## Contents

Acknowledgements	i
内容摘要	ii
Abstract	v
List of Tables	xv
List of Figures	xx
List of Abbreviations	xxi
1 One Introduction	1
1.1 Rationale of the Study	1
1.2 The Choice of Dialects for the Study: the Five Wu Dialects	3
1.3 Relevant Notions	10
1.3.1 Linguistic Distance	10
1.3.2 Mutual Intelligibility	12
1.3.3 Language, Dialect, Accent and Variety	12
1.4 The Aim of the Study	15
1.5 Structure of the book	16
2 Previous Studies on Mutual Intelligibility	18
2.1 The Early Studies on Mutual Intelligibility	18
2.2 Objective Testing versus Subjective Testing	22
2.3 Studies on Mutual Intelligibility of Chinese Dialects	26
2.4 Summary	31
3 The Phonology of Five Wu Dialects	34
3.1 General Introduction of the Previous Studies	34
3.2 The Phonology of Huangyan	38

3.2.1. Initials	38
3.2.2 Finals	4
3.2.3 Tones	4
3.3 The Phonology of Wenzhou	4:
3.3.1 Initials	4:
3.3.2 Finals	49
3.3.3 Tones	5
3.4 The Phonology of Quzhou	5
3.4.1 Initials	5
3.4.2 Finals	54
3.4.3 Tones	5
3.5 The Phonology of Yongkang	50
3.5.1 Initials	50
3.5.2 Finals	58
3.5.3 Tones	59
3.6 The Phonology of Wujiang	60
3.6.1 Initials	60
3.6.2 Finals	6
3.6.3 Tones	62
3.7 A Brief Comparison of the Phonological Structures of the Five Dialects	64
3.7.1 Initials	60
3.7.2 Finals	68
3.7.3 Tones	7
3.7.4 Syllable Structure	7.
3.8 Summary	
Experimental Analysis of Mutual Intelligibility Among Five Wu Dialects	
4.1 Introduction	7:

4.2 Stimulus Material	76
4.3 Recording of the Material	79
4.3.1 Speakers of the Stimuli	79
4.3.2 Sound Recordings	80
4.3.3 Sound Editing	80
4.4 Listeners for the Test	83
4.5 Testing	84
4.6 The Results	86
4.6.1 Results of Self-self Pairs	86
4.6.2 Results between Different Dialect Pairs	89
4.6.3 Comparisons between the Results of Self-self Pairs and those of Dial	ect Pairs 90
4.6.4 Mutual Intelligibility Scores	92
4.6.5 The Intelligibility Degree of the Five Wu Dialects	94
4.6.6 Adjusted Intelligibility Scores	96
4.7 Summary	99
5 Linguistic Distances Among Five Wu Dialects	101
5.1 Edit Distance	102
5.1.1 Introduction	
5.1.2 Edit Distance: Phonetic Transcription Based	105
5.1.3 Matches of Segments	106
5.1.4 Operation Weights	107
5.1.5 Non-normalized and Normalized Edit Distances	108
5.1.5.1 Non-normalized Edit Distance	108
5.1.5.2 Normalized Edit Distance	109
5.2 Linguistic Distances of the Ten Dialect Pairs	112
5.2.1 Reliability of the Sample	112
5.2.2 Edit Distances Calculated Based on the Data of Trisyllabic Words	115

5.2.3 Edit Distances Calculated Based on the Data of Sentences	13/
5.2.4 Linguistic Distances Calculated Based on the Data of Words and Sentences	156
5.2.5 Tonal Distances based on the Data of Trisyllabic Words	157
5.3 Visualizing Aggregate Differences: Multidimensional Scaling	160
5.3.1 Visualizing the Phonetic Distances	160
5.3.1.1 Visualizing the Non-Normalized Phonetic Distances	160
5.3.1.2 Visualizing the Normalized Phonetic Distances (Method 1)	162
5.3.1.3 Visualizing the Normalized Phonetic Distances (Method 2)	164
5.3.2 Visualizing the Vowel Distances	166
5.3.2.1 Visualize the Non-normalized Vowel Distances	166
5.3.2.2 Visualizing the Normalized Vowel Distances (Method 1)	167
5.3.2.3 Visualizing the Normalized Vowel Distances (Method 2)	169
5.3.3 Visualizing the Consonant Distances	171
5.3.3.1 Visualizing the Non-normalized Consonant Distances	171
5.3.3.2 Visualizing the Normalized Consonant Distances (Method 1)	172
5.3.3.3 Visualizing the Normalized Consonant Distances (Method 2)	174
5.3.4 Visualizing the Mutual Intelligibility Distances	175
5.3.4.1 Visualizing the Mutual Intelligibility Distances (Based on the Word Le	vel
and Sentence Level Tests)	176
5.3.4.2 Visualizing the Word Mutual Intelligibility Distances	177
5.3.4.3 Visualizing the Sentence Mutual Intelligibility Distances	179
5.4 Summary	181
6 Predictors for Mutual Intelligibility Among Five Wu Dialects	182
6.1 Introduction	
6.2 Linguistic Distance as a Predictor	183
6.2.1 Correlations between the Linguistic Distances and the Raw Mutual Intelligibi	
Scores	

6.2.2 Correlations between the Linguistic Distances and the Adjusted Mutual	
Intelligibility Scores	188
6.2.3 Correlations between the Tonal Distances and the Mutual Intelligibility Sco	res 192
6.3 Structural Distance as a Predictor	193
6.3.1 Structural Distances Among the Five Dialects	196
6.3.2 Correlations Between the Structural Distances and the Mutual Intelligibility	Scores
	200
6.4 Geographical Distance as a Predictor	202
6.5 Summary	204
7 Conclusion	200
7.1 Summary of the Major Findings	206
7.1.1 Word Level Test vs. Sentence Level Test	206
7.1.2 The Degree of Mutual Intelligibility Among Five Wu Dialects	207
7.1.3 Raw Intelligibility Scores vs. Adjusted Intelligibility Scores	208
7.1.4 Non-normalized Linguistic Distance vs. Normalized Linguistic Distance	209
7.1.5 Method 1 vs. Method 2	210
7.1.6 Vowels vs. Consonants	211
7.1.7 Predictors for Mutual Intelligibility	212
7.2 Limitations	213
7.3 Suggestions	214
References	216
Appendix I	230
Appendix II	232
Appendix III	240
Annendix IV	241