

# Contents

List of Figures . . . . .	XIII
List of Tables . . . . .	XV
List of Abbreviations . . . . .	XIX
List of Symbols . . . . .	XXIII
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Description of distributions and preferences</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 Statistical description of distributions . . . . .	7
2.1.1 Statistical moments . . . . .	8
2.1.2 A discussion of skewness measures . . . . .	11
2.1.3 Statistical properties of financial assets . . . . .	12
2.1.4 Stochastic dominance . . . . .	14
2.2 Utility theoretic foundations . . . . .	18
2.2.1 Expected Utility Theory . . . . .	18
2.2.2 Original Prospect Theory . . . . .	24
2.2.3 Cumulative Prospect Theory . . . . .	28
2.2.4 Parameterization of Cumulative Prospect Theory . . . . .	32
<b>3 Third-order risk preferences</b>	<b>37</b>
3.1 Preferences for skewness . . . . .	37
3.1.1 Skewness preferences in EUT and CPT . . . . .	37
3.1.2 Skewness preferences in portfolio theory and asset pricing . . . . .	44
3.1.3 Skewness seeking in individual decision making . . . . .	49

---

3.1.4	Experimental evidence for skewness preferences . . . . .	52
3.2	Preferences for prudence . . . . .	55
3.2.1	Prudence preferences within Expected Utility Theory . . . . .	56
3.2.2	Prudence preferences beyond Expected Utility Theory . . . . .	62
3.2.3	Empirical evidence for prudence preferences . . . . .	64
3.2.4	Experimental evidence for prudence preferences . . . . .	66
<b>4</b>	<b>Experimental design and procedure</b>	<b>71</b>
4.1	Experiments as empirical method of research . . . . .	71
4.2	Implementation of the research project . . . . .	73
4.3	Stage Skewness . . . . .	75
4.4	Stage Prudence . . . . .	77
4.5	Stage Certainty Equivalents . . . . .	82
4.5.1	Response mode . . . . .	86
4.5.2	Mechanism . . . . .	88
4.5.3	Incentive compatibility . . . . .	91
4.6	Experimental procedure . . . . .	94
4.7	Recruiting of subjects . . . . .	97
<b>5</b>	<b>Experimental results</b>	<b>99</b>
5.1	Description of experimental sessions and subject pool . . . . .	99
5.2	Third-order risk preferences . . . . .	101
5.2.1	Preliminary results . . . . .	102
5.2.2	Analysis of preference for skewness . . . . .	106
5.2.2.1	Subgroups . . . . .	106
5.2.2.2	Impact of the degree of skewness . . . . .	108
5.2.2.3	Binary choice model . . . . .	109
5.2.2.4	Impact of decision time . . . . .	117
5.2.3	Analysis of prudent behavior . . . . .	120
5.2.3.1	Subgroups . . . . .	120

5.2.3.2	Impact of degree of skewness of the zero-mean risk . . . . .	121
5.2.3.3	Binary choice models . . . . .	123
5.2.3.4	Impact of decision time . . . . .	127
5.3	Stage Certainty Equivalents . . . . .	129
5.3.1	Maximum likelihood approach to estimate risk parameters . . . . .	130
5.3.2	Estimation of risk preferences within EUT . . . . .	131
5.3.3	Estimation of risk preferences within CPT . . . . .	133
5.4	Within subject analysis . . . . .	140
5.4.1	Third-order risk preferences and EUT . . . . .	140
5.4.2	Third-order risk preferences and CPT . . . . .	142
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>151</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Appendix</b>	<b>163</b>
A.1	Statistical properties of asset classes . . . . .	163
A.2	Divergence of stochastic dominance and statistical moments . . . . .	164
A.3	Illustrative Probability Weighting Function in original prospect theory .	164
A.4	HARA utility functions: derivatives and properties . . . . .	165
A.5	Over-/Underweighting following the different parameterizations of the Probability Weighting Functions . . . . .	167
A.6	Statistical properties of binary lotteries . . . . .	168
A.7	Example of an increase in downside risk . . . . .	170
A.8	Exemplary utility functions of prudent and non-prudent individuals .	171
A.9	Characteristics of aggregated ES lotteries . . . . .	173
A.10	Individual order of stages . . . . .	174
A.11	Instructions (Original version) . . . . .	175
A.12	Socio-economic questionnaire (Original version) . . . . .	180
A.13	Individual remuneration paid to subjects . . . . .	182
A.14	Socio-economic characteristics of the subject pool . . . . .	183
A.15	Comparison of experimental sessions with preceding test session . . . . .	186

A.16 Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests for random decision processes . . . . .	189
A.17 Right-skewed/prudent choices per lottery . . . . .	191
A.18 Logistic model for right-skewed choices . . . . .	192
A.19 Average Marginal Effects on right-skewed choices . . . . .	193
A.20 Logistic model regressions for prudent choices . . . . .	194
A.21 Average Marginal Effects on prudent choices . . . . .	195
A.22 Individual EUT parameters based on power utility function . . . . .	196
A.23 Individual CPT parameters based on TK (1992) . . . . .	197
A.24 Individual CPT parameters based on Prelec (1998) . . . . .	199
A.25 CPT valuation of lotteries in Skewness stage . . . . .	201
A.26 CPT valuation of lotteries in Prudence stage . . . . .	202
A.27 Actual and implied choices by individual CPT parameters . . . . .	203
A.28 Actual and implied choices by individual CPT parameters per subject . .	204