

Contents

Sections and exercises marked with * are more advanced and/or less central (and on some occasions intended as open-ended speculation). These may be skipped with little or no consequence for later sections.

Acknowledgments xv

Foreword: On Using this Book xvii

Part I: Foundational Concepts: Building a Fragment

1. Introduction 3

1.1. Goals 3

1.1.1. Compositional semantics and (some of) the goals of semantic theory 4

1.1.2. Direct Compositionality—and its role in this text 8

1.2. A brief note on the history of semantics within modern linguistic theory 10

1.3. The notion of a “fragment” and its use in this text 12

*1.4. An intriguing puzzle 13

1.5. Appendix: Sets and Functions 19

1.5.1. Sets, members, and subsets 19

1.5.2. Union, intersection, and complement 21

1.5.3. Ordered pairs, relations, equivalence relations, and partitions 21

1.5.4. Functions 24

2. Semantic foundations 27

2.1. Model-theoretic semantics 27

2.2. Truth conditions 28

2.3. Possible worlds 31

2.3.1. Introducing the notion 31

2.3.2. Characteristic function of a set 35

- 2.3.3. Notation and terminology 36
 - 2.3.4. Talking about worlds 37
 - 2.4. Times 41
- 3. **Compositionality, Direct Compositionality, and the syntax/semantics interface** 42
 - 3.1. Building a fragment: First steps 43
 - 3.2. Implicatures vs truth conditions 46
 - 3.3. Folding in worlds (and times) 48
 - 3.4. Negation: A first pass 49
- 4. **Expanding the fragment: Syntactic categories and semantic types** 52
 - 4.1. Noun phrases 52
 - 4.2. Intransitive verbs/verb phrases 58
 - 4.3. A brief look at lexical semantics 60
 - 4.4. Back to the compositional semantics 62
 - 4.5. Illustrating the syntactic/semantic composition 63
- 5. **Transitive verbs: Resolving an apparent syntax/semantics mismatch** 66
 - 5.1. First pass: Straw Man's solution 67
 - 5.2. Abandoning Direct Compositionality 70
 - 5.3. Hidden S conjunction? 73
 - 5.4. Back to the drawing board: Curry'ed functions 76
 - 5.4.1. Recasting the meaning of transitive verbs 76
 - *5.4.2. Currying more generally 79
 - 5.4.3. Solving Straw Man's problems 80
 - *5.5. A note on three-place verbs 84
- 6. **Categorial Grammar** 88
 - 6.1. Basics 89
 - 6.2. Syntax/semantics correspondence 92
 - 6.3. Refinements 94
 - *6.4. Some further observations about syntax: Case marking and agreement 96
 - 6.5. Further generalizing the rules 98
 - 6.6. *and, or, negation, and case-marking prepositions* 100
 - 6.7. Summary: The fragment so far 104

-
- 7. **The autonomy of syntax?** 107
 - 7.1. Good syntax—bad semantics 108
 - 7.2. Bad syntax—good semantics 111
 - 8. **Adjectives, nouns, determiners, and more** 113
 - 8.1. Predicative adjectives 113
 - 8.2. Nouns (“common nouns”) 116
 - 8.3. *the* 118
 - 8.4. Prenominal adjectives and unary rules 120
 - 8.4.1. An additional binary rule? 120
 - 8.4.2. Interlude: Unary rules (“type shift” rules; morphological rules) 121
 - 8.4.3. Back to adjectives 124
 - 8.4.4. Are all noun modifier adjectives intersective? 126
 - 8.5. Relational nouns 128
 - 8.6. Summary: The fragment so far 131
 - 9. **Interlude: The semantics of variables and the lambda calculus** 133
 - 9.1. First-order predicate logic (modified) 134
 - 9.1.1. Variables 134
 - 9.1.2. Quantifiers 138
 - 9.2. The lambda calculus 144
 - 9.2.1. Lambda abstraction 144
 - 9.2.2. Lambda conversion 147
 - 9.3. Naming model-theoretic objects 151

Part II: Enriching the Domain

- 10. **Returning to English: Generalized quantifiers** 157
 - 10.1. “NPs” with quantificational determiners 157
 - 10.1.1. First pass: Individuals? 158
 - 10.1.2. Second pass: Sets of individuals? 159
 - 10.1.3. Third pass: English as first-order logic?
Straw Man makes a cameo reappearance 160
 - 10.2. Generalized quantifiers 162
 - 10.2.1. The semantics 162
 - 10.2.2. The syntax 165
 - 10.2.3. Extending to the other quantified cases 166

- 10.3. The determiners 167
- 10.4. Interlude: Scalar implicature 168
- 10.5. Generalized quantifiers and conjunction 173
 - 10.5.1. VP conjunction 173
 - 10.5.2. Generalized quantifier conjunction 175
- *10.6. Domain restriction 178
- 11. Ordinary NPs and type lifting 181**
 - 11.1. Montague's solution 182
 - 11.2. Partee and Rooth's solution: Lift as a unary rule 185
 - 11.3. Generalizing the rule 186
 - 11.4. The lowest types hypothesis 189
 - 11.4.1. Some additional predictions 189
 - *11.4.2. The lowest types hypothesis: Processing vs grammar 192
 - 11.5. Resolving some syntactic loose ends 194
 - 11.6. Summary: The fragment so far 196
- 12. Generalized conjunction 198**
 - 12.1. Generalizing *and* and *or* 198
 - 12.2. Remarks on noun conjunction 201
 - 12.3. Summary: The fragment so far 203
- Part III: Relative Clauses, Scopes, and Binding:
Some Theoretical Controversies**
- 13. Relative clauses: Sketching two accounts 207**
 - 13.1. Preliminaries 207
 - 13.2. What do relative clauses modify? 209
 - 13.2.1. The noun modification argument 209
 - *13.2.2. But—not quite so fast... 213
 - 13.3. An account with movement and traces: Assignment functions in grammar 214
 - 13.4. A Direct Compositional (and variable-free) analysis 221
 - 13.4.1. Preliminaries: Function composition 221
 - 13.4.2. Interlude: Coordination 224
 - 13.4.3. Returning to relative clauses 227
 - 13.4.3.1. The basic account 227
 - 13.4.3.2. Syntactic loose ends 231

13.4.4.	A refinement: The Geach rule	233
13.4.4.1.	The rule and its application to relative clauses (and coordination)	233
*13.4.4.2.	Generalized <i>and</i> as generalized <i>g-sl</i> ?	238
13.5.	Appendix: Reassuring the Appalled Syntactician	240
13.6.	Summary: The fragment so far	242
14.	Generalized quantifiers in object position: Two approaches	244
14.1.	A tempting but inadequate solution	245
14.2.	Quantifier (Lowering)/Raising	248
14.3.	A Direct Compositional solution	255
14.4.	Some comparisons	263
14.4.1.	The generality of the solutions	263
14.4.2.	Antecedent-Contained Ellipsis	265
14.4.2.1.	The “textbook” argument	265
14.4.2.2.	Antecedent-Contained Ellipsis as Transitive Verb Phrase Ellipsis	270
14.5.	Generalized quantifiers in embedded sentences	272
14.6.	Summary: The fragment so far	273
15.	The interpretation of pronouns: Two accounts	274
15.1.	Introductory remarks	274
15.1.1.	Variables or not?	274
15.1.2.	Pronominal binding: The empirical phenomenon	276
15.2.	The LF (variable-ful) view	278
15.2.1.	The basic account	278
15.2.2.	A wrinkle: Weak Crossover effects	282
15.2.3.	Free pronouns	285
15.3.	A Direct Compositional and variable-free approach	286
15.3.1.	Pronouns and “binding”	287
15.3.2.	Free pronouns	293
*15.3.3.	Generalizing the rules	294
15.3.4.	Weak Crossover	298
15.3.5.	What happens when there is more than one pronoun?	301

15.3.5.1.	Multiple pronouns which are “the same”	301
*15.3.5.2.	And yet another possibility	303
15.3.5.3.	More than one pronoun—different binders	304
15.4.	More on Weak Crossover	305
15.4.1.	Definite NPs and WCO	305
15.4.1.1.	An apparent problem (for both theories)	305
15.4.1.2.	Not a problem after all	306
15.4.1.3.	Independent evidence: Ellipsis and the notion “sloppy identity”	307
*15.4.1.4.	A more formal account of the strict reading	312
*15.4.2.	A note on three-place verbs and WCO interactions	315
15.5.	More on free “variables”	317
15.5.1.	Free “variables” in the variable-ful account	317
15.5.2.	Free “variables” in the variable-free theory	319
15.6.	The i-within-i effect revisited: A solution	320
Appendices to Parts I–III: The full fragment		323
Appendix 1:	The basic fragment	323
	Foundational concepts	323
	The lexicon	324
	Word order rules	326
	Unary and combinatory rules	327
*Appendix 2:	Possible extensions of the fragment for three-place verbs using Wrap	329
	The lexicon	329
	Word order rule	330
	Revision of the definition of functions corresponding to categories of the form A/B	330
Appendix 3:	Informally discussed addition for VP Ellipsis	330

Part IV: Further Topics

- 16. Negative Polarity Items, semantic strength, and scalar implicature revisited 333**
 - 16.1. The notion of Negative Polarity Items 334
 - 16.1.1. *any* and *ever* 334
 - 16.1.2. Minimizers 336
 - 16.2. The distribution of NPIs 337
 - 16.2.1. First pass 337
 - 16.2.2. Second pass 339
 - 16.3. The first breakthrough 340
 - 16.3.1. Initial characterization of the environments 340
 - 16.3.2. A note on *many* and *few* 347
 - 16.4. But why? And how? 349
 - 16.4.1. The insight from semantic strength 351
 - 16.4.2. The second breakthrough: Kadmon and Landman on *any* 353
 - 16.4.3. *ever* 356
 - 16.5. Open questions and further discussion 358
 - 16.6. Semantic strength and scalar implicatures 360
- 17. More binding phenomena 364**
 - 17.1. Functional questions 364
 - 17.1.1. The phenomenon 364
 - 17.1.2. An analysis with complex traces 366
 - 17.1.3. A variable-free analysis 368
 - 17.2. Binding and coordination (in variable-free semantics) 370
 - 17.3. "Paycheck" pronouns (and "donkey" pronouns?) 371
 - 17.3.1. Paychecks 371
 - 17.3.2. A variable-free analysis of paycheck pronouns 374
 - 17.3.3. Donkeys 376
 - 17.4. "Hidden variables" 378
 - 17.4.1. Hidden variables can always be complex 378
 - 17.4.2. Translating into variable-free 382
- 18. Additional semantic dimensions: The semantics of focus 385**
 - 18.1. Computing alternatives compositionally 386
 - 18.2. The meaning of *only* 390

18.3.	Additional focus-sensitive words	392
18.4.	Further compositional issues	393
18.5.	Focus and binding	395
18.6.	More generally: Multidimensional semantics	397
19.	Intensionality and the syntax/semantics interface	398
19.1.	Intensionality-sensitive words	399
19.2.	Revising the fragment: Type-sensitive rules	403
	References	407
	Index	419