CONTENTS

| List of Ab | Cases Legislation breviations iographies | xv xvii xliii xlix |
|------------|--|-----------------------------|
| | I GENERAL ASPECTS | |
| | o's Afraid of MiFID II?: An Introduction ny Busch and Guido Ferrarini | |
| I. | Introduction | 1.01 |
| II. | Investment Firms and Investment Services | 1.14 |
| III. | Trading | 1.29 |
| IV. | Supervision and Enforcement | 1.45 |
| V. | The Broader View and the Future of MiFID | 1.48 |
| VI. | Final Remarks | 1.52 |
| II II | NVESTMENT FIRMS AND INVESTMENT S | SERVICES |
| | Scope of MiFID II <i>Lieverse</i> | |
| I. | Introduction | 2.01 |
| II. | Investment Firms under MiFID II | 2.02 |
| III. | Credit Institutions | 2.15 |
| IV. | Investment Firms under the CRR | 2.16 |
| V. | Structured Deposits | 2.26 |
| VI. | General Exemptions to MiFID II Applicability | 2.29 |
| VII. | Trading on Own Account | 2.37 |
| VIII. | Dealings in Emission Allowances | 2.42 |
| IX. | Financial Instruments | 2.43 |
| X. | Insurance Companies and Insurance Intermediaries | 2.51 |
| | Managers of Collective Investment Undertakings | 2.55 |

| | XII. | Data-Reporting Service Providers | 2.57 |
|----|---|--|------|
| | | Market Operators | 2.60 |
| | | Conclusion | 2.61 |
| | | rnance of Investment Firms under MiFID II <i>Iinrich Binder</i> | |
| | I. | Introduction | 3.01 |
| | II. | Governance-related Regulation of Investment Firms between Prudential and Conduct-of-Business Regulation | 3.04 |
| | III. | The Technical Framework under MiFID II | 3.21 |
| | IV. | Conclusions | 3.65 |
| 4. | in Mi | Overarching Duty to Act in the Best Interest of the Client FID II Enriques and Matteo Gargantini | |
| | I. | Introduction | 4.01 |
| | II. | Antecedents of the Investment Firm's Duty to Act in the Best Interest of the Client | 4.04 |
| | III. | The Duty to Act in the Best Interest of the Client in MiFID II | 4.07 |
| | IV. | The Duty's Functions and Contents | 4.16 |
| | V. | The Articulation of the Duty with Respect to Individual Services and Activities | 4.32 |
| | VI. | A Look Across the Atlantic | 4.73 |
| | VII. | Assessment | 4.82 |
| 5. | Product Governance and Product Intervention under MiFID II/MiF Danny Busch | | IR |
| | I. | Introduction | 5.01 |
| | II. | Product Governance | 5.02 |
| | III. | Product Intervention | 5.41 |
| | IV. | Conclusion | 5.74 |
| 6. | | pendent Financial Advice <i>Giudici</i> | |
| | I. | Introduction | 6.01 |
| | II. | Economic Background | 6.03 |
| | III. | MiFID I | 6.15 |
| | | | |

| IV. | MiFID II | 6.20 |
|---|--|-------|
| V. | Conclusions | 6.45 |
| | licts of Interest a Grundmann and Philipp Hacker | |
| I. | Foundations of the Regime | 7.01 |
| II. | The Regime by Single Stages and Examples | 7.31 |
| III. | Conclusion | 7.87 |
| 8. Induc <i>Lariss</i> | cements a Silverentand, Jasha Sprecher, and Lisette Simons | |
| I. | Introduction | 8.01 |
| II. | Current Legislation | 8.04 |
| III. | The Dutch Inducement Ban | 8.18 |
| IV. | The UK Inducement Rules | 8.30 |
| V. | MiFID II | 8.37 |
| VI. | Research as an Inducement | 8.60 |
| VII. | Conclusion | 8.67 |
| 9. Agency and Principal Dealing under MiFID I and MiFID II Danny Busch | | |
| I. | Introduction | 9.01 |
| II. | Four Transaction Types | 9.04 |
| III. | Investor Protection | 9.19 |
| IV. | Acting as Agent or as Principal: Not a Justified Distinction to Serve as a Basis for Determining the Degree of Investor Protection | 9.23 |
| V. | Conclusion | 9.55 |
| 10. MiFID II/MiFIR's Regime for Third-Country Firms Danny Busch and Marije Louisse | | |
| I. | General | 10.01 |
| II. | Scope of MiFID II/MiFIR's Regime for Third-Country Firms | 10.04 |
| III. | Eligible Counterparties and Per Se Professional Clients | 10.17 |
| IV. | Retail Clients and Opt Up Professional Clients | 10.45 |
| V. | Retail Clients, Professional Clients, and Eligible Counterparties | 10.57 |

| VI. | Initiative Test | 10.65 |
|--------|--|-------|
| | Conclusion | 10.76 |
| | | |
| | III TRADING | |
| of Fin | rnance and Organization of Trading Venues: The Role nancial Market Infrastructure Groups of Ferrarini and Paolo Saguato | |
| I. | Introduction | 11.01 |
| II. | Trading Facilities: Concept and Regulatory Framework | 11.03 |
| III. | The EU Scenario of Trading Venues | 11.32 |
| IV. | FMI Groups in an MiFID II World | 11.58 |
| V. | Conclusion | 11.86 |
| for th | inancial Governance and Transparency Regulation: A Test te Effectiveness of Post-Crisis Administrative Governance th Moloney | |
| I. | Transparency Regulation and EU Financial Governance: Why It Matters | 12.01 |
| II. | Transparency Regulation and EU Financial Governance | 12.10 |
| III. | MiFIR, Transparency, and Supervisory Administrative | 10.26 |
| 13.7 | Governance | 12.34 |
| ıv. | MiFIR, Transparency, and Administrative Regulatory Governance | 12.45 |
| V. | Conclusion | 12.56 |
| | Growth Markets ger Veil and Carmine Di Noia | |
| I. | Introduction | 13.01 |
| II. | Existing Alternative Markets for SMEs in Europe | 13.04 |
| III. | SME Growth Markets under MiFID II | 13.15 |
| IV. | Alternative Disclosure Obligations? | 13.34 |
| | What Role for the 'SME Growth Market' Label? Some | |
| | Reflections on Alternative Scenarios | 13.47 |
| VI. | Conclusion | 13.54 |

11.

12.

13.

| 14. | | Trading under MiFID II Gomber and Ilya Gvozdevskiy | |
|-----|-------|---|--------|
| | | Introduction | 14.01 |
| | II. | Dark Pools: Motivation, Classification, and Related Literature | |
| | | Dark Pools under MiFID I | 14.39 |
| | IV. | Dark Pool Regulation under MiFID II/MiFIR | 14.59 |
| | V. | Summary | 14.101 |
| 15. | and P | ratives: Trading, Clearing, STP, Indirect Clearing, Portfolio Compression of Stegeman and Aron Berket | |
| | | Introduction | 15.01 |
| | II. | The Trading Obligation | 15.06 |
| | III. | The Clearing Obligation | 15.32 |
| | IV. | The Straight-Through-Processing Obligations | 15.49 |
| | V. | The Obligations in Respect of Indirect Clearing | 15.76 |
| | VI. | The Obligations in Respect of Portfolio Compression | 15.105 |
| | VII. | A Few Final Remarks | 15.128 |
| 16. | | nodity Derivatives nella Sciarrone Alibrandi and Edoardo Grossule | |
| | I. | Introduction | 16.01 |
| | II. | Features of the Commodity Derivatives Markets and Its Relation to the Crisis | 16.08 |
| | III. | Overview of the Most Important EU Provisions on Commodity Derivatives | 16.17 |
| | IV. | The Regulatory Perimeter of the MiFID II/MiFIR Package | 16.42 |
| | V. | The New Position Limits Regime | 16.63 |
| | VI. | A New Set of Intervention Powers for Authorities and Trading Venues | 16.83 |
| | VII. | Conclusion | 16.90 |
| 17. | _ | ithmic Trading and High-Frequency Trading (HFT) -Henri Conac | |
| | I. | Introduction | 17.01 |
| | II. | Algorithmic Trading | 17.22 |

| 111 | High Erequency Trading | 17.42 |
|--------|--|---|
| | | 17.61 |
| 1V. | Conclusion | |
| | | |
| | | 18.04 |
| | | 18.40 |
| | | 18.94 |
| | | 18.105 |
| | IV SUPERVISION AND ENFORCEMENT | |
| | | |
| I. | Introductory Remarks | 19.01 |
| II. | Structure of the Study | 19.07 |
| III. | Competent Authorities: General Aspects, Powers, and Redress Procedures | 19.11 |
| IV. | Cooperation Arrangements | 19.83 |
| V. | Concluding Remarks and Assessment | 19.127 |
| and I | Beyond | |
| I. | General | 20.01 |
| И. | May Civil Courts Be Less Strict Than MiFID I and MiFID II? | 20.03 |
| III. | May Civil Courts Be Stricter Than MiFID I and MiFID II? | 20.05 |
| | | 20.21 |
| V. | May Contracting Parties Be Stricter Than MiFID I and MiFID II? | |
| VI. | Influence of MiFID I and MiFID II on the Principle | 20.22 |
| VII | • | 20.28 |
| | | 20.30 |
| 7 111. | Limitation or Exclusion of Liability | 20.36 |
| | IV. MiFII Merrin I. II. III. IV. Public Christ I. III. IV. V. The I and I Dann I. III. V. V. VI. VII. | Public Enforcement of MiFID II Christos V. Gortsos I. Introductory Remarks II. Structure of the Study III. Competent Authorities: General Aspects, Powers, and Redress Procedures IV. Cooperation Arrangements V. Concluding Remarks and Assessment The Private Law Effect of MiFID I and MiFID II: The Genil Case and Beyond Danny Busch I. General II. May Civil Courts Be Less Strict Than MiFID I and MiFID II? III. May Civil Courts Be Stricter Than MiFID I and MiFID II? IV. May Contracting Parties Be Less Strict Than MiFID I and MiFID II? V. May Contracting Parties Be Stricter Than MiFID I and MiFID II? VI. Influence of MiFID I and MiFID II on the Principle of Relativity VII. Influence of MiFID I and MiFID II on Proof of Causation VIII. Influence of MiFID I and MiFID II on a Contractual |

| | IX. | MiFID I and MiFID II Assessments by the Courts of Their Own Motion in Relation to Private Investors? | 20.39 |
|------|-------|---|--------|
| | Y | Conclusion | 20.40 |
| | 71. | Conclusion | 20.40 |
| | V T | HE BROADER VIEW AND THE FUTURE OF MIF | ID |
| 21. | Regul | D II in Relation to Other Investor Protection lation: Picking Up the Crumbs of a Piecemeal Approach Colaert | |
| | I. | Introduction | 21.01 |
| | II. | MiFID II versus IDD | 21.04 |
| | III. | MiFID II and IDD versus UCITS KII and PRIIPs KID | 21.27 |
| | IV. | Cross-Selling Practices | 21.52 |
| | V. | Conclusion | 21.57 |
| 22. | | ow Banking and the Functioning of Financial Markets Wymeersch | |
| | I. | Introduction | 22.01 |
| | II. | Shadow Banking: Concept and Terminology | 22.10 |
| | III. | Non-Regulated Activity According to the FSB Approach | 22.17 |
| | IV. | What Financial Activity is not Subject to Banking Supervision? | 22.27 |
| | V. | The European Response to Shadow Banking | 22.35 |
| | VI. | Conclusion | 22.132 |
| 23. | | tment-Based Crowdfunding: Is MiFID II Enough? o Ferrarini and Eugenia Macchiavello | |
| | I. | Introduction | 23.01 |
| | II. | Benefits, Risks, and Challenges | 23.04 |
| | III. | MiFID I | 23.12 |
| | IV. | National Approaches to Crowdfunding | 23.18 |
| | V. | MiFID II | 23.34 |
| | VI. | Concluding Remarks | 23.55 |
| Inde | ex | | 693 |