

---

# Contents

Contributing Authors	xv
Preface	xix

## UNIT 1

### Development 1

#### MORAL DEVELOPMENT AND EDUCATION 3

##### 1 Teaching Values to Children 5

*David Bjorklund and Barbara Bjorklund stress the parents' role in moral development. Parents (1991, September) 94–96, 98.*

##### 2 A Comprehensive Model for Value Education and Moral Education 8

*Howard Kirschenbaum recommends a synthesis of traditional approaches and new approaches to moral education in the schools. Phi Delta Kappan (1992), 73, 771–776.*

#### AT-RISK CHILDREN: IS EARLY INTERVENTION EFFECTIVE? 16

##### 3 Classroom-Based Elementary School Affective Education Programs: A Critical Review 18

*William Strein finds little support for the effectiveness of affective education programs in promoting positive changes on either affective or behavioral measures. Psychology in the School (1988), 25, 288–296.*

##### 4 Prenatal Exposure to Cocaine and Other Drugs: Developmental and Educational Prognoses 26

*Dan R. Griffith speaks to the importance of early intervention and notes that teachers can successfully handle the problem of cocaine-exposed children. Phi Delta Kappan (1992), 74, 30–34.*

#### HYPERACTIVITY: IS IT A USEFUL LABEL? 33

##### 5 Hyperactivity and Attention Deficits 35

*Barbara Henker and Carol K. Whalen note the progress made in our understanding of hyperactivity in children and discuss the role*

*of stimulant medication in its treatment. American Psychologist (1989), 44, 216–223.*

**6 ADD: Acronym for Any Dysfunction or Difficulty 48**

*Gay Goodman and Mary Jo Poillion regard ADD as a label having limited value for purposes of communication and planning. Journal of Special Education (1992), 26(1), 37–56.*

**DIVERSITY IN DEVELOPMENT: CULTURAL AND GENDER CONTROVERSIES 65**

**7 Ethnic Differences in Adolescent Achievement 67**

*Lawrence Steinberg, Sanford Dornbusch, and B. Bradford Brown challenge three widely held explanations for the superior performance of Asian-American teenagers, and the inferior performance of African- and Hispanic-American teenagers. American Psychologist (1992), 47, 723–729.*

**8 School Programs for African-American Males . . . and Females 78**

*Carol Ascher explains the rationale for common features in controversial programs designed to promote a strong cultural and gender identity. Phi Delta Kappan (1992), 73, 777–782.*

**9 The Issue of Gender in Elementary and Secondary Education 86**

*Myra Sadker, David Sadker, and Susan Klein note that gender differences in math, verbal, and spatial skills have been declining at a faster rate than can be explained by genetics. Review of Research in Education (1991), 17, 309–315.*

**References and Additional Readings for Unit 1 92**

UNIT 2

**Learning and Instruction 95**

**CAN PSYCHOLOGY (AND EDUCATION) BE A SCIENCE OF MIND? 97**

**10 Why is Cognitive Instruction Effective? Underlying Learning Mechanisms 99**

*D. Kim Reid and C. Addison Stone argue that behavioral principles have failed and advocate cognitive principles of instruction suggested by Vygotsky and Piaget. Remedial and Special Education (1991), 12(3), 8–19.*

<b>11</b>	<b>The Shame of American Education</b>	<b>115</b>
	<i>B. F. Skinner criticizes today's educational methods and proposes to "program" instruction so students can learn twice as much in the same amount of time. American Psychologist (1984), 39, 947–954.</i>	
<b>12</b>	<b>Communicating with Teachers—Honestly</b>	<b>125</b>
	<i>Lois A. Bader insists that the only thing new in cognitive instruction is the jargon and that such concepts as schemata were explained in much simpler language 80 years ago. Phi Delta Kappan (1989), 70, 626–629.</i>	
	<b>GENERIC THINKING SKILLS: THEIR RISE, FALL, AND RECOVERY</b>	<b>130</b>
<b>13</b>	<b>Are Cognitive Skills Context-Bound?</b>	<b>131</b>
	<i>D. N. Perkins and Gavriel Salomon ask: Can an expert chess master become a military leader? They review the evidence for teaching and learning general thinking strategies as opposed to domain-specific knowledge and conclude that good education cannot have one without the other. Educational Researcher (1989), 18(1), 16–25.</i>	
	<b>CAN CONSTRUCTIVIST THEORY GUIDE INSTRUCTION?</b>	<b>147</b>
<b>14</b>	<b>Constructivism: New Implications for Instructional Technology?</b>	<b>149</b>
	<i>Thomas M. Duffy and David H. Jonassen review four perspectives of Constructivism and their influence on instructional design. Educational Technology (1991), 31(5), 7–12.</i>	
<b>15</b>	<b>An Instructional Designer's View of Constructivism</b>	<b>156</b>
	<i>Walter Dick argues that Constructivism appears to be a theory that is boundless, lacking in accountability, and of little use in guiding the design of instruction. Educational Technology (1991), 31(5), 41–44.</i>	
	<b>COMPUTERS IN SCHOOL: A TOOL FOR EQUITY?</b>	<b>161</b>
<b>16</b>	<b>Learning Systems Even the Odds</b>	<b>163</b>
	<i>Chuck Dervarics describes a bilingual computer-assisted instruction program that has impressively increased test scores for economically disadvantaged students. American School Board Journal, (1991, October), 178, 11–13.</i>	

<b>17</b>	<b>Computers in Schools: Salvation or Social Disaster?</b>	<b>166</b>
	<i>Michael W. Apple cautions us that computers are still expensive and their availability may increase already wide social and academic imbalances. The Education Digest (1992), 48–52 (condensed from Computers in the Schools, 1991, 8, 59–81).</i>	
<b>TEACHING DIVERSE LEARNERS: BASIC SKILLS, HIGHER ORDER SKILLS, OR LEARNING COMMUNITIES?</b>		<b>171</b>
<b>18</b>	<b>Teaching Functions in Instructional Programs</b>	<b>173</b>
	<i>Barak Rosenshine reports that the most successful instruction proceeds in small hierarchical steps where student success is at least 80%. The Elementary School Journal (1983), 335–350.</i>	
<b>19</b>	<b>Cognitive Approaches to Teaching Advanced Skills to Educationally Disadvantaged Students</b>	<b>180</b>
	<i>M. Barbara Means and Michael S. Knapp urge us to discard notions of teaching “Basics” in favor of “Higher Order Skills” so that all students can be more active in constructing their own knowledge. Phi Delta Kappan (1991) 73, 282–289.</i>	
<b>20</b>	<b>From Individual Differences to Learning Communities—Our Changing Focus</b>	<b>191</b>
	<i>Richard Prawat reviews evidence from both psychology and anthropology and challenges us to create classroom environments and work that resemble authentic out-of-school performance. Educational Leadership (1992), 49(7), 9–13.</i>	
	<b>References and Additional Readings for Unit 2</b>	<b>197</b>
<b>UNIT 3</b>		
	<b>Measurement and Evaluation of Individual Differences</b>	<b>199</b>
	<b>MEASUREMENT-DRIVEN INSTRUCTION</b>	<b>201</b>
<b>21</b>	<b>The Merits of Measurement-Driven Instruction</b>	<b>203</b>
	<i>W. James Popham suggests that properly conceived and implemented measurement-driven instruction constitutes the most cost-effective way of improving the quality of public education in the United States. Phi Delta Kappan (1987), 68, 679–682.</i>	

<b>22</b>	<b>Measurement-Driven Instruction: Catchy Phrase, Dangerous Practice</b>	<b>209</b>
	<i>Gerald W. Bracey contends that measurement-driven instruction has many interrelated and pernicious effects on curriculum, instruction, and learning. Phi Delta Kappan (1987), 68, 683–686.</i>	
<b>TEACHING TO THE TEST</b>		<b>215</b>
<b>23</b>	<b>Methods for Improving Standardized Test Scores: Fruitful, Fruitless, or Fraudulent?</b>	<b>217</b>
	<i>William A. Mehrens and John Kaminski discuss what instructional practices are and are not appropriate for producing valid scores. They conclude that at least some of the more popular practices constitute procedures that result in inaccurately high test scores. Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice (1989), 8(1), 14–22.</i>	
<b>24</b>	<b>Can Fantasies Become Facts?</b>	<b>230</b>
	<i>S. Alan Cohen and Joan S. Hyman present a different perspective, arguing that making sure students learn what's worth teaching is more important than maintaining test integrity. Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice (1991), 10(1), 20–23.</i>	
<b>25</b>	<b>Facts about Samples, Fantasies about Domains</b>	<b>236</b>
	<i>Mehrens responds to the Cohen/Hyman article pointing out areas of agreement, areas of disagreement, and areas where Cohen and Hyman misrepresented the points Mehrens and Kaminski were making. Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice (1991), 10(2), 23–25.</i>	
<b>PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT</b>		<b>240</b>
<b>26</b>	<b>A True Test: Toward More Authentic and Equitable Assessment</b>	<b>242</b>
	<i>Grant Wiggins proposes that educators should use authentic assessments that are contextualized, complex intellectual challenges, not fragmented and static bits of tasks. Phi Delta Kappan (1989), 70, 703–713.</i>	
<b>27</b>	<b>Innovation or Enervation? Performance Assessment in Perspective</b>	<b>256</b>
	<i>Gregory J. Cizek suggests that performance assessment is not a panacea for our educational ills and that its advocates have built a straw person to knock down in the form of standardized tests. Phi Delta Kappan (1991), 72, 695–699.</i>	

<b>28</b>	<b>Using Performance Assessment for Accountability Purposes</b>	<b>262</b>
	<i>William A. Mehrens favors the use of performance assessment by individual teachers for purposes of classroom assessment. He raises some serious concerns about using such assessment strategies for accountability purposes. Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice (1992), 11(1), 3–9, 20.</i>	
<b>TESTING AND ACCOUNTABILITY AS A REFORM STRATEGY</b>		<b>274</b>
<b>29</b>	<b>The Effects of Important Tests on Students: Implications for a National Examination System</b>	<b>276</b>
	<i>George F. Madaus suggests that before lurching down the road to national testing a number of questions must be answered. He catalogues a number of advantages and disadvantages attributed to high-stakes examinations as a starting point for considering national examinations. He notes that his list of important disadvantages is considerably longer than his list of advantages. Phi Delta Kappan (1991), 73, 226–231.</i>	
<b>30</b>	<b>Good News about American Education</b>	<b>283</b>
	<i>Barbara Lerner opines that the Minimum Competency Testing Movement was the only successful education-reform movement of the last three decades. She suggests we now need to raise the floor to lift all our students to a higher base. Commentary (1991, March), 19–25.</i>	
<b>GRADING PRACTICES</b>		<b>291</b>
<b>31</b>	<b>Inside High School Grading Practices: Building a Research Agenda</b>	<b>293</b>
	<i>Richard J. Stiggins, David A. Frisbie, and Philip A. Griswold have investigated whether teachers actually follow the grading practices recommended by textbook authors. They locate some discrepancies and discuss some possible explanations for them. Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice (1989), 8(2), 5–14.</i>	
<b>INTELLIGENCE TESTS: WHY THE DIVERSITY IN SCORES?</b>		<b>307</b>
<b>32</b>	<b>Intelligence and IQ: Landmark Issues and Great Debates</b>	<b>309</b>
	<i>Richard A. Weinberg describes the status of controversies regarding the definition and measurement of intelligence, and the role of genes and environments in its development. American Psychologist (1989), 44, 98–104.</i>	
<b>References and Additional Readings for Unit 3</b>		<b>319</b>

## UNIT 4

**Motivation and Management** 321**MOTIVATION: AN INDIVIDUAL VERSUS A SCHOOLWIDE APPROACH** 323**33 Self-Efficacy and Academic Motivation** 325

*Dale H. Schunk reviews applications of Bandura's Concept of Self-Efficacy with regard to goal-setting, information processing, modeling, attributional feedback, and rewards. Educational Psychologist (1991), 26, 207–209, 212–221, 227–231.*

**34 Enhancing Student Motivation: A Schoolwide Approach** 334

*Martin L. Maehr and Carol Midgley, rather than focusing on individual students, recommend a schoolwide motivational program based on McClelland's notion of the "achieving society." Educational Psychologist (1991), 26, 399–401, 415–427.*

**SELF-DIRECTION VERSUS EXTERNAL CONTROL** 342**35 Compliant Cognition: The Misalliance of Management and Instructional Goals in Current School Reform** 344

*Mary McCaslin and Thomas L. Good note the incongruence between the modern school curriculum with its emphasis on producing self-motivated, active problem solvers and a management system that often insists on blind obedience. They propose an authoritative management system that allows students to operate as self-regulated, risk-taking learners. Educational Researcher (1992), 21(3), 10–17.*

**36 Assertive Discipline—More Than Names on the Board and Marbles in a Jar** 355

*Lee Canter discusses assertive discipline and addresses some of the concerns that are frequently raised about this approach. Phi Delta Kappan (1989), 71, 57–61.*

**GRADE RETENTION OR SOCIAL PROMOTION** 361**37 What Doesn't Work: Explaining Policies of Retention in the Early Grades** 363

*Mary Lee Smith and Lorrie A. Shepard review the research on retention and conclude that it is not good for children. Phi Delta Kappan (1987), 69, 129–134.*

<b>38</b>	<b>Comments on “What Doesn’t Work: Explaining Policies of Retention in the Early Grades”</b>	<b>371</b>
<b>38a</b>	<b>Troubled</b>	<b>371</b>
	<i>Fred C. Schroeder, a director of instruction, responds to the Smith/Shepard article. He agrees that retentions have a negative potential but argues for refining the procedure without abandoning it completely. Phi Delta Kappan (1988), 69, 389.</i>	
<b>38b</b>	<b>Narrow and Distorted</b>	<b>372</b>
	<i>Richard L. Bucko, a principal, believes the Smith/Shepard article is narrow and distorted. He lists some principles that lead to successful retention. Phi Delta Kappan (1988), 69, 390.</i>	
<b>38c</b>	<b>A Nativist Writes</b>	<b>372</b>
	<i>Becky Schloemer, a transition-first teacher supports a developmental philosophy and suggests that a transition room allows a child to develop and learn at a rate that is comfortable, not frustrating. Phi Delta Kappan (1988), 69, 390.</i>	
	<b>GROUPING PRACTICES FOR GIFTED STUDENTS</b>	<b>374</b>
<b>39</b>	<b>Ability Grouping, Cooperative Learning, and the Gifted</b>	<b>376</b>
	<i>Robert E. Slavin opposes the use of tracking and separate enrichment programs for the gifted, and recommends the use of cooperative learning to meet their needs. Journal for the Education of the Gifted (1990), 14(1), 3–8.</i>	
<b>40</b>	<b>Cooperation or Exploitation? The Argument against Cooperative Learning for Talented Students</b>	<b>380</b>
	<i>Ann Robinson discusses the potential for educational abuse of bright students in cooperative learning settings. Journal for the Education of the Gifted (1990), 14(1), 9–27.</i>	
	<b>SPECIAL EDUCATION: APART OR A PART</b>	<b>391</b>
<b>41</b>	<b>Special Education in the Restructured School</b>	<b>393</b>
	<i>Wayne Sailor notes sufficient parallels between general and special education reforms to suggest that now is the time for a shared educational agenda. Remedial and Special Education (1991), 12, 8–22.</i>	
<b>42</b>	<b>Arguable Assumptions Underlying the Regular Education Initiative</b>	<b>412</b>
	<i>James Kauffman, Michael Gerber, and Mel Semmel challenge inclusion. Journal of Learning Disabilities (1988), 21, 6–11.</i>	
	<b>References and Additional Readings for Unit 4</b>	<b>421</b>
	<b>Index</b>	<b>423</b>