

# Contents

---

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>page vii</i>
Introduction	1
1 Two approaches to 'what is said'	5
1.1 The basic triad	5
1.2 Minimalism	7
1.3 Literal truth-conditions vs actual truth-conditions	8
1.4 A problem for Minimalism	10
1.5 The availability of what is said	13
1.6 The availability based approach	16
1.7 'Saying' as a pragmatic notion	18
1.8 Availability vs Minimalism	20
2 Primary pragmatic processes	23
2.1 Enrichment, loosening and transfer	23
2.2 Rejecting the Gricean picture	27
2.3 Accessibility	30
2.4 Objections and responses	32
2.5 Interactive processing	34
2.6 The role of schemata	36
3 Relevance-theoretic objections	38
3.1 One or two systems?	38
3.2 Personal and sub-personal inferences	40
3.3 Implicature or enrichment?	44
3.4 Mutual adjustment of explicature and implicature	46
3.5 Implicated premisses	48
3.6 Personal-level inferences: occurrent vs dispositional	49
4 The Syncretic View	51
4.1 Four levels?	51
4.2 Semantics and pragmatics: the literalist picture	54
4.3 Semantic underdeterminacy	56
4.4 The minimal proposition as 'common denominator'	58
4.5 Interaction between saturation and optional pragmatic processes	61
4.6 Do we need the minimal proposition?	64
4.7 The reflexive proposition	65

5	Non-literal uses	68
5.1	Non-literal uses as non-minimal departures from literal meaning	68
5.2	Non-literal uses and secondary meaning	70
5.3	Non-minimal departures without secondariness	72
5.4	The transparency condition	74
5.5	Varieties of non-literal meaning	75
5.6	Internal vs external duality	78
5.7	Conclusion	81
6	From Literalism to Contextualism	83
6.1	Five positions	83
6.2	Indexicalism	86
6.3	Contextualism	90
6.4	Literalist responses to the contextualist challenge	92
6.5	Where Indexicalism and Contextualism meet	95
7	Indexicalism and the Binding Fallacy	98
7.1	Mandatory vs optional	98
7.2	Two criteria	100
7.3	The indexicalist challenge	103
7.4	Is the Binding Criterion reliable?	105
7.5	Variadic functions	107
7.6	The Binding Fallacy	109
7.7	Conclusion: the failure of Indexicalism	111
8	Circumstances of evaluation	115
8.1	Modality	115
8.2	Time and tense	118
8.3	Situations	121
8.4	Saturation or enrichment?	124
8.5	Sub-sentential circumstances	125
8.6	Conclusion	127
9	Contextualism: how far can we go?	131
9.1	The modulation of sense	131
9.2	The semantic relevance of modulation	133
9.3	Four approaches	136
9.4	Truth-conditional unstability: from Waismann's 'open texture' to Searle's 'background'	141
9.5	Ostensive definitions	144
9.6	Meaning Eliminativism	146
9.7	Conclusion	151
	Conclusion	154
10.1	Alleged arguments against Contextualism	154
10.2	Remnants of Literalism	159
10.3	Availability, Minimalism, and the dispositional/occurrent contrast	162
	<i>Bibliography</i>	166
	<i>Index</i>	175