	262
		iii. Invocation of an Exception under Article XX	_
		GATT	
		B. Case Study: Labour Rights	
		i. The Singapore Ministerial Declaration	
		ii. Defining Labour Rights	267
		iii. Unpacking the Core Standards	267
		iv. 'Labour Standards' to 'Labour Rights': Merely	
		a Rhetorical Shift?	267
		v. Labour Rights as Human Rights?	268
		vi. Testing the Interaction between Human Rights and	
		the WTO Rules with Reference to Labour Rights	.271

	III.	Contrasting Approaches: The EU and WTO
		Approaches Compared
	IV.	Conclusions
		A. The Significance of Consensus
0	E ((
9.	Enec	ting the Reconciliation of Competing Interests:
		nceptualising the Legal Framework
	I.	
	П.	Reconceptualising the Objectives of the WTO through
		the Lens of Sustainable Development
		A. Unpacking the Objectives of the WTO Rules
		B. The Impact of the Narrow (Neoliberal) Interpretation
		of the WTO Objectives
		C. The Need for a Holistic Approach
		D. Embedded Liberalism
		E. The Integration of Non-economic Interests into the
		WTO Legal Order?
		i. The Dangers of Integrating Non-economic Interests
		into the WTO Legal Order: Reinforcing Existing
		Hierarchies
		F. Integrating the WTO Legal Order into the Context
		of Sustainable Development
		G. The Theoretical Framework
		i. Would a Liberal Theoretical Framework Be
		Helpful?
		ii. The Need to Unpack the Pursuit of Trade
		Liberalisation: Non-discrimination or a
		Right to Market Access?
		iii. The Consequence of Reconceptualising the
		Objectives of the WTO in the Light
		of Sustainable Development
	III.	Operationalisation of the Sustainable
		Development-Based Approach
		A. Sustainable Development as the Basis of an
		Adjudicative Mechanism
		B. Applying the Sustainable Development-Based
		Approach: The Role of 'Proportionality'
		C. The Benefits of this Approach
	IV.	Reconciling the Pursuit of Economic and Non-economic
		Interests: Lessons from the EU
		A. EU Lessons: The Internal Perspective
		B. EU Lessons: The External Perspective
	V.	Concluding Comments
Inc	lex	