

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS . . . . .	v
PREFACE TO THE GARLAND EDITION. . . . .	vii
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
Numbered Footnotes -- Chapter One. . . . .	8
Lettered Footnotes -- Chapter One. . . . .	11
2 PSEUDOGAPPING. . . . .	12
2.0 Introduction . . . . .	12
2.1 Pseudogappings from Natural Conversation . . . . .	15
2.2 The Postelliptical Constituent . . . . .	19
2.3 The Deleted Material . . . . .	21
2.4 The Pseudogapped Verb. . . . .	25
2.5 Polarity . . . . .	28
2.6 Three Factors Influencing Pseudogappings' Acceptability. . . . .	30
2.7 The Like-Subject Condition . . . . .	35
2.8 Interaction with Other Rules . . . . .	40
2.8.1 Particle Shift . . . . .	40
2.8.2 Right Node Raising . . . . .	41
2.8.3 Wh-Q Movement. . . . .	43
2.8.4 Cleft, Pseudo-cleft, Topicalization. . . . .	46
2.9 The Derivation of Pseudogappings . . . . .	49
2.9.1 A Statement of the Rule. . . . .	49
2.9.2 A Special Case of VP Deletion? . . . . .	51
2.9.3 A Special Case of Gapping? . . . . .	57
2.10 Discourse Function of Pseudogapping. . . . .	59
2.11 Summary of Chapter Two . . . . .	61

Numbered Footnotes -- Chapter Two . . . . .	63
Lettered Footnotes -- Chapter Two . . . . .	70
<b>3 EXPLAINING A CONSTRAINT ON VERB PHRASE DELETION. . . . .</b>	<b>91</b>
3.0 Introduction . . . . .	91
3.1 A Constraint on VP Deletion. . . . .	92
3.2 Difficulties With the Verb Phrase Deletion Constraint. . . . .	97
3.2.1 A Methodological Difficulty. . . . .	98
3.2.2 A Counterexample . . . . .	103
3.2.3 A Reanalysis of the Data . . . . .	105
3.2.4 Lack of Independent Motivation . . . . .	112
3.2.4.1 The Relativized A-over-A Principle . . . . .	113
3.3 VP Deletion and Pro-form Reference to VPs. . . . .	115
3.3.1 Expanding Kuno's Data. . . . .	115
3.3.2 Nearer to Vee. . . . .	117
3.3.3 Specialization of the VP Anaphors. . . . .	122
3.4 Summary of Chapter Three . . . . .	127
Numbered Footnotes -- Chapter Three. . . . .	129
Lettered Footnotes -- Chapter Three. . . . .	132
<b>4 CONDITIONS ON ELLIPSIS OF INFINITIVAL BE . . . . .</b>	<b>139</b>
4.0 The Data . . . . .	139
4.1 The Left Modal Requirement . . . . .	143
4.2 Control. . . . .	144
4.2.1 Apparent Exceptions to the Left Modal Requirement. . . . .	144
4.2.2 Control and the Root/Epistemic Distinction. . . . .	146
4.2.2.1 Independent Evidence for Control . . . . .	150
4.2.2.2 A Gap in the Data. . . . .	152
4.2.3 Control and Deletion in Infinitival Clauses. . . . .	153
4.3 Anaphoric Infinitival <i>Be</i> in Questions, Tags, and Emphatic Constructions . . . . .	157
4.3.1 General Considerations . . . . .	157
4.3.2 Questions and Tags . . . . .	157
4.3.3 Emphatic Constructions . . . . .	159
4.3.3.1 Emphatic Constructions with Inverted Auxiliaries. . . . .	159
4.3.3.2 Emphatic Constructions with Intona- tionally United Particles. . . . .	161
4.3.4 Summary of §4.3.3. . . . .	164
4.4 Some Prosodic Considerations . . . . .	164
4.5 Summary of Chapter Four. . . . .	166
Numbered Footnotes -- Chapter Four . . . . .	169
Lettered Footnotes -- Chapter Four . . . . .	172

5	SUMMARY AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH. . .	180
5.0	Summary. . . . .	180
5.1	Suggestions for Further Research . . . . .	183
5.1.1	Phrasal and Lexical Categories . . . . .	184
5.1.2	Psycholinguistic Evidence. . . . .	185
5.1.3	Pseudogapping in British English . . . . .	187
5.1.4	Acceptability and Frequency of Usage . . . . .	188
5.1.5	Grammatical and Sociolinguistic Markedness . . . . .	189
5.1.6	Pragmatic Effects. . . . .	191
5.1.7	How Many Remnants? . . . . .	192
5.2	Concluding Remarks . . . . .	195
	Numbered Footnotes -- Chapter Five . . . . .	196
	Lettered Footnotes -- Chapter Five . . . . .	200
	LIST OF REFERENCES (ORIGINAL) . . . . .	208
	REFERENCES FROM LETTERED FOOTNOTES. . . . .	213
	APPENDIX: ORIGINAL ABSTRACT OF DISSERTATION. . . . .	215