| Preface | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| riciac | | | |
| Expert Opinion on the Reinsurance Law of America Part 1 | | | |
| Consequences of the Definiton of Occurrence upon the Aggregation and Allocation of U.S. Asbestos Losses at the Reinsurance Level Geoffry D.C. Best, Attorney at Law, Partner of LeBœuf, Lamb, Leiby & MacRae, New York | | | |
| | Introduction | | |
| 1 | Asbestos-Related Losses in the United States | | |
| 1.1 | Nature of Asbestos | | |
| 1.2 | Types of Asbestos-Related Losses | | |
| 1.3 | Financial Import of Asbestos-Related Losses | | |
| 1.4 | Primary Coverage of Asbestos-Related Losses | | |
| 2 | Definition of Occurrence and Event in Excess of Loss Reinsurance Treaties | | |
| 2.1 | Importance of Definition in Ascertaining Whether and How | | |
| | Losses May be Aggregated at the Reinsurance Level | | |
| 2.2 | General Principles of Reinsurance Treaty Interpretation | | |
| 2.2.1 | Interpret the Terms of the Treaty According to Plain Meaning | | |
| 2.2.2 | Where Treaty Terms are Ambiguous, Ascertain Intentions of | | |
| 2.2.3 | Parties as Expressed in Other Documents — Course of Conduct Where No Other Clear Evidence of Intentions Exists, Consider | | |
| 2.2.0 | Customs and Usages of Industry at the Time of Treaty Drafting | | |
| 2.2.4 | Other Considerations — Equity and Public Policy | | |
| 2.3 | Potential Consequences of Dispute Resolution by Arbitration | | |
| 2.4 | Occurrence Definitions at the Reinsurance Level Differ from | | |
| | Those Found at the Primary Level | | |
| 2.5 | The Per Victim Definition | | |
| 2.5.1 | Absent an Aggregate Extension Clause, Use of Per Victim | | |
| 0.5.0 | Approach Results in No Aggregation | | |
| 2.5.2 2.5.3 | Examination of Sample Wordings Effect Analysis — Legal Precedents | | |
| 2.5.3 | Consequences of Denying Aggregation upon Primary Insurer | | |
| 2.0.4 | — Potential Opposition by Courts | | |
| | opposition of ood to | | |



| 2.6 2.6.1 2.6.2 2.6.3 2.6.4 2.6.5 | Per Product Definitions |
|--|--|
| 3 | The Effect of Aggregate Limits upon the Scope of Coverage Provided by an Excess of Loss Reinsurance Treaty |
| 3.1 | Aggregate Limits May Supercede Occurrence Definition |
| 3.2 | Degree of Aggregation Permitted Depends upon Terms of Excess Aggregate Clause |
| 3.3 | Comparison of the Degree of Aggregation Permitted under Aggregate Limits Clauses with Degree of Aggregation Permitted under Occurrence Definitions |
| 4 | Timing of the Occurrence or Event |
| 4.1 | The Allocation of Losses to Individual Treaty Years Depends upon the Timing of the Occurrence |
| 4.2 | The Timing of the Occurrence or Event Depends upon the Definition of Occurrence |
| 4.2.1 | The Per Victim Definition |
| 4.2.2 | The Per Raw Material Asbestos Definition |
| 4.2.3 | The Per Generic Asbestos Product Definition |
| 4.2.4 | The Per Insured Definition |
| 4.2.5 | Allocation Per Batch |
| 4.2.6 | Summary |
| 4.3 | Allocation of Losses under Reinsurance Treaties with Aggregate Limits |
| | Conclusions |

| | Opinion on the Reinsurance Law of England | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| The Treatment under Excess of Loss Reinsurance Contracts of Asbestos-Related Claims Emanating from the U.S.A. Colin V. Croly, Solicitor, Partner of Barlow Lyde & Gilbert, Solicitors, London | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 1 | Facts and Consequent Coverage Problems | | | |
| 1.1 | Factual and Statistical Background | | | |
| 1.2 | The Relevance of the Question of Aggregation | | | |
| 1.3 | Evidential Problems of Victims | | | |
| 2 | "Loss Occurrence" | | | |
| 2.1 | The "Trigger Theories" | | | |
| 2.2 | The Relevance of the Trigger Theories | | | |
| 2.3 | Keene Corporation v. Insurance Company of North America | | | |
| 2.4 | Hancock Laboratories, Inc. v. Admiral Insurance Co. | | | |
| 3 | General Principles of English Law | | | |
| 3.1 | The Relevance of English Law | | | |
| 3.2 | The Treatment of Non-English Law | | | |
| 3.3 | Arbitration Clauses in Reinsurance Treaties | | | |
| 3.3.1 | Arbitration Clauses Generally — Honourable Engagement | | | |
| 3.3.2 | Eagle Star Insurance Co. Ltd v. Yuval Insurance Co. Ltd. | | | |
| 3.3.3 | Home Insurance Co. v. Administratia Asigurarilor de Stat | | | |
| 3.3.4 | The Effect of Honourable Engagement Clauses | | | |
| 3.4 | Test Cases | | | |
| 3.5 | Uncertainties Caused by U.S. Law and Arbitration | | | |
| 3.6 3. 6 .1 | Construction of Reinsurance Treaties under English LawReinsurance Defined | | | |
| 3.6.2 | Insurance Defined | | | |
| 3.6.3 | General Construction of Contracts | | | |
| 3.6.4 | The Contra Proferentem Rule | | | |
| 4 | Occurrence, Event and Accident: Approaches to | | | |
| | Construction | | | |
| 4.1 | Definitions | | | |
| 4.2 | Practical Approaches | | | |
| 4.3 | The Any-One-Victim Approach | | | |
| 4.4 | The Any-One-Insured Approach | | | |
| 4.5 | The Any-One-Product Approach | | | |

| 4.6 4.7 | Other Possible Theories 6 Owens-Illinois, Inc. v. Aetna Casualty and Surety Co 6 |
|--|--|
| 5 | The Lloyd's "White Papers" |
| 5.1 5.2 5.3 | The Purpose of the "White Papers" |
| 6 | The English Legal Authorities |
| 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 6.6 6.7 6.8 | The Importance of the Treaty Wording |
| 7 | Application of Authorities to the Facts |
| 7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4 | The Dominant Cause |
| 7.5 | Summary |
| | The Wellington Agreement |
| 7.5 | Cummary |
| 7.5 8 8.1 8.2 | The Wellington Agreement |

| European Product Liability Congress of the Cologne Re. Berlin, 1986 Part 3 8 |
|--|
| Genesis of the Wellington Agreement |
| Asbestos Claims Facility from a Reinsurer's Point of View 9 N. David Thompson President and Chief Executive Officer, North American Re, New York |
| Asbestos Claims — What is One Occurrence under Reinsurance Treaties? |
| Asbestos — The London Response |
| The Any-One-Product Approach Dr. Otmar Schmidlin Senior Vice President, Swiss Re, Zurich |
| The Importance of the Aggregate Extension Clause John S. Butler, Barrister Legal Officer, Mercantile & General, London |
| Bibliography 130 |
| Appendices 13 |
| The Lloyd's "White Papers" Appendix 1 136 |
| Reference Material to Part 1 Appendix 2 |
| Examples of Aggregate Extension Clauses Appendix 3 160 |