

# Contents

## I. Introduction

<i>The project team</i> .....	1
1 Background .....	2
2 Project objectives and research questions .....	3
3 Definitions .....	4
4 Methodology .....	7
4.1 Literature review .....	9
4.2 Empirical data collection .....	10
4.3 Common checklist .....	11
4.4 Common analysing system .....	12

## II. Cyber-OC in the Netherlands

<i>G. Odinot, M.A. Verhoeven, R.L.D. Pool, C.J. de Poot</i> .....	15
1 Organisation of investigation and prosecution in the Netherlands .	16
1.1 Criminal investigation of cybercrime in the Netherlands . . .	18
1.2 Legal framework on cybercrime in the Netherlands .....	23
2 Methodology .....	33
2.1 Selection of Dutch cases .....	33
2.2 Information available in police files .....	34
2.3 Interviews with experts .....	34
3 General description of the Dutch sample .....	35
4 Empirical findings .....	38
4.1 Suspect characteristics .....	38
4.2 Activities and modi operandi in the field of Cyber-OC .....	45
4.3 Collaboration and organisation .....	57
4.4 Damage of Cyber-OC .....	63
4.5 Criminal investigation of Cyber-OC .....	64
5 Concluding remarks for the Netherlands .....	88
6 References .....	95

### III. Cyber-OC in Sweden

<i>Y. Werner, L. Korsell</i> . . . . .	101
1 Context information . . . . .	103
1.1 The character of cybercrime in Sweden . . . . .	103
1.2 Development over time . . . . .	103
1.3 Swedish penal legislation . . . . .	104
1.4 Statistics . . . . .	105
1.5 Political agenda . . . . .	107
1.6 The police organisation . . . . .	108
1.7 Measures by the police . . . . .	109
2 Methodology . . . . .	110
2.1 Selection of cases . . . . .	110
2.2 Information available in police or prosecution files . . . . .	110
2.3 Expert interviews . . . . .	111
2.4 The cases . . . . .	111
3 General description of the Swedish sample . . . . .	112
3.1 Visible characteristics . . . . .	112
3.2 Invisible characteristics . . . . .	114
3.3 Typology . . . . .	115
4 Empirical findings . . . . .	118
4.1 Characteristics of suspects and groups in Cyber-OC . . . . .	119
4.2 Activities and modi operandi in the field of Cyber-OC . . . . .	127
4.3 External cooperation in the field of Cyber-OC . . . . .	134
4.4 Summary suspects, groups, modi operandi and cooperation . . . . .	137
4.5 Damage of Cyber-OC . . . . .	138
4.6 Criminal investigation of Cyber-OC . . . . .	140
5 Concluding remarks for Sweden . . . . .	155
5.1 Involvement of OC in cybercrime . . . . .	155
5.2 Using the internet to commit offline OC . . . . .	157
5.3 Windows of opportunity for OC groups . . . . .	157
5.4 Structural changes in OC . . . . .	159
5.5 The organisation of cybercrime . . . . .	160
5.6 Recommendations . . . . .	160
6 References . . . . .	162

## **IV. Cyber-OC in Germany**

<i>G. Bulanova-Hristova, K. Kasper</i> .....	165
1 Context information .....	167
2 Methodology .....	169
2.1 First inquiry – focus on criminal groups .....	170
2.2 Second inquiry – focus on cybercrime .....	171
2.3 Sampling .....	172
3 General description of the reported criminal investigations .....	173
3.1 Activity fields .....	174
3.2 Suspects and damage .....	175
4 Empirical findings .....	177
4.1 Overview of the sample .....	177
4.2 Group categorisation .....	178
4.3 Determination of the group membership .....	180
4.4 Summary of the analysed investigations .....	181
4.5 Characteristics of Cyber-OC suspects .....	185
4.6 Characteristics of Cyber-OC groups .....	195
4.7 Activities and modi operandi in the field of Cyber-OC .....	205
4.8 Damage of Cyber-OC .....	206
4.9 Investigative measures in the analysed Cyber-OC cases .....	212
5 Concluding remarks for Germany .....	213
5.1 Answers to the research questions .....	213
5.2 Key findings of the German case study .....	216
6 References .....	217

## **V. Concluding remarks from the three case studies**

<i>The project team</i> .....	221
Theme 1: Organised crime groups entering cyberspace .....	223
Theme 2: Structure and organisation .....	224
Theme 3: Trust .....	226
Theme 4: Cyberspace and cyber logistics .....	228
Theme 5: Initiation of crimes and crime groups .....	229

Theme 6: New windows of opportunity .....	231
Theme 7: Long-term activities .....	232
Theme 8: The term 'organised crime' .....	234
Final remark .....	236

## **VI. Appendix – Literature review**

<i>Dorothee Dietrich, Karsten Kasper, Gergana Bulanova-Hristova</i> ...	239
---	-----

## **Figures and Tables**

Figure 1: Suspects by primary role .....	38
Figure 2: Suspects by age .....	40
Figure 3: Suspects with criminal history .....	41
Figure 4: Criminal history of suspects .....	42
Figure 5: Reported crimes .....	106
Figure 6: Hierarchic group structure .....	121
Figure 7: Network of clusters .....	125
Figure 8: Division of criminal investigations into cybercrime in a narrow/broad sense (N = 128) .....	174
Figure 9: Distribution of the criminal investigations to different crime areas (N = 128) .....	175
Figure 10: Distribution of criminal investigations according to area of crime (N = 18) .....	177
Figure 11: Matrix of group categories in Cyber-OC .....	178
Figure 12: All identified suspects per group .....	185
Figure 13: Number of core group members in comparison with total suspects (categories 1 and 2) .....	186
Figure 14: Distribution of gender (all identified suspects) .....	187
Figure 15: All identified suspects by age .....	188
Figure 16: Average age of suspect categories .....	189

Figure 17:	Distribution of suspects according to age classes . . . . .	190
Figure 18:	Average age per group . . . . .	191
Figure 19:	Cyber-OC groups with a homogeneous core group (n = 9) . . . . .	193
Figure 20:	Formation process of cyber-entering groups . . . . .	197
Figure 21:	Formation process of offlineborn groups . . . . .	198
Figure 22:	Formation process of cyberborn groups . . . . .	199
Figure 23:	composition of core groups and peripheries of selected groups . . . . .	201
Figure 24:	Example of hierarchic group structure . . . . .	202
Figure 25:	Financial damage in the analysed Cyber-OC cases . . . . .	208
Table 1:	Public Security Agenda objectives . . . . .	20
Table 2:	Reported crimes . . . . .	105
Table 3:	Law enforcement and related authorities in Sweden . . . . .	107
Table 4:	Analysed cases . . . . .	111
Table 5:	Summary of group characteristics . . . . .	137
Table 6:	Offline groups entering cybercrime . . . . .	181
Table 7:	Offlineborn groups . . . . .	182
Table 8:	Cyberborn groups . . . . .	184
Table 9:	Characteristics of Cyber-OC groups – summary . . . . .	204
Table 10:	Immaterial damage caused by Cyber-OC groups . . . . .	209