Contents

Part	i I	Theory, Compilation and Measurement of Human Green Development Index (HGDI)				
1	Theoretical Rationale Behind HGDI Xiaoxi Li					
	Reference					
2	HGDI Compilation Principles Xiaoxi Li					
	2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4	Effective but Limited Combining Green and Development Shared Responsibility and Equal Measurement Inclusive and Equal Principle of Human Development	7 8 8 8			
3	Cho Xiac	osing HGDI Indicators and Constructing Indicator System oxi Li and Yimeng Liu	11			
4	Choose Countries for HGDI Measurement Xiaoxi Li and Tao Song					
5	App Xiac	roaches to Measure HGDI xi Li, Ning Cai, and Yang Liu	17			
	Refe	rences	20			
6	Resu Xiac	ults and Analysis of HGDI Measurements xi Li	21			

7	Five Suggestions for SDGs—Inspirations from HGDI Measurement						
	Xia	oxi Li					
	7.1	The R	ealization of the Global SDGs Requires Combining				
		the Ov	rerall Planning and a Clear Focus	27			
	7.2	Combi	ination of Equal Measurement Principle and Respective				
		Impler	nentation According to National Conditions	28			
	7.3	Joint E	Ifforts by Governments, Societies and Citizens				
		Are Re	equired to Achieve the Global SDGs	28			
	7.4	Combi	ning Responsibilities and Opportunities				
		in the l	Delivery of the Global SDGs	29			
	7.5	Combi	ning the Green Development Endeavours				
		of Cou	intries and the Establishment				
		of Nev	v Inter-state Partnerships	30			
Par	t II	Human	Green Development Index (HGDI)				
		Indicate	ors Interpretation				
8	Ext	reme Po	verty Indicator: Proportion of Population				
	Bel	low Minimum Level of Dietary Energy Consumption 3					
	Qi Z	Zhang and	d Fei Li				
	8.1	Reasor	ns for Using the Indicator "Proportion				
		of Pop	ulation Below Minimum Level of Dietary				
		Energy	Consumption" in HGDI	33			
		8.1.1	Meaning of the Indicator "Proportion				
			of Population Below the Minimum				
			Dietary Energy Consumption"	34			
		8.1.2	Poverty Indicators from Multi Perspectives	34			
		8.1.3	Advantage of "Proportion of Population Below				
			Minimum Level of Dietary Energy Consumption"				
			Compared with Other Indicators of the Kind	35			
	8.2	Statisti	cal Features of "Proportion of Population				
		Below	Minimum Level of Dietary Energy Consumption"	44			
		8.2.1	Features of Data of Different Countries for 2010	45			
		8.2.2	Trends in the "Proportion of Population				
			Below the Minimum Level of Dietary				
			Energy Consumption", 1991–2010	45			
	Refe	erences		48			

9	Inco Sher	me Indi Igling Zh	cator: Inequality-Adjusted Income Index	51	
	9.1	Reasor 9.1.1 9.1.2	ts for Using the "Inequality-Adjusted Income Index" Meaning of the "Inequality-Adjusted Income Index" Advantages Compared with Other Indicators	52 52	
		9.1.3	of the Kind International Influence of the Indicator "Inequality Adjusted Income Index"	52 54	
	9.2	Statisti	cal Features of "Inequality-Adjusted	54	
		9.2.1 9.2.2	Statistical Features of the Index in 2010 Trends of the "Income Index" in the Traditional	54 54	
	Refe	rences	ADI Between 1990 and 2010	- 50 - 58	
10	Heal Zhan	th Indic jun Zhar	ator: Inequality-Adjusted Life Expectancy Index	59	
	10.1	Reason Life Ex	s for Using the "Inequality-Adjusted spectancy Index"	60	
		10.1.1	Meaning of the "Inequality-Adjusted Life Expectancy Index"	60	
		10.1.2	Advantages Compared with Other Indicators of the Kind	61	
		10.1.3	International Influence of the "Inequality-Adjusted Life Expectancy Index"	62	
	10.2 Statistical Features of "Inequality-Adjusted Life Expectancy Index"				
		10.2.1 10.2.2	Statistical Features of the Index in 2010 Trends of "Life Expectancy Index"	63	
			in the Traditional HDI Between 1990 and 2010	64	
	Refe	rences		66	
11	Educ Yuho	ational ng Du ar	Indicator: Inequality-Adjusted Education Index	69	
	11.1	Reason	s for Selecting the "Inequality-Adjusted	70	
		Educati 11.1.1	Meaning of the "Inequality-Adjusted Education Index"	70	
		11.1.2	Advantages Compared with Other Indicators of the Kind	70	
		11.1.3	International Influence of the "Inequality-Adjusted Education Index"	72	

	11.2	Statisti	cal Feature of the "Inequality-Adjusted			
		Educat	ion Index"	72		
		11.2.1	Statistical Features of the Index in 2010	73		
		11.2.2	Trends of the "Education Index" in the Traditional			
			HDI During 1990 and 2010	73		
	Refe	rences		76		
12	Sanitation Indicator: "Proportion of Population Using					
	an I 1 Nuo	nproved Wang an	Sanitation Facility" d Tao Song	77		
	12.1	Reason	s for Using "Proportion of Population Using			
		an Imp	roved Sanitation Facility" in HGDI	77		
		12.1.1	Meaning of Sanitation Indicator	77		
		12.1.2	Meaning of the Indicator "Proportion			
			of Population Using an Improved			
			Sanitation Facility"	78		
		12.1.3	International Influence of the Indicator	79		
	12.2	Method	ls for Selecting "Proportion of Population			
		Using a	In Improved Sanitation Facility"	80		
		12.2.1	Comprehensive Data Collection	80		
		12.2.2	Comparison and Selection of Sanitation			
			Indicators	80		
		12.2.3	Introduction and Explanation of the Auxiliary			
			Indicator	89		
	12.3	Statistic	e Features of "Proportion of Population Using			
		an Impi	roved Sanitation Facility"	89		
		12.3.1	Statistical Features of the Indicator in 2010	90		
		12.3.2	Dynamic Changes of the Indicator During			
			1990 and 2010	90		
13	Ener	gy Indic	ator: Primary Energy Intensity	95		
	Weib	in Lin an	d Yingzi Li			
	131	Resson	s for Using "Primary Energy Intensity" in HGDI	05		
	13.1	13 1 1	Energy Intensity Is an Important Indicator	95		
		13.1.1	to Measure Epergy Efficiency	06		
		1312	"Primary Energy Intensity" Is Commonly	90		
		13.1.2	Used by International Research Institutes			
			and World Famous Indexes	06		
	137	Method	s for Selecting "Primary Energy Intensity"	90		
	13.2	13 2 1	Comprehensive Data Collection	00		
		13.2.1	Indicator Comparison and Selection	108		
		13.2.2	Data Acquisition and Indicator Sorting	106		
		12,2,2	and Compilation	100		
		1371	Proportion of Non-fossil Fuels in Drimory	109		
		1.3,2.4	Energy Consumption Is Included in the Evaluation			
			System as an Auxiliary Additional Indicator	110		
			System as an Auxiliary Augitional mulcator	110		

	13.3	Statisti 13.3.1 13.3.2	c Features of "Primary Energy Intensity" Rankings and Features of the Indicator in 2010 Changes of Different Countries in Primary	110 110	
			Energy Intensity, 1990–2010	112	
14	Clim Yime	a te Cha eng Liu a	nge Indicator: CO ₂ Emissions Per Capita und Hezhong Tian	117	
	14.1	Reason 14.1.1	as for Using "CO ₂ Emissions Per Capita" Anthropogenic Emission of GHGs Has Caused Global Warming and Seriously	117	
		14.1.2	Influenced Human Activities CO ₂ Emissions Are the Largest Source	118	
		14.1.3	"CO ₂ Emissions" Is a Major Indicator for Monitoring	118	
	14.2	Methor	diobal Chinate Change	119	
	1 1.2	14.2.1	Major Climate Change Indicators and Their	117	
			Releasing Agencies	120	
		14.2.2	Indicator Selection and Data Source	120	
	14.3	Status a	and Evolution of "CO ₂ Emissions Per Capita"		
		by Cou	ntry	128	
		14.3.1	Statistical Features of " CO_2 Emissions	1.00	
		1420	Per Capita" in Different Countries in 2010	128	
		14.3.2	Dynamic Changes of CO_2 Emissions Der Capita" by Country 1990, 2010	170	
			Per Capita by Country, 1990–2010	120	
15	Air F Hezh	ollution ong Tian	and Yimeng Liu	133	
	15.1 Reasons for Using the Air Pollution Indicator " PM_{10} "				
		in HGE	DI	133	
		15.1.1	Major Air Pollutants Have Adverse Influences		
			on Environment and Human Health	134	
		15.1.2	Impacts of Particulate Pollutants on Human	104	
		1510	Health Are Especially Serious	134	
		15.1.3	PM ₁₀ is a Representative, Mature and Widely-Used	125	
	15.0	Mathad	Indicator for Monitoring All Pollution	133	
	15.2	15 2 1	Major Air Pollution Indicators and Their Releasing	157	
		13.2.1	Agencies	137	
		15.2.2	Indicator Selection and Data Source	137	
	15.3	Status a	nd Evolution of "PM ₁₀ " in Different Countries	147	
		15.3.1	Statistic Features of "PM ₁₀ " by Country in 2010	147	
		15.3.2	Dynamic Changes of the PM ₁₀ Level in Different		
			Countries, 1990-2010	149	
	Refer	ence		153	

16	Lane Zhen	d Indicator: Terrestrial Protected Areas of Total Land Area gwang Zhang and Yang Liu	155	
	16.1	Reasons for Using "Terrestrial Protected Areas		
		of Total Land Area" in HGDI	155	
		16.1.1 Meaning of the Land Indicator	156	
		16.1.2 Meaning of the Indicator "Terrestrial Protected		
		Areas of Total Land Area"	156	
		16.1.3 International Influence of the Indicator		
		"Terrestrial Protected Areas of Total Land Area"	158	
	16.2	Methods for Selecting "Terrestrial Protected Areas		
	10.2	of Total Land Area"	159	
		16.2.1 Comprehensive Data Collection	159	
		16.2.2 Indicator Comparison and Selection	159	
	16.3	Statistical Features of "Terrestrial Protected Areas		
	10.2	of Total Land Area"	165	
		16.3.1 Features of the Data for 2010	165	
		16.3.2 Trends in All Countries 1990–2010	166	
	Refe	rences	169	
17	Fore	st Indicator: Forest Area (% of Land Area)	171	
	Tianr	ning Wang and Fei Li		
	17.1	Reasons for Using "Forest Area (% of Land Area)"		
		in HGDI	172	
		17.1.1 Forest Is the Basis for Human Green Development	172	
		17.1.2 "Forest Area (% of Land Area)" Is an Important		
		Indicator of the Quantity of Forest Resource	174	
	17.2	Methods for Selecting "Forest Area (% of Land Area)"	176	
	17.3	Selection of "Other Wooded Land % of Land Area"		
		as the Auxiliary Indicator	176	
	17.4	Statistic Features of "Forest Area (% of Land Area)"	183	
		17.4.1 Features of "Forest Area (% of Land Area)"	100	
		for Various Countries 2010	183	
		17.4.2 Trends in "Forest Area (% of L and Area)"	105	
		1000 2010	10/	
	Refer	ences	187	
_	Reier		107	
18	Water Indicator: Proportion of Population Using			
	an Improved Drinking-Water Source			
	Hong	rui wang and 1ao Song		
	18.1	Reasons for Using "Proportion of Population Using		
		an Improved Drinking-Water Source" in HGDI	189	

		18.1.1	Meaning of the Water Indicator	190
		18.1.2	Meaning of the Indicator "Proportion of Population	
			Using an Improved Drinking-Water Source"	190
		18.1.3	International Influence of the Indicator	
			"Proportion of Population Using an Improved	
			Drinking-Water Source"	191
	18.2	Metho	ds for Selecting "Proportion of Population	
		Using	an Improved Drinking-Water Source"	192
		18.2.1	Comprehensive Data Collection	192
		18.2.2	Indicator Selection	192
	18.3	Statisti	c Features of "Proportion of Population	
		Using a	an Improved Drinking-Water Source"	201
		18.3.1	Statistical Feature of the Indicator in 2010	201
		18.3.2	Dynamic Changes of the Indicator	
			During 1990 and 2010	201
10				
19	Ecol	ogical In	idicator: Percentage of Threatened Animal	• • •
	Spec	ies in To	tal Number of Species	207
	Ruix	ue Bai ai	nd Zhengwang Zhang	
	19.1	Reason	is for Selecting the Indicator "Percentage	
		of Thre	eatened Animal Species in Total Number	
		of Spec	cies"	208
		19.1.1	Meaning of the Biodiversity Indicator	208
		19.1.2	Meaning of the Biodiversity Indicator	209
		19.1.3	International Influence of the Indicator	
			"Percentage of Threatened Animal Species	
			in Total Number of Species"	210
	19.2	Method	is for Selecting the Indicator "Percentage	
		of Thre	atened Animal Species in Total Number	
		of Spec	ies"	211
		19.2.1	Comprehensive Search	211
		19.2.2	Indicator Comparison	220
	19.3	Statistic	cal Features of the Indicator	221
		19.3.1	Statistical Features of the Indicator in 2010	221
		19.3.2	Trends of the Indicator During 1990–2000	222
	19.4	Selection	on of the Auxiliary Indicator	223
		1941	Reasons for Selecting "Percentage of Threatened	
		12111	Animal Species in Total Number Species"	
			as the Auxiliary Indicator	223
		1942	Data of Various Countries for the Auxiliary	
		17.7.2	Indicator	224

Appendix and Attached Tables

Appendix: Comparison Between HGDI and IHDI Rankings	229
Principal Authors	289
Bibliography	291