

Contents

Preface	xi
Abbreviations	xiv
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Performance–grammar correspondences: a hypothesis	1
1.2 Predictions of the PGCH	5
1.3 Efficiency and complexity	8
1.4 Issues of explanation	10
1.5 The challenge of multiple preferences	13
2 Linguistic Forms, Properties, and Efficient Signaling	15
2.1 Forms and properties	15
2.2 Property assignments in combinatorial and dependency relations	18
2.3 Efficiency and complexity in form–property signaling	25
3 Defining the Efficiency Principles and their Predictions	31
3.1 Minimize Domains (MiD)	31
3.2 Minimize Forms (MiF)	38
3.2.1 The logic of MiF	38
3.2.2 Form minimization predictions	41
3.2.3 Maximize the ease of processing enrichments	44
3.3 Maximize On-line Processing (MaOP)	49
3.3.1 Unassignments and misassignments	51
3.3.2 The quantitative metric	55
3.3.3 Predictions for performance and grammars	58
4 More on Form Minimization	63
4.1 Greenberg’s markedness hierarchies	64
4.2 Markedness hierarchies in diachrony	68
4.2.1 Morphological inventory predictions	69
4.2.2 Declining distinctions predictions	73
4.3 Grammaticalization and processing	79
4.4 The grammaticalization of definiteness marking	82
4.4.1 Semantic/pragmatic extensions	84
4.4.2 Syntactic extensions	86

4.5	Processing enrichments through structural parallelism	93
4.6	The principle of conventionalized dependency	97
5	Adjacency Effects Within Phrases	103
5.1	EIC preferences for adjacency in performance	104
5.1.1	EIC in head-initial structures	104
5.1.2	EIC in head-final structures	108
5.2	Multiple preferences for adjacency in performance	111
5.2.1	Multiple preferences in English	111
5.2.2	Multiple preferences in Japanese	118
5.2.3	Total domain differentials	119
5.3	EIC preferences for adjacency in grammars	123
5.3.1	The Greenbergian correlations	123
5.3.2	Other ordering universals	127
5.4	Multiple preferences for adjacency in grammars	131
5.5	Competitions between domains and phrases	136
5.5.1	Relative clause extrapositions in German	142
6	Minimal Forms in Complements/Adjuncts and Proximity	147
6.1	Minimal formal marking in performance	148
6.1.1	<i>Wh</i> , that/zero relativizers	148
6.1.2	Other alternations	154
6.2	Minimal formal marking in grammars	159
6.3	Morphological typology and Sapir's 'drift'	166
7	Relative Clause and <i>Wh</i>-movement Universals	169
7.1	The grammar and processing of filler-gap dependencies	171
7.2	The Keenan-Comrie Accessibility Hierarchy	177
7.2.1	Performance support for the FGD complexity ranking	180
7.2.2	Grammatical support for the FGD complexity ranking	186
7.3	<i>Wh</i> -fronting and basic word order	190
7.4	Other complexity hierarchies	192
7.4.1	A clause-embedding hierarchy	193
7.4.2	Reduce additional syntactic processing	197
7.4.3	Reduce additional semantic processing	201
7.5	MaOP effects	203
7.5.1	Fillers First	203
7.5.2	Relative clause ordering asymmetries	205
7.5.3	Grammatical conventions that facilitate filler-gap processing	210
7.6	<i>That</i> -trace in English and processing enrichments in Japanese	215

8	Symmetries, Asymmetric Dependencies, and Earliness Effects	223
8.1	Some cross-linguistic generalizations	224
8.1.1	Symmetries	224
8.1.2	Asymmetries	225
8.2	Asymmetric dependencies	226
8.2.1	Antecedent precedes anaphor	227
8.2.2	Subjects precede direct objects	228
8.2.3	Topic to the left of a dependent predication	235
8.2.4	Restrictive before appositive relatives	240
8.3	Symmetrical dependencies	242
8.4	A hypothesis for symmetries and asymmetries	244
8.5	Morphosyntactic asymmetries	246
8.6	Processing in-relation to antisymmetry in formal grammar	251
9	Conclusions	255
9.1	Support for the PGCH	256
9.2	The performance basis of grammatical generalizations	259
9.3	The ultimate causality of the performance–grammar preferences	265
9.4	Some further issues	270
9.5	Acquisition and learnability	272
	References	277
	Author Index	295
	Language Index	299
	Subject Index	301