

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	7
Introduction	9
1. A Reconstruction of the development of Ricœur's concept of personhood	15
1.1 Personhood under the aspect of narrativity in <i>Time and Narrative</i>	16
1.1.1 Augustine's distentio and Aristotle's emplotment as mutual support	16
1.1.2 Aristotle's mimesis displays narrative mediating events in time	21
1.1.3 Narrative prefiguration in Augustine's temporality as an answer to misreadings of <i>Time and Narrative</i>	23
1.2 The effort to narrate as a medium of creating identity	33
1.2.1 Identity in time: <i>idem/ipse</i>	34
1.2.2 Narrative identity as a dialectic of <i>idem/ipse</i> aspects	38
1.2.3 Narrative is made possible as a solution by the role of the other	43
1.3 The personhood of the other in <i>Oneself as Another</i>	46
1.3.1 The other as the one who summons and responds	46
1.3.2 The other as another self	49
1.4 The post-Structuralist loss of sovereignty or Ricœur's concept of fragile attestation	52
1.4.1 Haker's articulation of the post-Structuralist challenges of Foucault and Butler	54
1.4.2 Looking back from <i>Autonomy and Vulnerability</i> to <i>The Voluntary and The Involuntary</i>	57
1.4.3 Haker's solution to the problems posed in Ricœur's analysis: narrative care for the other	68
2. Working out the links between anthropology and ethics: Ricœur's framework for relating teleology and deontology	73
2.1 Ethics, morals and practical wisdom	73
2.1.1 The ethical aim of living well	74
2.1.2 The deontological test of the moral norm	81
2.1.3 The crucial role of practical wisdom	88
2.2 A return to the structures of the self – <i>The Course of Recognition</i>	98
2.2.1 Reciprocal recognition	99
2.2.2 The influence of Axel Honneth's <i>The Struggle for Recognition</i>	102
2.2.3 Mutual recognition as gift	111
2.3 Intercultural hermeneutics: recognising the other	120
2.3.1 The significance of culture for the self	121
2.3.2 The conflict in intercultural dialogue and a proposed solution	126

3. Aquinas’s analogy as a method for conceiving otherness	133
3.1 Ricœur’s early rejection of Aquinas’s analogy: <i>The Rule of Metaphor</i>	134
3.1.1 An analysis of Study 8 presenting the relation of metaphor to ontology	139
3.1.2 Ricœur’s analysis of Aquinas’s use of analogy to speak about God’s being	143
3.1.3 The Interaction of “Spheres of Discourse”	149
3.2 Ricœur’s emphasis on metaphor to the neglect of analogy: Summary and outlook	158
3.2.1 The problem in questions 12 and 13 of <i>Summa Theologiae</i>	160
3.2.2 The linguistic response to the epistemological problem of naming God	167
3.2.3 Modern theological reconstructions of analogy as different types of human action	176
4. Ricœur’s changing view of analogy as a tool of language	187
4.1 Testimony as a polysemic speaking of God	189
4.1.1 A new view of analogy in <i>Thinking Biblically</i>	196
4.1.2 Ricœur’s reconstruction of speculative responses to Exodus 3:14	201
4.2 Historical refiguration as an exploration of the otherness of history	209
4.2.1 Testimony as a form of intersubjective responsibility	210
4.2.2 Writing history as a representation of the past	214
4.2.3 The Same, the Other, the Analogous: Standing for the past	216
4.2.4 Outlook on analogy as a “transfer” respectful of the otherness of the other	229
5. Ricœur’s models of intercultural encounter understood in a hermeneutics of analogy	231
5.1 Translation in its philosophical dimension	232
5.1.1 <i>The Paradigm of Translation</i>	232
5.1.2 European identity forged out of translations	243
5.2 Overcoming histories of enmity in Europe	248
5.2.1 The exchange of memories as a plural reading	248
5.2.2 A comparison with Ricœur’s “analogizing transfer” between religions	254
5.2.3 Forgiveness in the face of unfulfilled promises	257
5.3 The relationship between philosophy and theology in Ricœur’s work	264
5.4 The contribution of analogy to intercultural hermeneutics	272
Bibliography	277
Primary bibliography of Ricœur	277
Secondary bibliography of commentary and other work	279