Table of contents

Acknowledgements		
СНА	PTER 1	
Intro	oduction	1
1.1	What is this book about? 1	
1.2	What is mock politeness? 2	
1.3	Why study mock politeness? 5	
1.4	Why take a corpus linguistic approach? 6	
1.5	Why include a cross-cultural perspective? 7	
1.6	Analysing mock politeness in an online community 8	
1.7	Research questions 10	
1.8	Overview of the book 11	
СНА	PTER 2	
Im/p	politeness mismatch	13
2.1	Introduction 13	
2.2	Functions of im/politeness mismatch 13	
	2.2.1 Politeness to avoid/mitigate face attack 13	
	2.2.2 Politeness to facilitate face attack 14	
	2.2.3 Deception 15	
	2.2.4 Mock impoliteness 16	
2.3	Introducing mock politeness 18	
	2.3.1 Naming mock politeness 19	
	2.3.2 The continuum of mock politeness: From internal	
	to external mismatch 22	
2.4	Conclusions 25	
CHA	PTER 3	
Moc	k politeness by another name? Irony, sarcasm, patronising	
and	condescending	27
3.1	Patronising and condescending 27	
	3.1.1 Work from im/politeness studies 27	
	3.1.2 Work from social psychology studies 28	
3.2	Getting to grips with irony and sarcasm 29	

3.3	3 Challenges in investigating irony and sarcasm 30				
	3.3.1 Little second-order agreement on what "irony" and "sarcasm"				
	refer to 31				
	3.3.2 Little analysis of lay perspectives and use 32				
3.4	Mismatch in irony studies 32	· • • -			
	3.4.1 Mismatch in the cognitive structure of irony 32				
	3.4.2 Levels of mismatch 35				
	3.4.3 Mismatch as a cue to irony 36				
	3.4.4 Processing mismatch 38				
3.5	Facework functions of irony and sarcasm 39				
	3.5.1 Face-saving: Hearer-focussed 39				
	3.5.2 Face-saving: Speaker-focussed 40				
	3.5.3 Face-enhancement 41				
	3.5.4 Face-attack 43				
3.6	Accounting for contradictory findings on the effects of irony/sarcasm				
on face 43					
	3.6.1 Acceptable aggression 43				
	3.6.2 Participation role 44				
	3.6.3 Deniability 45				
	3.6.4 Other factors 46				
3.7	Shared and distinguishing features of irony and sarcasm 46				
3.8					
	3.8.1 Culture 48				
	3.8.2 Gender 49				
3.9	Conclusions 50				
СНА	PTER 4				
Wh	ose im/politeness?	53			
4.1	Which im/politeness? 53				
	4.1.1 Operationalising face 53				
	4.1.2 Operationalising impoliteness 55				
	4.1.3 Im/politeness in interaction 56				
4.2	Whose im/politeness? 58				
	4.2.1 Im/politeness and the anglocentric viewpoint 59				
	4.2.2 First and second order im/politeness: Definitions and practice	60			
4.3	Locating im/politeness: Metapragmatic approaches 63				
4.4	Communicating mock politeness 65				
4.5	Conclusions 72				

СНА	PTER 5		
Methodological approaches to im/politeness mismatch 73			
5.1		duction 73	
5.2	Types	s of investigation 73	
	5.2.1	Text analysis 75	
	5.2.2	Data elicitation 75	
	5.2.3	Experimental investigations 77	
	5.2.4	Self-reported usage 78	
5.3	Ident	ifying the object of study 79	
	5.3.1	Metalanguage/metapragmatic studies of mock politeness 79	
	5.3.2	Researcher decides a priori 81	
	5.3.3	"Naïve" approach 82	
5.4	Corp	us linguistics and im/politeness 82	
	5.4.1	The theory of im/politeness may be employed to account	
		for the findings of a study 83	
	5.4.2	Detailed mark up may be used in the corpus in order to test	
		an im/politeness hypothesis or build up a quantitative picture	
		of qualitative features 83	
	5.4.3	The corpus may be used to enable metalinguistic and	
		metapragmatic approaches to the analysis of im/politeness 84	
	5.4.4	The corpus is used as a resource or bank for retrieving examples	
		of a given im/politeness feature 84	
	5.4.5	Benefits and challenges to combining corpus linguistics	
		and im/politeness 85	
	5.4.6	Key notions from corpus linguistics for im/politeness study 87	
5.5	Concl	lusions 91	
СНА	PTER 6		
Data	descr	iption and corpus tools	93
		wo forums 93	
6.2	Ethics and online data 95		
	Challenges in a cross-cultural comparison 96		
6.4	Building the corpora 97		
-	Annotating the corpora 101		
6.6		ional corpora 103	
6.7		for analysing the corpora 103	
•	6.7.1	Sketch Engine 103	
	-	Wordsmith Tools 104	
	6.7.3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
6.8			
6.9	Conclusions 107		

СНА	APTER 7	
Eval	luation and use of the metapragmatic labels irony and sarcasm	109
7.1	Introduction 109	
7.2	A collocational approach to evaluation of irony and sarcasm 110	
	7.2.1 Co-occurrences of irony/ironic and sarcasm/sarcastic 110	
	7.2.2 Ironic/sarcastic and co-occurrence with explicit	
	im/politeness labels 111	
	7.2.3 Ironic/sarcastic in the distributional thesaurus 115	
7.3	Evaluation, functions and participation roles 117	
	7.3.1 Evaluation and participation role 117	
	7.3.2 Evaluation and gender 119	
	7.3.3 Functions of mentions 121	
7.4	Conclusions 125	
	APTER 8	
	mining the behaviours labelled as ironic and sarcastic	127
8.1	Introduction 127 Evaluation in the behaviours described as IRONICO/ironic	
0.2		
0 -	and sarcastico/sarcastic 127 Facework in the behaviours indicated as ironic and sarcastic 129	
8.3		
	8.3.1 Face-attack 130	
	8.3.2 Face-saving 138	
ο.	8.3.3 Face-enhancing 144	
8.4	Mismatch in the behaviours labelled as ironic and sarcastic 145	
	8.4.1 Absence of im/politeness mismatch 146	
•	8.4.2 Location of im/politeness mismatch 148	
8.5	Conclusions 150	
СНА	PTER 9	
Met	apragmatic labels and mock politeness	153
9.1	Introduction 153	
9.2	References to insincerity in meta-politeness labels 153	
	9.2.1 Mock politeness 157	
	9.2.2 Mock politeness or deceit? 157	
	9.2.3 Politeness as an institutional requirement 158	
	9.2.4 Excess politeness 158	
	9.2.5 Summary 159	
9.3	Which metapragmatic labels are used to refer to mock politeness?	159

9.4	Facework (and deniability) in the behaviours 162 9.4.1 The "impolite" element: Expression of negative attitude 163 9.4.2 The "mock" element: Mismatch and indirectness 164	
^ =		
9.5	How are the mock polite behaviours evaluated? 167	
	9.5.1 Participation roles and evaluation 167	
	9.5.2 Evaluation of mock politeness labels: A collocational	
	network perspective 173	
9.6	Sociolinguistic variables in the choice of metapragmatic labels 175	
9.7	Conclusions 177	
CHA:	PTER 10	
The	shape of mock polite behaviours	9
10.1	Introduction 179	
10.2	Types of im/politeness mismatch 179	
	10.2.1 Expectations of mismatch type 180	
	10.2.2 Overview of mismatch types 181	
	10.2.3 Mismatch of favourable evaluation of face and attack on face 183	
	10.2.4 Mismatch of favourable evaluation of face and violation	
	of sociality rights 184	
	10.2.5 Mismatch of upholding sociality rights and violation	
	of sociality rights 184	
	10.2.6 Mismatch of upholding sociality rights and attack on face 185	
	10.2.7 Metapragmatic labels and types of im/politeness mismatch 186	
	10.2.8 Mock politeness and mismatch of addressee 189	
10.3	Mismatch location 190	
10.4	Conventionalisation: The case of HTH 194	
10.5	Functions of mock politeness 196	
10.6	Patronising and condescending 198	
10.7	Conclusions 199	
OTT 4 1		
	PTER 11 Clusions 20	
		5
11.2	I.m.	
	in the (British) English and Italian data? 204	
	11.2.2 How do these labels and behaviours relate to one another	
	within languages? 204	
	within languages: 204	

11.2.3	How do these labels and behaviours relate to one another
	across languages? 206
11.2.4	What is the relationship between the English and Italian first-order
	uses of these metapragmatic labels, and the behaviours which they

describe, and the second order descriptions? 207

11.3 Future directions 209

References	211
Index	231