

# Contents

Preface xiii

Abbreviations xix

## PART ONE | INTRODUCTION

### 1. *An Outline of the Challenges* 3

1.1. The Security Challenge 3

1.2. The Legal Challenge 10

1.3. The Operational Challenge: The Emerging “Law of 9/11” 20

1.4. The Outline 24

## PART TWO | THE INTERACTION BETWEEN NORMATIVE FRAMEWORKS

### 2. *Controlling State Involvement in Conflict* 33

2.1. Introduction 33

2.2. A Focus on the State 34

2.3. The Destructive Power of the State 37

2.3.1. Reintroduction of Just War Theory 37

2.3.2. Inter-State Conflict: Limiting the Recourse to War 40

2.4. Noninternational Armed Conflict 43

2.4.1. Domestic Authority, International Law, and Non-State Actors 43

2.4.2. Internal Conflicts with an “International Character” 44

2.4.3. Conflict Evolution 46

2.4.4. Cross-Border Attacks by Non-State Actors 48

2.4.5. An Interpretive Struggle 52

### 3. *Applying the Self-Defense Principles During Armed Conflict* 55

3.1. Introduction 55

3.2. The Continuing Application of Self-Defense Principles 56

3.3. An “Overarching” Application 58

3.4. A More “Limited” Theory 63

3.5. Additional Restraining Mechanisms 70

- 3.6. The Self-Defense Principles: A Strategic or Tactical Issue? 72
  - 3.6.1. Self-Defense and the Levels of War 72
  - 3.6.2. Targeting: A Matter of Strategy and Tactics 78
  - 3.6.3. Applying Self-Defense Principles Across the Armed Conflict Spectrum 83
- 3.7. Less Certain Boundaries 88
  
- 4. *States, “Proper Authority,” and Conflict* 91
  - 4.1. Introduction 91
  - 4.2. Humanitarian Law and “Proper Authority” 92
  - 4.3. Impact of the Proper Authority Principle 97
  - 4.4. The State and Governance 99
    - 4.4.1. Public, Private, and Mixed War 99
    - 4.4.2. Governance 101
    - 4.4.3. A Reluctant Application of Humanitarian Law 104
    - 4.4.4. A Policy Solution for Legal Uncertainty 109
    - 4.4.5. Small Wars and “Ungoverned Spaces” 112
  - 4.5. The State, Governance, and the Intersection of Laws 119
  
- 5. *The Humanitarian Law and Human Rights Law Interface* 121
  - 5.1. Introduction 121
  - 5.2. Exclusionary Arguments and Operational Reality 122
    - 5.2.1. The “Special Law” Debate 122
    - 5.2.2. The Missing Discussion: Similarities and Overlap 131
  - 5.3. A More Intimate History 134
    - 5.3.1. Prior to the Mid-Twentieth Century 134
    - 5.3.2. In the Aftermath of World War II 139
      - 5.3.2.1. Neglect 139
      - 5.3.2.2. Forced Integration 141
      - 5.3.2.3. Divergence and Growing Reconciliation 143
  - 5.4. Overlap and Convergence 152

PART THREE | THE THREAT, THE STATE RESPONSE, AND LEGAL UNCERTAINTY

- 6. *Contemporary Threats: Insurgency and Terrorism* 159
  - 6.1. Introduction 159
  - 6.2. Insurgency 160
    - 6.2.1. Criteria for an Insurgency 160
    - 6.2.2. Insurgent Organization 162
    - 6.2.3. Purpose of the Conflict 166
  - 6.3. Insurgency and Crime 168
    - 6.3.1. The Nature of the Threat 168
    - 6.3.2. Challenging the State 173
    - 6.3.3. Other Criminal Activity 179

- 6.4. Terrorism and Terrorists 180
  - 6.4.1. Terrorism 180
  - 6.4.2. The “Terrorists” 190
  - 6.4.3. Terrorism and Insurgency: Al Qaeda and an Islamic State 197
- 6.5. Counterinsurgency and Counterterrorism: An Integrated Response 208
  
- 7. *Counterinsurgency and Converging Norms* 215
  - 7.1. Introduction 215
  - 7.2. Counterinsurgency in the Twenty-First Century 216
  - 7.3. The Primacy of Law Enforcement 223
  - 7.4. Control and Operational Choices 228
    - 7.4.1. The Nature of Control 228
    - 7.4.2. The “*Targeted Killing*” Case and Control 231
  - 7.5. The IED and Suicide Bombing Threat: Arrest or Kill? 237
  - 7.6. Military Advantage and Counterinsurgency 244
    - 7.6.1. Insurgents and Target “Value” 244
    - 7.6.2. Strategic Advantage, Proportionality, and Counterinsurgency 254
      - 7.6.2.1. Strategic Military Advantage and Civilian Casualties 254
      - 7.6.2.2. Proportionality and Counterinsurgency 258
  - 7.7. Overlapping Normative Frameworks 261
  
- 8. *Counterterrorism and the “Away Game”* 267
  - 8.1. Introduction 267
  - 8.2. A Lack of Consensus 269
  - 8.3. Counterterrorism and “Direct Action” 271
  - 8.4. New Technology/New Terminology: Placing Drones in Context 277
    - 8.4.1. A Unique Weapon? 277
    - 8.4.2. Drones: Special Terms and High-Profile Attacks 278
    - 8.4.3. The “Ordinariness” of Drones 280
    - 8.4.4. Controlling Drone Use 285
  - 8.5. Legal Frameworks for Responding to Transnational Terrorism 287
    - 8.5.1. The Restricted “Law Enforcement” Theory 287
    - 8.5.2. The Permissive “Conduct of Hostilities” Approach 300
    - 8.5.3. The “Self-Defense” Option 311
  - 8.6. Seeking a Solution 318
  - 8.7. An Emerging Broader “Unwilling or Unable” Justification 322
  
- 9. *Non-State Actors and Armed Conflict* 327
  - 9.1. Introduction 327
  - 9.2. Inter-State Conflict 330
  - 9.3. Categorizing Conflict with Non-State Actors 335
    - 9.3.1. Non-State Actors Controlled by a State 335
    - 9.3.2. Harboring and Active Support 337

- 9.3.3. Entering the Territory of Another State: International Armed Conflict 339
- 9.3.4. The Israeli Supreme Court and Cross-Border Terrorism 341
  - 9.3.4.1. Targeted Killing, Occupation, and “Conflicts of an International Character” 341
  - 9.3.4.2. A Unique Security Threat 343
  - 9.3.4.3. A Contrast: The American Approach 345
  - 9.3.4.4. The Practical Impact 347
  - 9.3.4.5. Unlawful Combatants 348
- 9.3.5. Extraterritorial Law Enforcement 349
- 9.3.6. Transnational Armed Conflict 352
- 9.3.7. Noninternational Armed Conflict and the Proper Authority 356
  - 9.3.7.1. Not of an International Character: *Hamdan v. Rumsfeld* 356
  - 9.3.7.2. A Concentration of Power and Responsibility 358
  - 9.3.7.3. Borders or Barriers? 359
  - 9.3.7.4. Acting in Place of the Territorial State 361
- 9.4. Determining the Existence of a Noninternational Armed Conflict 363
  - 9.4.1. Conflict Criteria and the Intensity of the Violence 363
  - 9.4.2. Group Organization 370
    - 9.4.2.1. Varied Organizational Types 370
    - 9.4.2.2. The Kosovo Liberation Army 372
  - 9.4.3. The “Totality of the Circumstances” and Other Criteria 375
- 9.5. Addressing the Challenge 378

## PART FOUR | APPLYING FORCE ACROSS THE CONFLICT SPECTRUM

### 10. *Self-Defense and the Protection of Nationals* 383

- 10.1. Introduction 383
- 10.2. Self-Defense and the Extraterritorial Protection of Nationals 385
  - 10.2.1. A State Responsibility 385
  - 10.2.2. Taking Action Across the Conflict Spectrum 395
- 10.3. Protecting Nationals and Hostage Rescue 399
  - 10.3.1. Rescues During Armed Conflict 399
  - 10.3.2. “Iconic” Counterterrorism: A Thunderbolt Strikes Entebbe 405
  - 10.3.3. Modern Counterterrorism: Sierra Leone 412
  - 10.3.4. Short-Term Conflicts 415
  - 10.3.5. Rescues and Law Enforcement 421
    - 10.3.5.1. State-Conducted Hostage Rescue: Columbia 421
    - 10.3.5.2. Hostage Rescue and Foreign Involvement 423
    - 10.3.5.3. States Acting Unilaterally to Rescue Their Own Nationals: Somalia 426
    - 10.3.5.4. Law Enforcement and the Protection of Nationals 431
- 10.4. Converging Tactics: Special Forces, Law Enforcement, and Hostilities 433

### 11. *Law Enforcement and “Self-Defense”* 443

- 11.1. Introduction 443

- 11.2. Distinguishing Law Enforcement 445
  - 11.2.1. The Terminology Challenge 445
  - 11.2.2. Self-Defense: Common Roots and Different Goals 447
  - 11.2.3. Law Enforcement and Its Unique Role 449
  - 11.2.4. Imminence and the Nature of the Threat 454
  - 11.2.5. Mission Accomplishment 458
- 11.3. Doctrinal Confusion: State Self-Defense and Law Enforcement 464
  - 11.3.1. Rules of Engagement Doctrine 464
  - 11.3.2. United Nations Operations and “Robust Peacekeeping” 467
  - 11.3.3. United States Rules of Engagement and “Self-Defense” 474
- 11.4. The Way Ahead 479
  
- 12. *The Narrow Operational and Normative Gap* 483
  - 12.1. Introduction 483
  - 12.2. Narrowing the Gap 484
    - 12.2.1. The Military Task: Applying Force of First and Last Resort 484
    - 12.2.2. Force and Hostage Rescue 492
      - 12.2.2.1. Unique Challenges and Responses 492
      - 12.2.2.2. The Japanese Embassy, Lima, Peru, 1997 501
  - 12.3. Explosives: The Game Changer 510
    - 12.3.1. A Ubiquitous Weapon 510
    - 12.3.2. Suicide Missions 515
    - 12.3.3. Confronting the Explosive Threat 518
    - 12.3.4. Strictly Necessary, Proportionate, and Overwhelming 521
  - 12.4. A Unique Challenge 532
  
- 13. *Limits of Law Enforcement and Human Rights Law* 535
  - 13.1. Introduction 535
  - 13.2. The Shoot to Kill Controversy 536
    - 13.2.1. The “Troubles” 536
    - 13.2.2. Shooting to Kill 544
  - 13.3. The Militarization of the Police 550
  - 13.4. Human Rights Overreach 552
  - 13.5. The Shoot-Down of Hijacked Aircraft 559
  - 13.6. Limits and the Need for Clarity 564

PART FIVE | THE WAY AHEAD

- 14. *A Holistic Solution* 569
  - 14.1. Introduction 569
  - 14.2. From “Conventional” to “Hybrid” to “Holistic” 571
  - 14.3. Responding to Transnational Attacks and Threats to Nationals 575
    - 14.3.1. Armed Conflict or Law Enforcement? 575
    - 14.3.2. Commencement of the Armed Conflict 584
    - 14.3.3. Separating Armed Conflict from Law Enforcement 587

14.4. Applying a Human Rights–Based Law Enforcement Approach	588
14.4.1. As a Matter of Law	588
14.4.2. A Policy Choice by States	592
14.4.3. Discretion Exercised by the “Chain of Command”	595
14.4.4. A Law Enforcement Response to Attacks at Home and Abroad	597
14.4.5. Applying Law and Policy	600
14.5. Confronting Transnational Violence: A Holistic Approach	604
14.6. Law Enforcement and the Search for “Normalcy”	610
14.7. Appendix: Confronting Transnational Violence: A Holistic Approach	617
15. <i>Preparing for Twenty-First-Century Conflict</i>	619
15.1 Introduction	619
15.2. Legal Advisors and Twenty-First-Century Warfare	620

BIBLIOGRAPHY 633

TABLE OF CASES 661

INDEX 667