

Table of contents

Contents

Table of contents.....	6
List of figures	13
List of tables	14
Abbreviations	15
Acknowledgments	17
Dankwoord	18
Summary	19
Chapter 1: Research introduction	22
1.1. Context	22
1.2. Research relevance	24
1.2.1. Gap 1: Research how public sector IS projects unfold and result in failure.....	24
1.2.2. Gap 2: Government-to-government IS failure research	26
1.2.3. Gap 3: Useful management models	27
1.3. Research questions	28
1.4. Research approach.....	29
1.5. Main contributions.....	32
1.6. Outline of the dissertation	33
Chapter 2: Literature Review	37
2.1. Research introduction	38
2.2. A bird's-eye view	38
2.2.1. Defining IS failure	38
2.2.2. Causes of IS failure	40
2.2.3. Managing and avoiding IS failure	43
2.3. Different lenses on IS failure	47
2.3.1. The rational factor lens	47
2.3.2. Other lenses on IS (project) failure	49
2.3.3. Selection of lenses.....	52
2.4. The models used in this dissertation.....	54
2.4.1. The D&M model	55
2.4.2. The UTAUT.....	59

2.4.3. The IS failure model.....	63
2.4.4. The Goethals model	67
2.5. To summarise	68
Chapter 3: Methodology	69
3.1. Research Philosophies.....	70
3.1.1. Positivism.....	71
3.1.2. Interpretivism.....	71
3.1.3. Realism	72
3.1.4. Pragmatism.....	73
3.2. Research approaches	73
3.3. Research strategies	74
3.3.1. Case studies.....	74
3.3.2. Focus groups.....	75
3.3.3. Document research	75
3.3.4. Survey.....	76
3.3.5. Grounded theory.....	77
3.3.6. Other strategies.....	78
3.4. Research choices	79
3.5. Time horizons	80
3.6. Techniques and procedures	80
3.6.1. Data collection.....	80
3.6.2. Data analysis.....	87
3.6.3. Data reporting	90
3.7. To summarise	92
Chapter 4: The D&M model	93
4.1. Introduction.....	94
4.2. The Updated Delone and Mclean model	96
4.3. Methodology.....	98
4.3.1. Research methodology.....	98
4.3.2. Selected cases	98
4.3.3. Data collection.....	99
4.4. Data analysis.....	100
4.4.1. The D&M model and the RSD.....	100
4.4.2. The D&M model and the ECREMOS	102

4.4.3. The check for completeness.....	104
4.5. Discussion	105
4.6. Conclusion and future research	107
Chapter 5: UTAUT.....	109
5.1. Introduction.....	110
5.2. Investigated model.....	111
5.2.1. Selection of the theoretical model.....	111
5.2.2. The Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology	112
5.3. Methodology	113
5.3.1. Research Methodology	113
5.3.2. Selected Cases	113
5.3.3. Data Collection	114
5.4. Research results	115
5.4.1. The UTAUT and the Road Sign Database.	115
5.4.2. The UTAUT and the Environmental Complaints Registration and Monitoring System. ...	116
5.5. Discussion	118
5.6. Conclusion and future research	120
Chapter 6: The IS failure model.....	121
6a. The IS failure model and the RSD project.....	122
6a.1. Introduction.....	122
6a.2. Literature review	123
6a.3. Sauer’s process model.....	124
6a.4. Research methodology.....	127
6a.5. Case study description.....	128
6a.6. Analysing the RSD via Sauer’s interpretivist process lens.....	130
6a.6.1. Initiation Stage.....	130
6a.6.2. Development stage	131
6a.6.3. Implementation stage	133
6a.6.3. Operational stage	134
6a.7. Discussion	136
6a.7.1. The downward spiral towards failure of the RSD.....	137
6a.8. Conclusions.....	140
6b. The IS failure model and the ECREMOS	142
6b.1. Introduction.....	142

6b.2. Sauer's IS failure model.....	144
6b.3. Case study description	147
6b.4. Research methodology.....	149
6b.5. Analysing ECREMOS via Sauer's IS failure model.....	150
6b.5.1. Initiation stage.....	151
6b.5.2. Development stage	152
6b.5.3. Implementation stage	152
6b.5.4. Operational stage	157
6b.6. Discussion.....	162
6b.7. Conclusions and future research.....	164
6c. The IS failure model and the DBP project.....	165
6c.1. Introduction.....	165
6c.2. Sauer's IS failure model	167
6c.2.1. Context.....	167
6c.2.2. Innovation process	168
6c.2.3. Solutions.....	168
6c.2.4. Support	168
6c.3. Research design	169
6c.4. Case study description.....	170
6c.5. Analysis of the DBP project.....	173
6c.5.1. Initiation.....	173
6c.5.2. Development	176
6c.6. Implementation	180
6c.6.1. Implementing the DBP project	180
6c.6.2. The intervening course of the IPEP.....	182
6c.7. Turn-around efforts	186
6c.8. Discussion	187
6c.9. Conclusion.....	189
Chapter 7: Additional validation	190
7a. Additional validation of the D&M model	191
7a.1. Introduction.....	191
7a.2. Research models and hypotheses	193
7a.3. Research design and method	196
7a.4. Quantitative research findings	197

7a.5. Qualitative research findings.....	202
7a.6. Discussion	203
7a.7. Conclusions.....	205
7b. Additional validation of the UTAUT/ IS failure model.....	206
7b.1. The UTAUT and Going Out	206
7b.2. The UTAUT and LIMS.....	208
7b.3. The UTAUT and Plan Merits	210
7b.4. The IS failure model and Going Out	212
7b.5. The IS failure model and LIMS.....	214
7b.6. The IS failure model and Plan merits	216
Chapter 8: Practitioners' perspective.....	219
8a. Political and technical practioner perspectives.....	220
8a.1. Introduction.....	221
8a.2. Theoretical framework	223
8a.2.1. Needs analysis	223
8a.2.2. Support power analysis	224
8a.3. Methodology	224
8a.3.1. Data collection.....	224
8a.3.2. Data analysis method	226
8a.4. Analysis.....	226
8a.4.1. Political and administrative agreements.....	227
8a.4.2. Technological agreements.....	228
8a.5. Discussion	230
8a.6. Conclusion and future research	232
8b. Economic and legal practitioner perspectives	233
8b.1 Introduction.....	233
8b.2. Theoretical framework.....	234
8b.2.1. Needs analysis	235
8b.2.2. Support power analysis	235
8b.3. Methodology.....	236
8b.3.1. Data collection.....	236
8b.3.2. Data analysis method	237
8b.4. Analysis.....	237
8b.4.1. Economic agreements.....	238

8b.4.2. Legal agreements	239
8b.5. Discussion	241
8b.6. Conclusion and future research	243
Chapter 9: Overall discussion	245
9.1. Bringing the research results together: Factors	246
9.1.1. The D&M model, UTAUT and IS failure model	247
9.1.2. Recurring G2G IS failure factors compared to secondary literature	258
9.2. Bringing the research results together: Processes	261
9.2.1. Root causes	262
9.2.2. G2G processes	264
9.3. Theoretical contributions and limitations	267
9.4. Practical contributions and limitations	271
9.4.1. Management advice	271
9.4.2. Main practical contributions and limitations	274
Chapter 10: Conclusion and future research	275
10.1. Conclusion	277
10.2. Recommendations for future research	284
Appendices	286
Appendix A: document analysis	286
A1. Case study RSD	286
A2. Case study ECREMOS	287
A3. Case study DBP	289
Appendix B. questions interviews case studies	293
B1. Case study RSD	293
B2. Case study ECREMOS	294
B3. Case study DBP	296
Appendix C. Focus group sessions	299
Appendix D. Survey questions	300
Appendix E: determinants behind findings in chapter 9	302
E1. The D&M model, UTAUT and IS failure model about the RSD	302
E2. The D&M model, UTAUT and IS failure model about ECREMOS	305
E3. The D&M model, UTAUT and IS failure model about Going Out	309
E4. The D&M model, UTAUT and IS failure model about LIMS	312
E5. The D&M model, UTAUT and IS failure model about Plan Merits	313

E6. Combining all elements detected by the IS failure model	316
References.....	323
Own publications.....	378
Samenvatting.....	380
Doctoraten in de sociale wetenschappen.....	383
Doctoraten in de sociale en culturele antropologie.....	383