Contents

1 Introduction 1
- 1.1 The Challenge of Learning Games 3
- 1.2 Before Proceeding: A Couple of Cautions 4
- 1.3 The Work of Designing a Learning Game 6
  - 1.3.1 Still Excited? 6
- 1.4 Speaking of the Lifeworld: Who Are These People Writing at Us? 7
- 1.5 What Will We Cover? 8
  - 1.5.1 You May Experience Loss 9
- 1.6 Sounds Complicated: How Will You Specifically Explain All of This? 10
- 1.7 Lessons from Our Experience 11
  - 1.7.1 Learn from Our Mistakes 11

References 12

Part I Before Learning with Games: What Are They, Where Do They Come From, Should I Use or Design Them, and How Can I Start From an Ethical Mindset?

2 What Is (and Is Not) a Learning Game? 15
- 2.1 What's in a Name? Defining Games 16
  - 2.1.1 The Media vs. Method Issue 16
- 2.2 How We Define a Learning Game and Why 17
- 2.3 What and Why Simulation? 17
  - 2.3.1 Jean Baudrillard: The Virtual Is Real 19
  - 2.3.2 Example of Teaching with an Inquiry Simulation Experience 20
- 2.4 So What the Heck Is a Game? 22
  - 2.4.1 How Has "Game" Been Used Generally in Entertainment and How Are They Defined? 23

References 25

Warren, Scott J.
Learning games

digitalisiert durch:
IDS Basel Bern

3 Why and How Can Games Influence Learning? 27
3.1 Learning Theories in the Context of Philosophy, Education, and Games 28
3.1.1 A Little General Philosophy 28
3.2 How We Learn from What Games Communicate: A Personal Example 36
3.2.1 Communicating Basic Information, Simply 37
3.3 Games as Literature: Connecting Narrative Game Experiences to Literacy 39
3.3.1 Overcoming the "Games Are Bad for Kids" Problem from the 1980s 40
3.3.2 Meta-thematic Literature Connections 41
3.3.3 The Role of Narrative to Drive Play 42
3.4 How Computer-Mediated Communication Works for Learning with Something You May Know Better: The Learning Management System 43
3.4.1 Why the Graphical Quality of Your LMS Does Not Really Matter, but Fidelity and Accurate Modeling of Content and Experience Does, Not Just in Learning Games 45
3.5 Simulation and Learning: How the Approximation and the Real Relate 45
3.5.1 Human Arrogance and the Issue of Information vs. Knowledge 46
3.5.2 Digital Simulations for Building Knowledge 47
3.5.3 Dual Coding and Why Might Media Used to Design Games Stimulate Learning 49
3.6 The Confounding Factors of Learning Games Must Be Acknowledged 49
3.7 The Use of Multiple Mediums as a Gestalt Is a Platform Not "Media" 51
References 51

4 Ethical Challenges to the Design and Study of Learning Games 55
4.1 An Ethical Mindset: Before Design Begins 56
4.2 Ethical Considerations with Learning Games and Simulations 58
4.3 Games That Produce Poor Learning Results Are a Problem 60
4.4 The Final Calculation: Our Ethical Obligation to Do No Harm 61
4.5 The Need for Critique Learning Games: What They Explicitly and Not-So-Explicitly Teach 61
4.5.1 A Few Critiques of Using the Civilization Series for Learning and Teaching 63
References 66

5 Should I Really Use or Design a Learning Game? 67
5.1 Determining Whether to Design Learning Game 68
5.2 Topic: What Is the Lesson All About? 68
5.3 Audience(s): Student and Teacher 69
5.4 Purpose: What Is My Goal and Outcome(s) with Using the Learning Game? 70
5.5 Format: Is the Game Structure Appropriate to the Content and Learners? 71
5.6 Evidence-Based Decision: What Does the Research Say? 71
5.7 Matching Game to Outcome: What Is the Expected Benefit to Learners? 72
References 73

6 Some Tools for Learning Game Design: Game Engines, Virtual Worlds, and Transmedia 75
6.1 What Are Virtual World Environments? 75
6.1.1 ActiveWorlds, a Simulated 3-D Web Browser 76
6.1.2 *Second Life* for Learning Game Design 77
6.1.3 Transmedia for Learning Game Design 77
References 78

Part I Summary 81

Part II Approaches to and Examples of Learning Game Design

7 Three Approaches to Designing Games for Learners 85
7.1 The Civilization Method 86
7.2 The Gamification Method 88
7.3 The Math Blaster Technique 89
7.4 Our Learning Game Design Approach: The Middle Way 91
7.4.1 Front-End Analysis 91
7.4.2 Start Design by Stating the Instructional and Learning Objectives 92
7.4.3 Identify Game Elements to Motivate Learning 93
7.4.4 Evaluate the Potential Delivery Mechanisms/ Game Systems/Game Engines 93
7.5 Designing the Learning Game Activities 94
7.5.1 Step A: Create a List of Actions 95
7.5.2 Step B: Identify the Core Rules 95
7.5.3 Step C: Determine the Entry Level of Your Expected User/Learner 96
7.5.4 Step D: In-Game Decision Making 96
7.5.5 Step E: Develop Your Narrative Context 97
7.5.6 Step F: Develop Your First Prototype 98

7.5.7 Step G: Play It Yourself 98
7.5.8 Step H: Analog Usability/Play Test Before Digital Development 98
7.5.9 Step I: Develop the First 20 % of the Game 99
7.5.10 Step J: Initial Digital Usability/Play Test 99
7.5.11 Step K: Use the Usability Reports 100
7.5.12 Step L: Revision of Play/Learning Activities 101
7.5.13 Step M: Create the Next Iteration 101
7.5.14 Step N: Polish Your Game 101
7.5.15 Step O: Polish It Some More 101
7.5.16 Step P: Polish Until It Shines 102
7.5.17 Step O: Full Beta Test 102
7.5.18 Step P: Revise and Release 102
7.5.19 Step Q: Polish and Patch 102
7.6 Transmedia Game Design 103
7.7 Conclusion 103
References 103

8 *Anytown*: A Literacy Game and Its Background 105
8.1 Quest Atlantis: Where We Built the Game, Meta-narrative, and Fun 106
8.1.1 Narrative Basis for QA 106
8.1.2 The Basic QA Narrative in *Archfall* and *Shardflower* 107
8.1.3 Learning Through Questing 110
8.2 Why Build Anytown? 111
8.2.1 Background on the Designers to Explain Why a Writing Game Instead of a Science One 112
8.2.2 Why a Designer's Background Matters and How It Influences What We Choose to Build 113
8.2.3 Other Developments That Influenced the Shape of Anytown 113
8.2.4 Why Talk About Some of These Things Again? 115
References 115

9 The Core *Anytown* Design and Development Process 117
9.1 Why ADDIE When There Are So Many Instructional Design Models? 117
9.2 *Anytown* Analysis 118
9.2.1 Anytown (A)nalysis Phases 119
9.2.2 Anytown Design Process 119
9.2.3 *Anytown* Story Framing 120
9.3 Managing a Creative Design Process 124
9.4 Writing Improvement Expected from Increased Time on Task, Not the Game 124

9.5 How Anytown Was Expected to Support Writing Improvement ... 125
9.5.1 First: Gaining Prerequisite Knowledge and Skills Through the Senses and Experience ... 126
9.5.2 Second: Social Constructivism as a Means to Construct Knowledge and Help Kids Solve Problems ... 127
References ... 131

10 Media, Method, and *Anytown's* Instructional Design ... 133
10.1 Technology Limitations and Affordances ... 134
10.1.1 Challenges with Writing Learning Activities Due to Back-End Programming ... 135
10.1.2 Limited Options for Technology Development ... 135
10.2 What Made *Anytown* a Learning Game? ... 136
10.3 Why You Need a Developer's "Bible" ... 138
10.3.1 The Importance of the Organizational Scheme to Your Design ... 139
10.4 The *Anytown* Narrative Development Concurrent to 3-D and Scripting Development ... 142
10.4.1 Engineering the Learning Activities ... 142
10.4.2 Writing Practice Activities ... 146
10.4.3 Adventure Game Play Activities ... 147
10.4.4 Creative Writing Quests for Voluntary Practice and Divergent Activities ... 148
10.5 Splitting Up the Work of Building the Game to Improve Efficiency ... 148
10.6 *Anytown* as Simulation ... 148
10.6.1 Why We Tried to Make the Non-player Characters Simulate Real People ... 149
10.6.2 Writing the *Anytown* in a Safe Space: Learning Game Producer Role Versus Everyone Else ... 149
10.6.3 Problems of Textual Construction Stemming from a Lack of Tacit Knowledge on the Part of Designers of K-12 Instructional Treatments Like Games: A Long Way of Saying that We Need to Approach Learning Game Design from a Position of Humility and Service to Others ... 151
References ... 152

11 Lessons from *Anytown* ... 153
11.1 Positive Instructional Outcomes ... 153
11.1.1 Increased Writing Skills ... 154
11.2 Challenges with the Design ... 155
11.2.1 Not Enough Time to Get to the Most Engaging Activities ... 155
11.2.2 Too Many Researchers, Too Much Equipment in the Lab ... 156

11.3 Challenges to Implementing Anytown as a Game 156
11.3.1 Training the Teacher to Use Anytown 157
11.3.2 Training the Students to Use QA 157
11.4 What We Learned for Future Games from the Anytown Experience 158
11.4.1 Lesson 1, Spend as Much Time with Your Intended Audience Before Design: User Analysis 158
11.4.2 Design-Phase Lessons 159
11.4.3 Lesson: Design for Only 25–30 h of Total Play If Intended for Lab Use 160
11.4.4 Ensure Your Game Matches the Actual Worldview of the Teacher, Not What They Report 160
11.4.5 Focus on a Narrow Age Range and "Go Native" 161
11.5 Conclusion 162
References 163

12 *Chalk House*: Trying Again Using the Lessons of *Anytown* 165
12.1 Mistakes Were Made 165
12.2 Building *Chalk House* 166
12.2.1 Origin of the Narrative 167
12.2.2 Academic Purposes with Chalk House 167
12.2.3 Blending Old and New Technologies and Research 168
12.2.4 Shifting from Construction in *Anytown* to Knowledge Acquisition in *Chalk House* 169
12.2.5 Blending Game and Assessment 170
12.3 The Role of 3-D for *Chalk House*: Explaining Why We Did Differently than in *Anytown* 170
12.3.1 Dealing with the Social Constructivist/Problem-Based Learning Problem 171
12.4 Intelligent Pedagogical Agents in *Chalk House* 172
12.4.1 Directed Instructional Narrative Delivered with Help from Intelligent Agents 173
12.5 The *Chalk House* Learning Design 174
12.5.1 Learning Tasks and Writing Driven by the Game System 174
12.5.2 Step Writing Process to Guide Descriptive Writing 176
12.6 Conclusion 177
References 178

Part II Conclusion 181

Part III Transmedia Learning Games

13 Accidental Transmedia Design with *The Door*: Designing an Undergraduate Computer Literacy Course Experience 185
13.1 Our First Transmedia Course Game: Building The Door 187
13.1.1 Discovering the Alternate Reality Game Genre 187

13.2 Aligning PBL Theory and Game Principles to Build *The Door* 188
13.2.1 Tenet 1: Anchor Learning Activities Within a Larger Problem 188
13.2.2 Tenet 2: Help Foster Learner Personal Development and Task Ownership 189
13.2.3 Tenet 3: Design Authentic Learning and Play Tasks 191
13.2.4 Tenet 4: Design Tasks and Game to Reflect the Complexity of the Future Work Environment 192
13.2.5 Tenet 5: Give the Learner Process Ownership When Developing a Solution 193
13.2.6 Tenet 6: Design Game Tasks and Play to Both Support and Challenge the Mental Models 193
13.2.7 Tenet 7: Encourage Testing Ideas Against Alternative Views and Alternative Contexts 194
13.2.8 Tenet 8: Support Learner Reflection on Both the Content Learned and the Learning Process 196
13.3 Conclusion 196
References 196

14 Teaching Computer Literacy with Transmedia Designed *by* Learners with *Broken Window* 199
14.1 Theoretical Models Supporting Broken Window 199
14.2 Communicative Actions and the Design of *Broken Window* 201
14.2.1 The *Broken Window* Narrative Approach 202
14.2.2 Engineering the Activities in *Broken Window* 203
14.3 Developing the Instructional Conflict to Design Learning and Play 207
14.3.1 Ill-Structured Problems to Drive Learning 208
14.4 Contextualizing Play Through the *Broken Window* Story 210
14.4.1 Starting Learning and Play Using a Rabbit Hole 210
14.4.2 Character Interactions to Drive Play 212
14.4.3 The Puppet Master 213
14.4.4 The Clients Used as Pedagogical Agents to Pose and Scaffold Problems 214
14.4.5 Using Commercial Tools to Provide Additional Depth of Context and Play 215
14.4.6 The Importance of the Instructor Job Aid 215
14.5 Assessing Successful Student Learning Delivered Through Transmedia Play 217
14.6 Conclusion 217
References 219

Part III Conclusion 221

Part IV Studying Learning Games and Where Do We Go Now?

15 The Study of Learning Games 225
15.1 General Approaches and Thoughts Related to Studying Learning Games 227
15.1.1 Addressing Learning Game Research and Why It Is Centrally Important to Our Endeavor 229
15.2 Qualitative Research Methods for Learning Game Study 232
15.2.1 Qualitative Data Collection Approaches with Learning Games 232
15.2.2 Qualitative Analytical Approaches 235
15.3 The Mixed Method Research Approach 236
15.4 Comparison Studies 236
15.5 Data Mapping and Player Use Tracking 237
15.6 Evaluating Training for Learning Games and Simulations 237
15.6.1 Learning Game Professional Development Criteria 238
15.6.2 Gathering Data in a Learning Game Professional Development Implementation 238
15.6.3 Problems in the Alignment of Game and Audience Emerging from the Training 239
15.6.4 Challenges in the Professional Development Itself 240
15.7 Evaluating Player-Only Training 241
15.8 Evaluating a Learning Game Implementation 242
15.8.1 Evaluating the Game Narrative 243
15.8.2 Usability 243
15.8.3 Evaluating the Alignment of Goals, Objectives, Criteria, Learning Activities, and Assessments 244
15.8.4 Evaluating the Specific Learning Activities in Analog Isolation 244
15.8.5 Evaluating Impact on Higher-Order Thinking Skills 245
15.8.6 Evaluating Impact on Test Scores 245
15.8.7 Evaluating Your Product Based on Simpler Criteria First When Scaling 246
15.8.8 Evaluating the Overall Experience 247
15.9 Writing Up Your Learning Game Findings 247
References 248

16 Conclusion 251
References 255

Index 257