



TECHNISCHE  
UNIVERSITÄT  
DARMSTADT

Genehmigte Dissertation zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades  
Doctor rerum naturalium (Dr. rer. nat.)  
Fachbereich 3: Humanwissenschaften  
Institut für Psychologie

## **Aircraft noise-induced annoyance in the vicinity of Cologne/Bonn Airport**

The examination of short-term and long-term annoyance as well as  
their major determinants

vorgelegt von Dipl.-Psych. Susanne Bartels (geb. Stein)  
geboren in Burgstädt

Darmstadt, 2014  
Hochschulkennziffer: D 17

Eingereicht am 08. Juli 2014  
Disputation am 15. September 2014

Referent: Prof. Dr. Joachim Vogt, Technische Universität Darmstadt  
Korreferent: Prof. Dr. Rainer Höger, Leuphana Universität Lüneburg

# Contents

<b>List of figures .....</b>	<b>VI</b>
<b>List of tables .....</b>	<b>VIII</b>
<b>List of abbreviations, formula symbols, and indices .....</b>	<b>X</b>
<b>Summary .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Zusammenfassung .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>1 Introduction .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>2 Theoretical background .....</b>	<b>12</b>
2.1 Sound and noise.....	12
2.2 Sources and descriptors of aircraft noise.....	13
2.2.1 Sources of aircraft noise.....	13
2.2.2 Common descriptors of aircraft noise.....	13
2.3 Effects of aircraft noise on man .....	16
2.3.1 Auditory effects .....	16
2.3.2 Non-auditory effects .....	16
2.4 Annoyance.....	19
2.4.1 Noise annoyance as a result of disturbance .....	19
2.4.2 Noise annoyance as emotion.....	20
2.4.3 Noise annoyance as attitude.....	21
2.4.4 Noise annoyance as knowledge .....	21
2.4.5 Noise annoyance as a result of rational decisions.....	21
2.4.6 Noise-induced annoyance – an integrative approach.....	22
2.5 Assessing annoyance.....	23
2.5.1 Annoyance scales.....	23
2.5.2 Study designs for the assessment of annoyance .....	25
2.6 The relation between aircraft noise exposure, short-term, and long-term annoyance.....	26
2.6.1 The relation between aircraft noise exposure and annoyance .....	26
2.6.2 Exposure-response curves.....	29
2.6.3 The relation between short-term and long-term effects of noise .....	30

2.7	Influence variables of noise-induced annoyance.....	31
2.7.1	Parameters related to the noise source .....	32
2.7.2	Situational factors .....	33
2.7.3	Personal and social factors.....	35
2.8	Excuse on the construct fairness .....	39
2.8.1	Prior research on fairness.....	39
2.8.2	Fairness in the context of aircraft noise exposure.....	43
<b>3</b>	<b>Research questions.....</b>	<b>45</b>
	<b>Part A: Telephone study .....</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Methods of the telephone study .....</b>	<b>50</b>
4.1	Examination areas .....	50
4.1.1	Cologne/Bonn Airport .....	50
4.1.2	Criteria for the area selection.....	50
4.1.3	Characteristics of the examined areas.....	52
4.2	Sample .....	55
4.2.1	Selection of telephone numbers .....	55
4.2.2	Participants.....	55
4.3	Conduction of the survey .....	56
4.4	Questionnaire.....	56
4.4.1	Pre-testing the interview questionnaire.....	56
4.4.2	Final version of the questionnaire: Content and answer scale formats .....	56
4.5	Statistical analysis .....	59
4.5.1	Research questions A 1 and A 2: Status quo of long-term aircraft noise annoyance and long-term annoyance during several times of day.....	59
4.5.2	Research question A 3: Predictors of long-term aircraft noise annoyance .....	59
4.5.3	Research question A 4: Residents' perception of Cologne/Bonn Airport.....	61
<b>5</b>	<b>Results of the telephone study.....</b>	<b>62</b>
5.1	Sample statistics .....	62
5.2	Research question A 1: Status quo of aircraft noise-induced annoyance.....	65

5.2.1	Status quo of annoyance depending on the examination area .....	65
5.2.2	Status quo of annoyance depending on the exposure level.....	66
5.2.3	The relation between age and annoyance .....	69
5.2.4	Comparison to the European standard curve .....	70
5.3	Research question A 2: Annoyance during several times of day .....	72
5.3.1	The relation between general and night-time annoyance .....	72
5.3.2	Aircraft noise annoyance in the course of the day .....	72
5.4	Research question A 3: Predictors of long-term aircraft noise annoyance .....	74
5.4.1	Pre-selection of predictors .....	74
5.4.2	A prediction model for general annoyance.....	76
5.4.3	A prediction model for night-time annoyance .....	80
5.5	Research question A 4: Residents' perception of Cologne/Bonn Airport.....	83
<b>6</b>	<b>Discussion and conclusion of the telephone study.....</b>	<b>88</b>
6.1	Discussion of the methodology .....	88
6.1.1	Telephone interview.....	88
6.1.2	Noise contour maps.....	90
6.2	Discussion and conclusion of the results of the research questions.....	91
6.2.1	Research question A 1: Status quo of long-term aircraft noise annoyance .....	91
6.2.2	Research question A 2: Long-term annoyance during several times of day .....	94
6.2.3	Research question A 3: Predictors of long-term aircraft noise annoyance .....	95
6.2.4	Research question A 4: Residents' perception of Cologne/Bonn Airport .....	100
	<b>Part B: Field study .....</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Methods of the field study .....</b>	<b>104</b>
7.1	Examination areas .....	104
7.2	Sample .....	104
7.2.1	Participants.....	104
7.2.2	Participant recruitment and selection.....	105
7.3	Examination protocol .....	106
7.4	Materials and measurements .....	108

7.4.1	Questionnaires.....	108
7.4.2	Acoustical measurements.....	112
7.5	Statistical analysis .....	116
7.5.1	Research question B 1: Predictors of short-term annoyance due to aircraft noise.....	116
7.5.2	Research question B 2: The relation between short-term and long-term annoyance due to aircraft noise .....	117
7.5.3	Research question B 3: Evaluation of aircraft noise authorities .....	117
<b>8</b>	<b>Results of the field study.....</b>	<b>119</b>
8.1	Sample statistics .....	119
8.2	Aircraft noise exposure during the field study .....	121
8.2.1	Results of the continuous recording of sound pressure levels .....	121
8.2.2	Aircraft noise exposure during the field study.....	122
8.2.3	Aircraft noise exposure in the course of the day.....	124
8.3	Short-term annoyance during the field study .....	125
8.4	Research question B 1: Predictors of short-term annoyance due to aircraft noise.....	126
8.4.1	Pre-selection of potential predictors .....	126
8.4.2	A combined model of acoustical and situational non-acoustical parameters .....	136
8.4.3	Final model: Predicting short-term annoyance by acoustical, situational, and time-invariant variables .....	137
8.4.4	The effect of psychological strain due to the field study procedure .....	139
8.5	Research question B 2: The relation between short-term and long-term annoyance due to aircraft noise .....	139
8.5.1	Representativeness of aircraft noise exposure during the field study.....	139
8.5.2	The relation between daytime short-term annoyance, sleep quality, and long-term annoyance .....	140
8.6	Research question B 3: Evaluation of aircraft noise authorities.....	143
8.6.1	Research question B 3.1: Evaluation of authorities' influence on aircraft noise exposure and of their will to act in the residents' interest.....	143
8.6.2	Research question B 3.2: Perceived fairness of air traffic-related decision-making and the allocation of aircraft noise at Cologne/Bonn Airport .....	144

<b>9</b>	<b>Discussion and conclusion of the field study .....</b>	<b>150</b>
9.1	Discussion of the methodology .....	150
9.1.1	Participant selection .....	150
9.1.2	Acoustical measurement .....	151
9.1.3	Hourly assessment of annoyance during the day .....	152
9.1.4	Statistical analyses using Generalized Estimating Equations .....	152
9.2	Discussion and conclusion of the results of the research questions .....	153
9.2.1	Research question B 1: Predictors of short-term annoyance due to aircraft noise .....	153
9.2.2	Research question B 3: The relation between short-term and long-term annoyance due to aircraft noise .....	160
9.2.3	Research question B 3: Evaluation of aircraft noise authorities .....	162
<b>10</b>	<b>Overall conclusion.....</b>	<b>168</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>Outlook .....</b>	<b>172</b>
	<b>References .....</b>	<b>174</b>
	<b>List of appendices .....</b>	<b>199</b>
	<b>Appendix .....</b>	<b>200</b>