

# Contents

Preface	page ix
1 The Reputation and Influence of Shakespeare 1600-42	I
Reputation on the stage: contemporary performances and comment—Shakespeare in print: number of editions, piracy and apocryphal attribution—reputation with individuals: the Court, the Universities, clerics and fellow-writers, especially Jonson—the Great Debate, Shakespeare <i>versus</i> the Ancients—G. E. Bentley and Shakespeare	
2 Thomas Middleton: Shakespeare's True Heir?	23
The study of influences on major and minor writers; types of borrowing—Middleton's early imitations of Shakespeare—biographical information—Middleton, <i>Hamlet</i> and <i>The Revenger's Tragedy</i> —characteristics of Middleton's early work—his revolution in mid career—Middleton and <i>Macbeth</i> —Middleton's 'Shakespearean' tragedies: <i>Hengist</i> , <i>King of Kent</i> , <i>Women Beware Women</i> and <i>The Changeling</i> —a new reading of <i>The Changeling</i>	
3 Philip Massinger: The Spurious Legatee	77
Principles and dangers of judging debt—accepted verbal echoes by Massinger—rejected plot, motif and characterisation parallels— <i>The Merchant of Venice</i> and <i>A New Way to Pay Old Debts</i> —collaborations with Fletcher—accepted parallels of situation, incident and characterisation—nature of Massinger's writing and its general debt to Shakespeare—an exception: Massinger and <i>Othello</i> —imitations of <i>Othello</i> in <i>The Duke of Milan</i> and <i>The Emperor of the East</i>	
4 Webster and Ford: The Anti-Shakespeareans	119
Moral and intellectual problems: the approach of Webster and Ford—a contrast with Shakespeare—literary criticism of <i>The Duchess of Malfi</i> —remarks on tragedy—'non-moral' tragedy—Shakespearean tragedy—contrasted characteristics of Webster and Ford—the clarity of <i>Antony and Cleopatra</i> —thematic debt to Shakespeare in <i>The Duchess of Malfi</i> —imitations in <i>The White Devil</i> —verbal and stylistic debt—Ford's exploitation of Shakespeare: <i>'Tis Pity She's a Whore</i> , <i>Love's Sacrifice</i> and <i>The Queen</i> —debt in <i>The Lover's Melancholy</i>	

## CONTENTS

5	The Impact of <i>Hamlet</i> on the Revenge Tradition	167
	Revival of the Revenge play—limitations of an ancient form—Shakespeare's transformation of the tradition—'Ur-Hamlet' and the originality of <i>Hamlet</i> —derivative nature of Marston's <i>Antonio's Revenge</i> —the nature of Marston's general debt to Shakespeare, especially in <i>The Malcontent</i> —three attempted imitations of <i>Hamlet</i> : <i>The Revenger's Tragedy</i> , Chapman's <i>Revenge of Bussy D'Ambois</i> and Tourneur's <i>Atheist's Tragedy</i> — <i>Hamlet</i> and the unredeemed tradition: Chettle's <i>Hoffman</i> , Heminge's <i>The Fatal Contract</i> , Suckling's <i>Aglaura</i> —summary of general borrowing from <i>Hamlet</i>	
6	'Beaumont and Fletcher': 'Crows and Daws'	209
	Shakespeare's return to Romance—his Late Plays and 'Beaumont and Fletcher'—the priority of <i>Pericles</i> —uses of Romance in the Renaissance—Shakespeare's place in the tradition—deeper meanings in Romance—intention and interpretation of Shakespeare's Late Plays—imitations by 'Beaumont and Fletcher': <i>The Prophetess</i> ; <i>Philaster</i> and <i>Cymbeline</i> —Fletcher's collaborations with Shakespeare: <i>The Two Noble Kinsmen</i> and <i>Henry VIII</i> —the nature of general borrowing from Shakespeare, as typified by the rest of the 'Beaumont and Fletcher' canon—Beaumont's use of Shakespeare—Fletcher and his collaborators	
	Conclusion	246
	Appendices	
	1 Records of Shakespearean Productions, 1600–1642	248
	2 The Authorship of <i>The Revenger's Tragedy</i>	254
	3 The Interpolations in <i>Macbeth</i> : their Relation to Middleton's <i>Witch</i> : the Date of <i>The Witch</i>	262
	4 A List of Doubtful and Rejected Allusions by Webster to Shakespeare	268
	5 The Dates of Marston's <i>Antonio and Mellida</i> and <i>Antonio's Revenge</i>	276
	Editions of Dramatists Referred to in the Text	280
	Select Bibliography	282
	Index	295