

Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>page xi</i>
<i>Table of treaties under international law</i>	<i>xiii</i>
<i>Table of cases</i>	<i>xv</i>
<i>List of acronyms</i>	<i>xviii</i>
Introduction	1
Part I A constructivist theory of international law	17
1 The challenge	19
1.1 The causal dependence of international law	20
1.2 The epistemic dependence of international law	28
1.3 The ontological dependence of international law	31
2 The theory	44
2.1 How international law works: intellectual and motivational effects	45
2.2 What international law is: dependent, but separate	53
Part II The definition of a legitimate target of attack in international law	65
3 Positive law	67
3.1 The definition of a legitimate target of attack	68
3.2 A purposive and textual interpretation	82
3.3 A historical interpretation	96
3.4 The logic warfare ought to follow: sufficiency	105
4 Customary law	112
4.1 The definition of a legitimate target of attack	113
4.2 The United States and the First Additional Protocol	121

4.3	The logic warfare ought to follow: sufficiency versus efficiency	129
	Part III An empirical study of international law in war	143
5	The rise of international law in US air warfare	145
5.1	The institutionalisation of compliance	146
5.2	The growing sense of legal obligation	152
6	The changing logic of US air warfare	163
6.1	The definition of a legitimate target of attack in US air warfare	163
6.2	The logic US air warfare follows: from sufficiency to efficiency	181
7	The behavioural relevance of international law in US air warfare	195
7.1	How international law works: the intellectual effect	196
7.2	How international law works: the motivational effect	208
7.3	Other factors that influence which logic warfare follows	220
7.4	What international law is: constitutive of legitimacy in war	239
	Part IV An evaluation of international law in war	247
8	The lack of normative success of international law in US air warfare	249
8.1	The logic warfare ought to follow: sufficiency versus efficiency	249
8.2	The logic warfare ought to follow: sufficiency versus liability	266
9	The impossibility of normative success for international law in war	278
9.1	The limits of international law in war	278
9.2	The potential of international law in war	290
	Conclusion	299
	<i>Bibliography</i>	311
	<i>Appendix</i>	349
	<i>Index</i>	353