CONTENTS

I

-						
- 7	12.0		•		CT	7.78
_	α_{1}	ĸL	u	u		

Introduction	
Marlowe's influence upon the romantic drama—The Jew of Malta a villain- hero play—Barabas establishes a character-type which lasts throughout Eliza- bethan drama—Significance of the double rôle of villain and hero hitherto not treated in dramatic literature—The term hero defined—Aristotle's theory of the character of the hero—The terms villain and villain-hero defined—Source of The Jew of Malta—Influence which led Marlowe to make the hero of his tragedy a villain—Marlowe's own temperament—The spirit of the age—The specific influence of Seneca and Machiavelli	I
II	
SENECA	
General influence of Seneca upon Elizabethan drama—Seneca's themes violent and sensational—Medea and Thyestes considered as villain-hero plays—Euripides' Medea—Seneca's villain-heroes arouse no sympathy—Seneca's influence on Marlowe	13
III	
ENGLISH PLAYS BEFORE MARLOWE	
Villain-hero type absent in early English plays in which Senecan influence is most pronounced—Appius and Virginia, Cambyses, Promus and Cassandra the only English villain-hero plays before Marlowe—Not successful tragedies—Their influence slight	21
ıv	

MACHIAVELLI

Works of Machiavelli—The Prince—Its character and influence—Opponents of Machiavelli—Gentillet's Anti-Machiavel—Remarkable influence of Gentillet upon the Continent and in England—Leading maxims in Gentillet's summary of The Prince—References in English literature to these maxims—The maxims considered as a code of villainy—Interesting situations suggested by the maxims—Conflict between the will and the conscience of man—Marlowe the first dramatist to present a Machiavellian hero Marlowe the first dramatist to present a Machiavellian hero

31

MARLOWE AND THE MACHIAVELLIAN VILLAIN-HERO

Lorenzo, of The Spanish Tragedy, the first Machiavellian villain—Not the hero—Barabas, of The Jew of Malta, the prototype of the revengeful Machiavellian villain-hero—Horror of the play in accord with the spirit of the age and with Senecan tradition—Motives for Barabas's conduct—Ambition and revenge—Revenge strongly dominant—How Barabas wins our sympathy— He is not simply evil—Elements of greatness in his character—Courage, intellectuality, imaginative power—Nietzsche's estimate of the influences that

					P/	١G:
affect our measure of values applied to	Barabas-	-Necessi	ty of	soliloqui	es to	
reveal character in villain-hero plays—	-The Jew	of Mal	ta not	a com	plete	
success as tragedy—Due to the fact that	Barabas'	s actions	are n	ot in kee	ping	
with his character after the second act		•	•	•	•	40

VI

THE AMBITIOUS VILLAIN-HERO

Villain-hero plays of two types—Revenge Plays and Ambition Plays—Revengeful villain-heroes are modelled upon Barabas—Ambitious Machiavellian villain-heroes influenced by both Barabas and Tamburlaine—Orlando Furioso—A burlesque on Machiavellian heroes—Selimus—The hero an ambitious Machiavellian villain—Combination of Tamburlaine and Barabas—The play a failure as tragedy—Not due to the fact that the hero is a villain but to poor characterization—His character unreal—His actions produce no emotional effect—Alaham—The hero an ambitious Machiavellian villain—Resembles Selimus—The tragedy a failure—Due to poor characterization and lack of action—The True Tragedy of Richard III—The hero an ambitious villain—Something of a Machiavellian—But force rather than guile predominates in his character—Inferior tragedy—The play a series of scenes instead of a connected whole—Lack of dramatic sense in selecting scenes—The hero's character imperfectly developed

VII

THE AMBITIOUS VILLAIN-HERO-Continued

The Perfected Type

Richard III—A successful villain-hero play—Richard's motive ambition—Richard a perfect Machiavellian—His most striking characteristics, good and bad—The tragedy an attempt to impress the audience with the mental qualities of the hero instead of with violent scenes—Verisimilitude distinguishes Richard from Seneca's heroic criminals—Does not exult in cruelty for its own sake—His end is absolute power—How Shakespeare produces a tragic effect when using a wicked man as hero—Criticism of Aristotle's doctrine of pity and fear, and of error and frailty—Pity and fear not the only tragic emotions—Tragic emotion aroused by other means than error or frailty—We do not admire Richard's villainy, but the great powers which he turns to villainous ends—Elements of greatness in Richard's character—Courage and intellectual power—These characteristics æsthetically "good"—Qualities that are æsthetically good distinguished from those that are morally good—The sense of "waste" produced by a tragic conflict between them—Universal significance of such a conflict—Resulting effect upon our emotions—Mystery and sadness—Nature of the tragic emotions aroused in this tragedy—Admiration, terror, sadness, and awe—Richard III not the highest type of tragedy—Due to Richard's wilfulness and to lack of character development—No internal struggle

VIII

THE REVENGEFUL VILLAIN-HERO

Ambition not the sine qua non of Machiavellism—Villain-heroes whose motive is revenge may be Machiavellians in action—Revenge and ambition frequently blended—The Spanish Tragedy and The Jew of Malta the source of later villain-hero plays of the revenge type—Distinction between the Kyd and the Marlowe type of avenger—Two types of avengers in The Spanish Tragedy—The revenge of Hieronimo justified as a code—A sacred duty—Like that of Hamlet—Hero of this type not a villain—The revenge of Lorenzo a criminal passion—Marlowe takes over the criminal avenger and makes him hero—Revenge of this type never justifiable—The hero remains a villain—Later

60

79

ACR

plays influenced by the two types of revenge—Titus Andronicus—Aaron not the technical hero, but the most striking figure—A Machiavellian—Motive is revenge—Hatred of all mankind—Revenge motiveless—Likes evil for evil's sake—Thereby differs from Barabas—Exults in physical suffering—This motive Senecan, not Machiavellian—Aaron arouses no admiration—His courage and intellectual ability not great—Aaron establishes a type—Lust's Dominion—Aaron type pushed forward as hero in person of Eleazar—A Machiavellian—Motive mingled ambition and revenge—Chiefly revenge—Revenge motiveless—Universal—He exults in cruelty, like Seneca's villains—Evil for evil's sake—Play unsuccessful—Characters unreal—Catastrophe due to introduction of deus ex machina—Hero repulsive—No elements of greatness in hero's character—Othello—Iago the Aaron type of villain humanized—Iago also the protagonist—Two protagonists in Othello—Iago effective because realistic—Four motives for Iago's conduct—(1) Suspicion of Othello's relation with Emilia; (2) Desire to gain Cassio's place; (3) Hatred of Othello; (4) Desire to justify his own egotistical principles—Last motive is Machiavellian—It is his leading motive and involves the other three—Wounded pride the immediate stimulus—Iago terrible because he is at once so strong and so lacking in social instincts—Iago's tragic error due to his ignorance of the power of altruistic human motives—Admirable qualities in Iago's character—Courage, poise, resourcefulness—Not the highest type of villain-hero—Meanness alienates sympathy—Richard III and Iago compared—Iago's talents not of a magnitude to make us feel that the world has lost much good in his death

99

IX

MIXED REVENGE TYPE

Antonio's Revenge—Revenge-for-a-father type of play—Revenge motive of the son subordinated to villain's activity—Two protagonists—The villain Piero a hero—A Machiavellian—His motive inexcusable revenge—Hatred and offended pride—Other motives—Ambition—Lust—Gloats in wickedness like Aaron—But does not hate all mankind—Tragedy a failure—No tragic emotions aroused—Cause—Villain base—Has no admirable qualities—Action melodramatic—Increased stage business important—Hoffmann—Revenge-for-a-father type of play—But son's revenge not justified—Hero a villain—Hoffmann is Antonio merged in Piero—His methods Machiavellian, but not in keeping with his character—Play unsuccessful—Dependent for interest on sensational scenes which are improbable—The hero a type of the monstrous

133

X

CHANGING TYPE

The Malcontent and Tool Villain as Avengers

The Revenger's Tragedy—Changing type—Revenge for a sweetheart—Avenger not a Machiavellian but a malcontent—Avenging-hero a villain—Revenge not justifiable—Too long delayed—Too malicious—No religious motive—No sense of duty—Tragedy a failure—Represents the particular instead of the universal in human nature—Hero vulgar—Not a single redeeming feature in his character—The Duchess of Malfi—Advance upon The Revenger's Tragedy—No attempt to justify revenge—The Duchess technically not the protagonist—No tragic guilt in her action—Merely a suffering character—Vivid character-drawing accounts for her central position—Her brothers not villain-heroes, however—They are not characterized—Their tool—Bosola—the real villain-hero—Character of Bosola—Wickedness—Residuum of goodness in his nature—Victim of circumstances—Tool villain, malcontent, and avenger all in one—His return to the cause of virtue—Relieves painful effect of the play—The tragedy not of the first rank—Due to lack of unity, flaws in character drawing, and to choice of action—The tragic conflict not of sufficient magnitude—Bosola lacking in grandeur of soul—Characters and situations examples of the particular, not the universal

T 4 5

XI

LATER AMBITIOUS VILLAINS

The Atheist's Tragedy—A combination of types—Has the setting of the revenge-for-a-father type—But the son is neither hero nor avenger—Revenge is left to God—Hero is an ambitious villain—An atheist—Not a Machiavellian—Domestic tragedy—Play not a success—Owing to vulgarity of the hero, multiplication of the elements of chance, and the improbability of the catastrophe—Sejanus—Ambitious type—Sejanus a Machiavellian—But confines his activities to the chamber—Not a conquering prince—Not a tragedy of the highest order—Due partly to sluggish action, partly to character of the hero—Sejanus not a great man—Too much meanness in his character—Does not arouse the admiration necessary to a successful villain-hero play—Catiline—Ambitious type—Play resembles Sejanus—Catiline a Machiavellian—But not a stage villain—Tragedy not of the highest order—Not due to character of the hero, however—Elements of greatness in Catiline's character—Absence of tragic emotion due to sluggish action and to lack of unity in the play—The Devil's Charter—Central motive supernatural—Contract with the devil—The leading figures are Pope Alexander VI and Cæsar Borgia—Both ambitious Machiavellian villains—Play a failure as tragedy—Completely lacking in unity—A mere series of bloody scenes

103

XII

MACBETH (1606-10)

Ambitious type—Not a Machiavellian—But a villain—Wilfully and deliberately commits a crime to gain an end for himself—Yet we admire him —Sympathy not totally alienated by his crime, because wilfulness is modified —Our emotional experience the guide to our estimate of a tragic hero—Highest form of tragic pleasure in villain-hero plays dependent upon two things—(1) Sufficient greatness in hero's character to awaken admiration; (2) An internal struggle—Macbeth a great man—His magnificent courage—His fitness for kingship—His imaginative power—Macbeth's conscience—The struggle between the great and the good within him—Self-realization as an end—The criminal means—His struggle suggests the conflict between good and evil in the universe—The atmosphere of the play—Mysterious evil—Macbeth in the grip of the evil he has let loose—Lessens the impression of Macbeth's wilfulness—The sense of "waste"—Awe—Macbeth's mental anguish—Compared with Richard III—Emotional effect of Macbeth's last stand—Macduff the only man fit to conquer him—Macbeth a great hero—Tragedy a great tragedy—Arouses pity and fear, mystery and awe—The only perfectly successful villain-hero tragedy

. 187

APPENDICES

A.	CHARLEMAGNE.—The use of magic renders serious treatment of the play							lay	
	impossible		•	•		•			224
B.	THE MERCHANT	OF VENICE	e.—Not a	tragedy-	-Shylo	ck not th	he hero		226
C.	MEASURE FOR M	leasure.	-Not a tr	agedy	•		ė.		234
D.	APPIUS AND VII	rginia.—A	villain-h	ero play-	-Not e	ffective			239
E,	INDEX OR TABL	E OF MACI	HIAVELLI'	S MAXIMS		•			24I
	BIBLIOGRAPHY			•	•	•			246
	INDEX .								240