Table of contents

| Preface | | XI | |
|---------|---|----|--|
| Abbı | Abbreviations | | |
| CHA | PTER 1 | | |
| Intro | oducing contrastive phraseology | 1 | |
| 1.1 | Introduction | 1 | |
| 1.2 | Phraseology | 2 | |
| 1.3 | Contrastive analysis | 3 | |
| 1.4 | Contrastive analysis and corpus linguistics | 5 | |
| 1.5 | Contrastive analysis and phraseology: Previous studies | 6 | |
| 1.6 | Outline of the book | 11 | |
| CHA | PTER 2 | | |
| Con | trastive analysis | 13 | |
| 2.1 | Introduction | 13 | |
| 2.2 | How to compare two grammatical structures (Lado [1957] 1971) | 14 | |
| 2.3 | Language Structures in Contrast (Di Pietro 1971) | 15 | |
| 2.4 | Contrastive Analysis (James 1980) | 16 | |
| 2.5 | Contrastive generative grammar (Krzeszowski 1990) | 17 | |
| 2.6 | Contrastive Functional Analysis (Chesterman 1998) | 21 | |
| 2.7 | Translation as a basis for contrastive analysis I (Ivir 1983, 1987) | 24 | |
| 2.8 | Translation as a basis for contrastive analysis II | | |
| | (Altenberg 1999; Altenberg & Granger 2002) | 26 | |
| 2.9 | The Yugoslav Serbo-Croatian-English Contrastive Project | 28 | |
| 2.10 | Seeing through Multilingual Corpora (Johansson 2007) | 29 | |
| | 2.10.1 Framework of correspondence | 31 | |
| СНА | PTER 3 | | |
| The | contrastive approach adopted in this book | 35 | |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 35 | |
| 3.2 | Main features of the approach | 35 | |
| 3.3 | The advantages of corpus studies | 38 | |
| | 3.3.1 The use of parallel corpora | 39 | |

| 3.4 | Related research | 44 | | | |
|-----|---|----|--|--|--|
| | 3.4.1 Contrastive linguistics and translation studies | 44 | | | |
| | 3.4.2 Contrastive linguistics and learner language | 46 | | | |
| 3.5 | Corpora, contrastive analysis and units of meaning | 47 | | | |
| СНА | APTER 4 | | | | |
| Phr | raseology | | | | |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 49 | | | |
| 4.2 | Construction Grammar (Goldberg 1995, 2006) | 51 | | | |
| 4.3 | Pattern Grammar (Hunston & Francis 2000) | 54 | | | |
| 4.4 | Extended units of meaning (Sinclair e.g. 1991, 1996a, 1998; | | | | |
| | Stubbs e.g. 2001, 2007, 2013) | 56 | | | |
| 4.5 | Identifying patterns | 60 | | | |
| | APTER 5 | | | | |
| Out | line of method | 65 | | | |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 65 | | | |
| 5.2 | n-gram extraction | 66 | | | |
| | 5.2.1 Why 2-, 3-, 4- and 5-grams? | 68 | | | |
| | 5.2.2 Why a threshold of 8? | 69 | | | |
| 5.3 | From n-gram to pattern | 69 | | | |
| 5.4 | Identification and selection of pattern | 70 | | | |
| 5.5 | Cross-linguistic correspondences of the pattern | 71 | | | |
| 5.6 | Contrastive analysis of the patterns | 72 | | | |
| 5.7 | Analysis of the co-text of the patterns | 73 | | | |
| 5.8 | Contrastive analysis of extended units of meaning | 74 | | | |
| 5.9 | Summing up | 79 | | | |
| | PTER 6 | | | | |
| | pora | 83 | | | |
| 6.1 | Introduction | 83 | | | |
| 6.2 | The English-Norwegian Parallel Corpus | 84 | | | |
| | 6.2.1 The expansion of the ENPC | 86 | | | |
| | 6.2.2 ENPC vs. ENPC+ | 87 | | | |
| _ | 6.2.2.1 Fiction as a distinct register | 89 | | | |
| 6.3 | General overview of the corpora used | 91 | | | |
| | PTER 7 | | | | |
| | e studies: Patterns in contrast | 93 | | | |
| 7.1 | Introduction to the case studies | 93 | | | |
| 7.2 | Extracting n-grams Outline of the case studies | 93 | | | |
| 7.3 | 95 95 | | | | |
| | | 9) | | | |

| СНАР | TER 8 | | | | |
|------|---|-----|--|--|--|
| Case | study 1: What's the big deal? | 97 | | | |
| 8.1 | Introduction | 97 | | | |
| 8.2 | Big deal and its Norwegian correspondences | 97 | | | |
| 8.3 | Big deal in the W:fict:prose part of the BNC | 102 | | | |
| 8.4 | | | | | |
| 8.5 | The colligation make a big deal PREP | 107 | | | |
| 8.6 | 3.6 Extended units of meaning | | | | |
| 8.7 | Summing up | 109 | | | |
| СНАР | PTER 9 | | | | |
| Case | study 2: Nothing out of the ordinary | 113 | | | |
| 9.1 | Introduction | 113 | | | |
| 9.2 | The pattern out of the ordinary and its Norwegian correspondences | 113 | | | |
| 9.3 | Contrastive analysis of out of the ordinary and its top three | | | | |
| | correspondences | 114 | | | |
| 9.4 | Out of the ordinary as part of an extended unit of meaning | 116 | | | |
| 9.5 | Extended units of meaning in contrast | 120 | | | |
| | 9.5.1 Utenom det vanlige | 120 | | | |
| | 9.5.2 Uvanlig | 123 | | | |
| 9.6 | Concluding remarks | 125 | | | |
| | PTER 10 | | | | |
| Case | study 3: He found himself doing corpus linguistics | 127 | | | |
| 10.1 | Introduction | 127 | | | |
| 10.2 | The patternness of found REFL | 128 | | | |
| 10.3 | Contrastive analysis of found REFL and its Norwegian | | | | |
| | correspondences | 130 | | | |
| | 10.3.1 Found REFL NP/dO | 133 | | | |
| | 10.3.2 Found REFL PP – found REFL Adv – found REFL | | | | |
| | V-ing – found REFL ADJP/oP | 135 | | | |
| | 10.3.3 Found REFL V-ed | 141 | | | |
| 10.4 | Extended units of meaning in contrast | 144 | | | |
| 10.5 | Summing up | 150 | | | |
| | PTER 11 | | | | |
| | study 4: Difficult to get hold of? The case of FÅ tak i | 153 | | | |
| 11.1 | Introduction | 153 | | | |
| 11.2 | The internal structure of FÅ tak i | 153 | | | |
| 11.3 | The pattern få <i>tak i</i> | | | | |

| 11.4 | Få tak i and its English correspondences | | | |
|--------------|---|------------|---|------------|
| 11.5 | Contrastive analysis of FÅ tak i and GET hold of | | | |
| 11.6 | Få tak i as part of an extended unit of meaning | | | |
| 11.7 | Extended units of meaning in contrast: FÅ tak i and GET hold of | | | |
| 11.8 | Concludin | | | 177 |
| | | | | |
| | TER 12 | | | |
| Case | ase study 5: Antonymic binomials of continuous lateral movement | | | 179 |
| 12.1 | Introducti | on | | 179 |
| 12.2 | Binomials | | | 179 |
| | | | omials under investigation | 181 |
| 12.4 | - | | he binomials | 183 |
| 12.5 | Correspon | dences of | the 12 binomials | 184 |
| | 12.5.1 Mi | _ | | 184 |
| | | • | th versus fram og tilbake | 186 |
| | | | ersus inn og ut and ut og inn | 189 |
| 12.6 | | | olligational environments | 191 |
| | | _ | sus ut og inn | 198 |
| 12.7 | 7 Summing up the contrastive analysis | | | 198 |
| | | • | th and fram og tilbake in BNCfiction | |
| | | LBKficti | | 200 |
| 12.8 | _ | | and prosody | 202 |
| 12.9 | Conclusion | | | 206 |
| 12.10 | A note on | binomial | order | 207 |
| | mnn 44 | | | |
| | TER 13 lusion | | | |
| | Introducti | | | 209 |
| 13.1 | | | on attraction | 209 |
| 13.2 | Summing | | | 209 |
| 13.3 13.4 | | | o (cross-linguistic) semantic prosody | 215 |
| 13.4 | 12 4 1 For | specis for | multilingual phraseological research | 217 |
| | | man | in English vs. Portuguese and English vs. | |
| | | | nd DEEL V ing and form I DEEL DD : D. 11.1 | 218 |
| | 13.4 | | nd REFL V-ing and found REFL PP in English Portuguese | |
| | 12 | | | 219 |
| | 13.4 | and | nd REFL V-ing and found REFL PP in English German | |
| | 13.4.2 Sun | | | 220 221 |
| 13.5 | 13.4.2 Summing up 5.5 Concluding comments | | | |
| -5-5 | Concluding comments | | | |

| References | 225 |
|---|-----|
| Appendix 1 Brief overview of morpho-syntactic differences | |
| between English and Norwegian | 239 |
| Appendix 2 Primary sources, ENPC+ and OMC (En-Ge & En-Po) | 241 |
| Appendix 3 Translation Corpus Aligner | 251 |
| Author index | 253 |
| Subject index | 255 |
| | |

Color versions of the tables and figures in this book can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1075/scl.58.additional