Contents

	Acknowledgments	<i>page</i> ix
	Introduction	1
Part I	A constructive critique of common modeling practice	11
1	Models and modeling	13
	1.1 The fallacies of the instrumental-positive standard	14
	1.2 Introducing the pragmatic account	23
	1.3 A closer look at representation	26
	1.4 Completing the pragmatic account	35
	Conclusion	42
2	The use of mathematics in theoretical modeling	44
	2.1 Mathematical modeling: a four-part process	46
	2.2 Mathematical models as metaphors	52
	2.3 The presuppositions of mathematical modeling	60
	Conclusion	67
3	The use of mathematics in empirical modeling	69
	3.1 Introducing empirical modeling	70
	3.2 The analysis extended	79
	3.3 Empirical modeling exercises using actively generated data	88
	Conclusion	92
Part II	The critique applied	93
4	The New, New Institutional Economics	95
	4.1 Introducing NNIE	97



	4.2 An analysis of NNIE methodology	99
	4.3 NNIE and the denaturing of institutions	114
	Conclusion	116
	Appendix 4.1 The formal model of Acemoglu and Robinson (2006)	117
	Appendix 4.2 Supplementary information for solution phase statements of	
	Acemoglu and Robinson (2006) and Glaeser and Shleifer (2003)	118
5	The financial crisis and economics	119
	5.1 Macroeconomics, dynamic stochastic general equilibrium models, and the	
	financial crisis	120
	5.2 The financial crisis: What was known? What was knowable?	127
	5.3 The post-crisis reform debate	132
	Conclusion	141
観知したたいたな思想が		
Part III	A reform proposal	143
6	Why reform?	145
	6.1 The problem	145
	6.2 Why reform is necessary	149
	Conclusion	163
7	A reform proposal	165
	7.1 Hermeneutics and social categories of meaning	166
	7.2 A new field of economics	173
	7.3 Ways forward	191
	Conclusion	193
	Appendix 7.1 State Budget Officer Questionnaire from Marglin	
	and Spiegler (2014)	194
	Conclusion	195
	References	202
		202
		216