

Contents

List of Figures	XIII
List of Tables	XV
List of Abbreviations	XVII
List of Symbols	XIX
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Motivation and Research Scope	1
1.2 Study Outline	8
2 Scenario Analysis - Conceptional and Theoretical Foundations	11
2.1 Typologies of Scenario Techniques	11
2.2 Scenario Process	17
2.3 Selected Methods from Scenario Analysis	21
2.3.1 Impact Analysis - Relevance and Procedure	22
2.3.2 Consistency Analysis - Relevance and Procedure	26
3 Increasing Applicability of Consistency Analysis - Literature Review	31
3.1 Critical Evaluation of the Consistency Analysis	31
3.2 Increasing Applicability - Overview of Existing Approaches	34
3.3 Research Gap	41
3.4 Decomposing Consistency Matrices - A new Approach	44

4 Assessing Indirect Effects in the Impact Matrix	51
4.1 Indirect Impact Analysis - Overview of Existing Approaches	51
4.2 The ‘Raised Impact Analysis’ - A new Approach	63
4.2.1 Modeling Raised Impacts	63
4.2.2 Parameter Setting, Application, and Validation	67
5 Decomposing System Architectures	79
5.1 Definitions and Conceptual Foundations	79
5.2 Decomposition Analysis	84
5.2.1 Decomposition Algorithms - Overview of Existing Approaches . .	84
5.2.2 A Cluster Algorithm for Decomposing Scenario Systems	90
5.2.2.1 Selection Criteria for Choosing an Appropriate Algorithm	90
5.2.2.2 The IGT-Algorithm	100
5.2.2.3 The MIGT-Algorithm - A Modified Approach	106
5.2.2.4 IGTA and MIGTA - Parameter Setting, Application, and Validation	109
6 Decomposing Consistency Matrices - A Case-Based Application	117
6.1 A Scenario Analysis for a German University Hospital	117
6.2 Impact Analysis Setup and Sample Characteristics	121
6.3 Decomposing Consistency Matrices - Application to a Real Case	124
6.3.1 Step a) Assessing Raised System Impacts	124
6.3.2 Step b) Clustering the Set of Key Drivers	129
6.3.3 Step c) Decomposing the CM According to the Cluster Structure	138
6.3.4 Step d) Recombining the CM and Automatic Filling	139
6.4 Effectiveness Evaluation	142
6.4.1 Consistency Evaluation Settings and Sample Characteristics . .	142
6.4.2 Testing Criteria and Results	146
6.4.2.1 Effectiveness in Reducing Consistency Evaluation Efforts	146
6.4.2.2 Effectiveness in Improving the Consistency Data Quality	148

7 Closing Remarks	159
7.1 Summary	159
7.2 Implications	162
7.2.1 Implications for Scenario Management and DSM Research	162
7.2.2 Implications for Scenario Management Practice	166
7.3 Limitations and Directions for Future Research	169
A Appendix: MIGTA Parameter Settings	173
References	175