Table of Contents

| Table of Cases | | |
|----------------|--|-------------|
| 1 | Introduction 1.1 Legitimacy and the 'Backlash' against Investment Arbitration 1.2 Overview of the Book | 1 4 8 |
| | PART I IDENTIFYING DOMESTIC LAW ISSUES IN INVESTMENT ARBITRATION | |
| 2 | Domestic Law and Fair and Equitable Treatment | 13 |
| | 2.1 Introduction | 13 |
| | 2.2 Domestic Law and Direct FET Breaches | 17 |
| | 2.2.1 Domestic legality contributing to FET compliance | 17 |
| | 2.2.2 Domestic illegality contributing to FET breach | 20 |
| | 2.2.3 Domestic legality as a determinative factor? | 21 |
| | 2.3 Domestic Law and Legitimate Expectations under | |
| | the FET Standard | 26 |
| | 2.4 Domestic Law and Arbitrariness under the FET Standard | 31 |
| | 2.4.1 Domestic legality as irrelevant | 33 |
| | 2.4.2 Domestic legality as contributory factor | 34 |
| | 2.4.3 Domestic legality as a proxy for (non-)arbitrary measures | 36 |
| | 2.5 Conclusion | 39 |
| 3 | Domestic Law and Expropriation | 41 |
| | 3.1 Introduction | 41 |
| | 3.2 Domestic Law and the 'Police Powers' Doctrine | 42 |
| | 3.3 Domestic Law and the International Lawfulness of Expropriation | 46 |
| | 3.3.1 Formulations of the 'due process' requirement in IIAs | 47 |
| | 3.3.2 First set—a textual link to domestic law | 48 |
| | 3.3.3 Second set—'due process of law' | 51 |
| | 3.3.4 Third set—due process as domestic judicial review | 57 |
| | 3.3.5 Conclusion | 58 |
| | 3.4 Limitations on Lawfulness: The Effect of the Compensation | |
| | Requirement | 58 |
| | 3.5 Legality and the Public Nature of Investment Law | 62 |
| | 3.6 Conclusion | 67 |
| 4 | Domestic Law and Remedies | 69 |
| | 4.1 Uncertainty in International Law on Remedies | 70 |

| | 4.2 | Application of Domestic Law when Determining Remedies | ·73 |
|---|-----|--|------------|
| | | 4.2.1 Local failure to act | 74 |
| | | 4.2.2 Validity of the investment affecting compensation | 78 |
| | | 4.2.3 Temporal extent of claimant's rights | 81 |
| | | 4.2.4 Conclusion | 82 |
| | 4.3 | Relevance of Domestic Law Rules on Interest Payments | 82 |
| | | 4.3.1 Reference to municipal legal systems generally | 83 |
| | | 4.3.2 Reference to host state law specifically | 84 |
| | | 4.3.3 Reference to host state law on interest determinations | 85 |
| | | 4.3.4 Conclusion | 90 |
| | 4.4 | Compliance with Domestic Law as a Factor in Remedies | |
| | | Determinations | 91 |
| | | 4.4.1 Factors allowing consideration of compliance with domestic law | ۰. |
| | | in remedies determinations | 94 |
| | | 4.4.2 Domestic legality and non-monetary remedies | 96 |
| | | 4.4.3 Conclusion | 98 |
| | 4.5 | Conclusion | 99 |
| | | PART II RESOLVING DOMESTIC LAW ISSUES | |
| | | | |
| | | IN INVESTMENT ARBITRATION | |
| 5 | | ertaining the Contents of Domestic Law in Investment Arbitration | |
| | 5.1 | Introduction | 103 |
| | 5.2 | Domestic Law as Fact in International Investment Arbitration | 104 |
| | 5.3 | Tribunals' Attitude towards Domestic Law | 108 |
| | 5.4 | The Practicalities of Ascertaining the Contents of Domestic Law | 112 |
| | | 5.4.1 Guidance in arbitral rules | 112 |
| | | 5.4.2 Arbitrators' knowledge of domestic law | 113 |
| | | 5.4.3 Guidance from international courts | 114 |
| | | 5.4.4 Guidance from national courts | 116 |
| | | 5.4.5 Guidance from international commercial arbitration | 117 |
| | | The Principle of <i>Iura Novit Curia</i> in Investment Arbitration | 120 |
| | 5.6 | Weighting Domestic Case-law and Other Domestic | |
| | | Law Materials | 125 |
| | | 5.6.1 Fear of host state manipulation of local case-law | 126 |
| | | 5.6.2 No binding res judicata for local case-law | 129 |
| | | 5.6.3 Resolving conflicts or uncertainties in domestic case-law | 133 |
| | | Expert Evidence on Domestic Law | 134 |
| | 5.8 | Conclusion | 137 |
| 6 | App | lying the Framework—Preliminaries | 139 |
| | | Introduction | 139 |
| | | What Counts as Domestic Law? | |
| | 0.2 | 6.2.1 All host state law, or only fundamental laws? | 139 140 |
| | | 6.2.2 Any laws, or only laws related to investment? | 140 |
| | | 6.2.3 Only laws that are rule-of-law compliant? | |
| | | 0.2.5 Only laws that are fulle-of-law compliant? | 151 |

| Table of Contents | xiii |
|--|------|
| 6.3 Estoppel as a Means to Avoid Consideration of Domestic Law | 155 |
| 6.3.1 An alternative view: the rule of law and investment law | 157 |
| 6.3.2 Limits on estoppel | 160 |
| 6.4 Conclusion | 161 |
| 7 Applying the Framework—In Practice | 163 |
| 7.1 A Taxonomy of Errors | 163 |
| 7.1.1 Failure to appreciate role of domestic law | 163 |
| 7.1.2 Failure to investigate domestic law sources | 166 |
| 7.1.3 Failure to engage with available domestic law sources | 169 |
| 7.1.4 Unreasoned assertions of legality | 177 |
| 7.1.5 Reliance on improper sources | 181 |
| 7.2 Positive Models of Domestic Law Reasoning | 182 |
| 7.2.1 Emulating domestic judges | 182 |
| 7.2.2 Reliance on domestic materials | 183 |
| 7.2.3 Reliance on expert witnesses | 186 |
| 7.3 Conclusion | 192 |
| 8 Conclusion | 193 |
| Bibliography | 199 |
| Index | |