

Contents

FOREWORD	3
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND FRA OPINIONS	7
INTRODUCTION	13
1 PROFESSIONALS' VIEWS ON HATE CRIME	17
1.1. Grounds of discrimination	17
1.2. Public discourse	19
1.3. Cyber hate	21
1.4. Weaknesses of conceptual frameworks	22
Conclusions and FRA opinions	25
2 VICTIMS OF HATE CRIME REPORTING TO THE POLICE	27
2.1. Victims' underreporting significantly impairs the criminal justice system's response to hate crime	27
2.2. Reasons for underreporting	28
2.3. Professionals' views of on what is most needed to improve reporting	33
Conclusions and FRA opinions	34
3 PROVIDING ACCESS TO VICTIM SUPPORT SERVICES	37
3.1. Support available to hate crime victims	37
3.2. Police referring victims of hate crime to support services	39
Conclusions and FRA opinion	40
4 ROLE OF THE POLICE IN ENCOURAGING VICTIMS TO REPORT, AND IN RECORDING AND INVESTIGATING HATE CRIME	41
4.1. Measures adopted by the police to encourage and facilitate reporting	41
4.2. Recording hate crime and ensuring that bias motives are not overlooked	44
4.3. Specialisation as a means of improving investigations	48
4.4. Skills training	48
4.5. Sensitisation	50
Conclusions and FRA opinions	51
5 TRUST IN THE POLICE AND INSTITUTIONAL ASPECTS OF POLICE ORGANISATIONS	53
5.1. A lack of trust in the police	53
5.2. Ensuring that policing is based in local communities	55
Conclusions and FRA opinions	58
CONCLUDING REMARKS	61
REFERENCES	63
ANNEX: METHODOLOGY	67

List of figures and tables

Figures

Figure 1: Interviewed experts from all professional groups assessing the severity of the violent attacks or threats of violence motivated by bias or directed against a certain perceived group of persons as a very or fairly serious problem (N=263, %)	17
Figure 2: Interviewed experts from all professional groups assessing the severity of the problem with regard to publicly inciting hostilities as a very or fairly serious problem (N=263, %)	18
Figure 3: Responses of interviewees from all professional groups assessing the difficulty for victims of bias-motivated crimes to report to the police compared to other victims of comparable crimes (like insults, violence or threats) (N=263, %)	28
Figure 4: Interviewed experts assessing the difficulty for victims of bias-motivated crimes to report to the police compared to other victims of comparable crimes (like insults, violence or threats) as more or much more difficult, by professional group (N=263, %)	29
Figure 5: Views of all interviewed experts on the factors accounting for victims' underreporting of hate crime (N=263, % of all responses)	30
Figure 6: Survey on discrimination and hate crimes against Jews: reasons for not reporting the most serious incident of antisemitic harassment to the police in the past five years (N=1,653, % of all respondents)	32
Figure 7: Views of the interviewed experts from all professional groups on the factors that would presumably increase the number of victims reporting to the police (N=263, % of all responses)	34
Figure 8: Interviewed experts assessing whether the police considers it as very or fairly important to assess whether the offence was bias motivated, by professional group (N=263, %)	46
Figure 9: Responses of interviewees from all professional groups assessing the risk that police officers could share discriminatory attitudes (N=263, %)	53
Figure 10: Number of respondents who assess the risk that police officers could share the discriminatory attitudes of offenders in five selected EU Member States, by professional groups (n=47)	56
Figure 11: Number of respondents who assessed the risk that police officers could share the discriminatory attitudes of offenders in eight selected EU Member States, by professional groups (n=69)	56

Tables

Table 1: FRA Violence against Women Survey - Reasons for not contacting the police following the most serious incident of violence, by type of perpetrator and type of violence	31
---	----