Table of contents

| Pre | eface | X |
|-------------------|---|----|
| Star Kno Wh | roduction nce, stancetaking, epistemic stance 1 owing, Unknowing, Believing (KUB) positions 3 nat is KUB for? 4 n of the book 5 | 1 |
| Par | t 1. KUB as a theoretical model and a method of analysis | |
| CHA | APTER 1 | |
| Psy | chological background | 11 |
| 1.1 | Perception and cognition as rule-governed systems 12 | |
| 1.2 | Perceiving and cognising as processes 13 | |
| | Experience as a verb and as a noun 14 | |
| 1.4 | Reality 15 | |
| | 1.4.1 The first and second meaning of reality: Physical reality vs. experiential reality 15 | |
| | 1.4.2 The third and fourth meaning of reality: Perceptual reality | |
| | vs. cognitive reality 17 | |
| 1.5 | Degrees of experiential reality 19 | |
| 1.6 | Language and linguistic communication: The semantic relationship of reference 20 | |
| 1.7 | The twofold reference of language to experience 21 | |
| | APTER 2 | |
| Lin | guistic background | 23 |
| 2.1 | The semantic interpretation of texts/dialogues 24 | |
| 2.2 | Natural and theoretical interpretation 24 | |
| 2.3 | Sense-interpretation as a natural process 25 | |
| 2.4 | Sense-interpretation as a theoretical process 25 Atomic Text 26 | |
| 2.5 | | |
| 2.6 | Linguistic features of performative, world-constitutive and descriptive propositions 28 | |
| | | |

| 2.7 | Classification of world-constitutive verbs in relation to verb tenses |
|------|--|
| | in descriptive propositions 30 |
| 2.8 | World-constitutive vs. descriptive use of world-constitutive verbs 31 |
| 2.9 | The asymmetry of the world-constitutive and performative verbs 32 |
| 2.10 | The theoretical definition of text 33 |
| 2.11 | How we use Petőfi's Atomic Text in our research 34 |
| 2.12 | Evidentiality 36 |
| 2.13 | Epistemicity 37 |
| 2.14 | How are certainty and uncertainty communicated? 38 |
| 2.15 | Whose certainty/uncertainty? 39 |
| 2.16 | Truth/falsehood 40 |
| 2.17 | Correlate-interpretation as a natural process 41 |
| | |
| | APTER 3 owing, Unknowing, Believing positions: The KUB model 45 |
| | owing, Unknowing, Believing positions: The KUB model 45 Our study 2008 45 |
| 3.1 | 3.1.1 Participants 45 |
| | 3.1.1 Farticipants 45 3.1.2 Materials 46 |
| | 3.1.3 Procedures 46 |
| | 3.1.4 Methodology used to analyse texts 47 |
| | 3.1.4 Wethodology used to analyse texts 4/ 3.1.5 Corpus description 47 |
| | 3.1.6 The exemplary qualitative analysis of three texts from the corpus 48 |
| 3.2 | Evidential and epistemic worlds or positions 59 |
| 3.2 | 3.2.1 The world of the Known/Certain or the Knowing/Certain |
| | position 61 |
| | 3.2.2 The world of the Believed/Uncertain or the Believing/Uncertain |
| | position 64 |
| | 3.2.3 The world of the Unknown or the Unknowing position 66 |
| | 3.2.3.1 Questions 6 7 |
| 3.3 | Markers of the Knowing/Certain position 70 |
| 3.4 | Markers of the Unknowing position 72 |
| 3.5 | Markers of the Believing/Uncertain position 73 |
| | 3.5.1 Lexical markers 74 |
| | 3.5.2 Morphosyntactic markers 77 |
| 3.6 | Quantitative analysis 81 |
| | • |

CHAPTER 4 Are certainty and uncertainty psychological realities? 85 4.1 Are certain and uncertain epistemic contraries? 4.1.1 Graded or not graded nature of the poles certain and uncertain 4.1.2 Unidimensionality or non-unidimensionality of the certainuncertain 87 4.2 Study 1 88 4.2.1 Aims 88 4.2.2 Method 4.2.3 Results 89 4.2.4 Summary of the main results 96 4.3 Study 2 97 4.3.1 Aims 97 4.3.2 Method 98 4.3.3 Results 99 4.3.4 Summary of the main results 103 4.4 Conclusions 105 Acknowledgement 106 Part 2. KUB in dialogues Introduction 107 **CHAPTER 5** "What should I do?" Epistemic positions and advice giving activity in troubles talk sequences 109 5.1 Advice as a particular directive speech act and as a social activity 110 5.2 Advice giving in troubles talk 112 5.2.1 Epistemic positions and mitigation: A previous study 113 5.3 KUB model applied to advice giving activity in troubles talk 120 5.3.1 Knowing position (confider) – Knowing position (confident) 123 5.3.2 Knowing position (confider) – Believing position (confident) 126 5.3.3 Believing position (confider) – Knowing position (confident) 130 5.3.4 Believing position (confider) – Believing position (confident) 134 5.3.5 Unknowing position (confider) – Knowing position (confident) 137 5.3.6 Believing position (confider) – Knowing + Believing position (confidant) 140 5.3.7 Unknowing position (confider) – Unknowing + Knowing + Believing position (confidant) 143 5.4 Conclusions 146

Appendix 147

| СНА | PTER 6 |
|-------|---|
| Con | fidence attitudes and epistemic management in the clairvoyant-journalist |
| inter | rviews: Extrasensory perception and epistemic authority 155 |
| 6.1 | Introduction 155 |
| 6.2 | Extrasensory perception as a mode of knowing 157 |
| 6.3 | Epistemic status and epistemic stance 160 |
| 6.4 | Aims and method 167 |
| 6.5 | The first interview 168 |
| | 6.5.1 Qualitative analysis of the first excerpt 168 |
| | 6.5.2 Quantitative analysis of the first excerpt 176 |
| | 6.5.3 Qualitative analysis of the second excerpt 177 |
| | 6.5.4 Quantitative analysis of the second excerpt 183 |
| 6.6 | The second interview 185 |
| | 6.6.1 Qualitative analysis of the third and fourth excerpt 185 |
| | 6.6.2 Quantitative analysis of the third and fourth excerpt 189 |
| | 6.6.3 Qualitative analysis of the fifth excerpt 193 |
| | 6.6.4 Quantitative analysis of the fifth excerpt 198 |
| | 6.6.5 Qualitative analysis of the sixth excerpt 200 |
| | 6.6.6 Quantitative analysis of the sixth excerpt 204 |
| | 6.6.7 Summarising the quantitative results of all the six excerpts 206 |
| 6.7 | Conclusions 209 |
| СНА | PTER 7 |
| | no is the killer?" Epistemic positions in Italian crime case talk-shows 213 |
| 7.1 | Introduction 213 |
| • | Theoretical notes 215 |
| 7.3 | Aims and method 216 |
| 7.4 | The first proof: The phone records 217 |
| 7.4 | 7.4.1 Qualitative analysis of the first excerpt 217 |
| | 7.4.2 Quantitative analysis of the first excerpt 221 |
| | 7.4.3 Qualitative analysis of the second excerpt 222 |
| | 7.4.4 Quantitative analysis of the second excerpt 226 |
| 7.5 | The second proof: The camera's images 228 |
| ,,, | 7.5.1 Qualitative analysis of the third excerpt 228 |
| | 7.5.2 Quantitative analysis of the third excerpt 236 |
| | 7.5.3 Qualitative analysis of the fourth excerpt 238 |
| | 7.5.4 Quantitative analysis of the fourth excerpt 241 |
| | 7.5.5 Qualitative analysis of the fifth excerpt 243 |
| | 7.5.6 Quantitative analysis of the fifth excerpt 247 |

| 7.6 The third proof: The car 249 7.6.1 Qualitative analysis of the sixth excerpt 249 7.6.2 Quantitative analysis of the sixth excerpt 251 7.6.3 Summarising the quantitative results of all the six excerpts 252 7.7 Conclusions 257 | | | |
|--|-----|--|--|
| CHAPTER 8 | | | |
| Three epistemic models: A comparison 263 | | | |
| 8.1 Akio Kamio: The theory of territories of information 263 | | | |
| 8.2 John Heritage: The epistemic management of conversational | | | |
| interactions 271 | | | |
| 8.2.1 Epistemic status and epistemic stance 271 | | | |
| 8.2.2 Epistemic imbalance 272 | | | |
| 8.2.3 Heritage's polar view on speakers' knowledge 274 | | | |
| CHAPTER 9 | | | |
| KUB, mind, brain, speech acts: Future perspectives | | | |
| 9.1 Psychological and linguistic levels of reality 277 | | | |
| 9.2 KUB as a linguistic model 278 | | | |
| 9.3 Between language and mind 279 | | | |
| 9.4 From language to mind (and brain) 280 | | | |
| 9.5 Back to language: KUB and speech acts 280 | | | |
| References | 287 | | |
| Transcription notes | 305 | | |
| Index | | | |