

# Contents

Acknowledgments .....	vii
<b>Part One A Perspective for a Quantitative Approach to Sentencing Policy and Guidance</b>	
<b>Chapter 1 The Task of Describing Sentencing Policy Quantitatively .....</b>	<b>3</b>
The Structure and Nature of the Sentencing Judgment .....	7
Imprecision in the Sentencing Judgment .....	11
Legal Perspectives on the Problem of Imprecision in the Sentencing Judgment .....	18
The Study: Challenge and Constraints .....	26
<b>Chapter 2 Approaches to the Development of Detailed Sentencing Statistics and Numerical Guidelines .....</b>	<b>31</b>
Guideline Judgments of the Court of Appeal (Criminal Division) in England .....	31
Wilkins's Empirically Based Descriptive Guidelines .....	33
Hogarth's Sentencing Data Base .....	41
Doob's Sentencing Data Base .....	46
Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines Commission's Policy-Based Prescriptive Guidelines .....	47
United States Sentencing Commission's Presumptive Guidelines .....	50
<b>Chapter 3 Toward a Model of Judicial Decision Making: An Unproductive Review of Psychological Research .....</b>	<b>54</b>
Atheoretical Approaches to the Study of Sentencing .....	55
Theoretical Approaches to the Study of Sentencing .....	59
The Role of Theory in Sentencing .....	62
Psychological Contributions to the Study of Sentencing: An Overview .....	64

<b>Part Two</b>	<b>An Archival Study of a Legal Model of Judicial Decision Making in Sentencing</b>	
<b>Chapter 4</b>	<b>A Legal Model of Judicial Decision Making</b> . . . . .	<b>73</b>
	Scaling Culpability . . . . .	74
	Primary and Secondary Decisions in the Sentencing Judgment . . .	88
	The Construction of the Sentencing Statistics . . . . .	89
	David Thomas: An Overdue Tribute . . . . .	90
<b>Chapter 5</b>	<b>The Data Base and Data Collection</b> . . . . .	<b>93</b>
	The Principal Offense . . . . .	93
	The Sample of Cases . . . . .	93
	Sentences and Orders of the Court . . . . .	97
	Data Sources . . . . .	97
	Procedure . . . . .	99
	The Cross-Validation Study . . . . .	99
<b>Chapter 6</b>	<b>Offense Characteristics of Burglary</b> . . . . .	<b>101</b>
	Violence to the Victims (Nonoffenders) . . . . .	101
	Organization . . . . .	102
	Total Value of the Theft . . . . .	104
	Counts of Burglary . . . . .	107
	Comparison of the Frequency of the Use of the Categories Across Dimensions . . . . .	108
	Supplementary Categories . . . . .	108
	Cross-Validation Study . . . . .	109
<b>Chapter 7</b>	<b>The Relationship Between Offense Characteristics of Burglary and Sentence</b> . . . . .	<b>110</b>
	Validity of the Assumptions Underlying the Use of Groups for Differentiating Offenses Across Premises Types and the Use of Dimensions to Differentiate Offenses Within Premises Types . . . . .	113
	Cross-Validation Study . . . . .	117
<b>Chapter 8</b>	<b>Offender Characteristics and Prior Convictions in Cases of Burglary</b> . . . . .	<b>118</b>
	Use of Offender Categories . . . . .	118
	Prior Convictions . . . . .	132
	Comparison of the Frequency of the Use of the Categories Across the Dimensions of Prior Convictions . . . . .	134
	Cross-Validation Study . . . . .	134
<b>Chapter 9</b>	<b>The Relationship Between Offender Characteristics of Burglary and Sentence</b> . . . . .	<b>135</b>
	The Scoring and Weighting of Prior Convictions . . . . .	135
	Offender Characteristics (Excluding Prior Convictions) . . . . .	140

	Total Mitigation Scores .....	140
	Relationship Between Total Mitigation Scores and Type of Premises .....	141
	Cross-Validation Study .....	142
<b>Chapter 10</b>	<b>The Relationship Between Case (Offense and Offender) Characteristics of Burglary and Sentence .....</b>	<b>143</b>
	The Combination of Offense Seriousness and Total Mitigation .....	143
	Adjustment of the Case Scores .....	144
	Relationship Between Adjusted Case Scores for Burglary and Sentence .....	147
	The Sentencing Decision .....	151
	The Relationship Between the Type of Premises and the Length of the Effective Sentence .....	153
	Cross-Validation Study .....	153
<b>Chapter 11</b>	<b>Toward Detailed Sentencing Statistics .....</b>	<b>154</b>
	Detailed Sentencing Statistics as an Operational System .....	158
	Detailed Sentencing Statistics: Problems and Prospects .....	172
<b>Part Three</b>	<b>Sentencing Policy and Numerical Guidance as a Deliberative Process</b>	
<b>Chapter 12</b>	<b>Judicial Input Into the Model of Sentencing .....</b>	<b>177</b>
	Decomposed Scaling Procedures .....	180
	Holistic Scaling Procedures .....	183
	Relative Merits of the Decomposed and Holistic Scaling Procedures .....	184
	Overview of the Analysis .....	188
<b>Chapter 13</b>	<b>The Validity of the Technique of Fictitious Cases .....</b>	<b>190</b>
	The Validity Study .....	196
<b>Chapter 14</b>	<b>Multiattribute Utility Measurement and the Model of Judicial Decision Making .....</b>	<b>210</b>
	Identification and Operational Definition of the Offense Characteristics Material to Seriousness .....	210
	Development of Scales of Relative Seriousness in Relation to Each Offense Factor .....	217
	Determination of the Relative Importance of Each Offense Factor in the Assessment of Seriousness .....	218
	Calculation of the Overall Seriousness of the Offense Characteristics .....	221
	Assessment of the Sensitivity of the Estimates of the Offense Factor Weights .....	224

<b>Chapter 15</b>	<b>Scaling of Seriousness and Assessment of Weights for Offense Factors of Burglary</b> .....	<b>229</b>
	MAUM Method .....	229
	Text of Sentencing Research Exercise—Part 2 .....	230
	Results and Discussion .....	242
<b>Chapter 16</b>	<b>Coherence and the Tariff</b> .....	<b>253</b>
	Method .....	257
	Results and Discussion .....	267
<b>Chapter 17</b>	<b>Toward Deliberative Sentencing and Numerical Guidelines</b> .....	<b>280</b>
	Fictitious Cases as a Basis for the Description of Current Sentencing Policy: Detailed Sentencing Statistics .....	282
	Fictitious Cases as a Basis for the Development and Reform of Sentencing Policy: Numerical Guidelines .....	288
	Overview and Conclusion .....	289
<b>Appendix 1</b>	<b>A Sample Case in Exercise 1</b> .....	<b>296</b>
	New Case .....	296
<b>Appendix 2</b>	<b>A Sample Fictitious Case in Exercise 3 and Exercise 4</b> .....	<b>299</b>
	New Case .....	299
<b>References</b> .....		<b>302</b>
<b>Author Index</b> .....		<b>313</b>
<b>Subject Index</b> .....		<b>317</b>